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The first edition of this book was written as a response to the need for a comprehensive introductory-level text covering the major facets of occupational safety and health. The fourth edition of this book was written with the same objectives as previous editions:

- It should be easily comprehensible by those who lack experience or prior exposure to concepts in occupational safety and health, industrial hygiene, or occupational medicine
- It should be on a writing level easily understood by a majority of the population, yet be useful as a text for college courses
- It should cover the major topics of concern to safety and health professionals and students to provide both with a philosophical base

The fourth edition incorporates material from the first three and contains necessary updates.

The chapter on behavior-based safety has been rewritten by Dr. Earl Blair, CSP, a professor at Indiana University. Dr. Burton Ogle, CSP, CIH, an associate professor at Western Carolina University, has rewritten the chapter on industrial hygiene. Dr. Celeste Winterberger of Research Triangle Park in North Carolina was a heavy contributor to the first edition and her legacy continues in this one. Bill Walker of Builders Mutual of Raleigh, North Carolina, aided in the revision of the chapter on construction safety. The rest of the chapters have material added as needed. As the title implies, *Fundamentals of Occupational Safety and Health* covers the basics for the professional’s need to understand before accepting responsibility for promoting an environment conducive to reducing hazards and protecting lives. Unlike books exploring only the engineering aspects of the subject, this text
attempts to balance the management of safety with the relevant science and the practical aspects of regulatory compliance.

This edition was revised without the assistance of Dr. James P. Kohn, who passed away in 1999. He was a friend, a professional, and an innovator in the fields of safety and safety education. All who knew him miss his comradeship and willingness to share knowledge and enthusiasm.

Special thanks go to my wife Kathy for all of her help and inspiration. She is a true friend.

Mark Allen Friend, Ed.D., CSP, Professor
Chair, Department of Applied Aviation Sciences
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Daytona Beach, Florida
ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Mark A. Friend is professor of Safety and Chair of the Department of Applied Aviation Sciences at Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, Florida. A Certified Safety Professional, Dr. Friend is the former director of East Carolina University’s Occupational Safety and Health Consortium and of the Center for Applied Technology and former chairman of the Occupational Safety and Health Department at Murray State University. Dr. Friend is also past president of the National Occupational Safety and Health Educators’ Association, and is a member of the American Society of Safety Engineers.

At the time of his death in 1999, James P. Kohn was associate professor of Industrial Technology at East Carolina University and president of OccuSafe Service Corporation. He had formerly been vice president for professional development of the American Society of Safety Engineers and director of the Occupational Safety Management program at Indiana State University. He held a doctorate in education, and was a Certified Safety Professional, Certified Industrial Hygienist, and Certified Professional Ergonomist. Dr. Kohn authored, coauthored, or edited seven books on safety and industrial hygiene topics. He was a member of the American Society of Safety Engineers, American Industrial Hygiene Association, and the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Glory Mizzille, Daria Hinnant, Doug Gaylord, David McDaniel, Bill Benfield, Mark Thompson, and Nathan Szejniuk for their contributions to the development and editing of the manuscript. Thanks also go to the following individuals for their gracious assistance in providing information, guidance, and text for specific chapters as listed:

Chapter Two: Tom Wagner, Craig MacMurry, Sam Christy, Kelly Nelson, and Wes Heinold
Chapter Three: Tom Wagner, Susan Wilson, and Craig MacMurry
Chapter Four: George Nichols, Jr.
Chapter Five: Eddie Allen, Eddie Anderson, Barbara Dail, Ralph Dodge, Doug Gaylord, Eddie Johnson, Barry Maxwell, Amy Tomlin, and Celeste Winterberger
Chapter Six: Burton R. Ogle, Ph.D., CIH, CSP, Tracy L. Zontek, Ph.D., CIH, CSP
Chapter Seven: Eddie Anderson, Barbara Dail, Ralph Dodge, Doug Gaylord, Eddie Johnson, Katherine Kohn (ergonomic illustrations), Barry Maxwell, Amy Tomlin, and Celeste Winterberger
Chapter Eight: Amber Perry
Chapter Nine: Celeste Winterberger (who authored this chapter)
Chapter Twelve: Earl Blair, Ed.P., CSP (who authored this chapter)
Chapter Thirteen: Brett Carruthers (who coauthored this chapter)
Chapter Fourteen: Tom Shodoan, Antoine Slaughter, Julie Mitchell, Jeff Porter, James Frey, and Joseph Johnson
Chapter Fifteen: Dan Nelson (who wrote the section on multiemployer worksite policy)

Chapter Sixteen: William Walker, Dan Nelson, Robert Getchell, Keith McCullough, Mike Ban Derven, and Neil Brown

Appendix A: Eddie Anderson, Mike Baker, Barbara Dail, Craig Fulcher, Doug Gaylord, Barry Maxwell, David McDaniel, and Ron Skinner

Appendix B: Mike Baker, Craig Fulcher, Doug Gaylord, Darla Hinnant, David McDaniel, Glory Mizzelle, Ron Skinner, Ward Taylor, Chip Tillett, and Jason Whichard.

In addition, the authors want to recognize Kathy Friend, MBA; Carrie Kohn, MA; and Alexander M. Padro for their professional encouragement.
Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION TO OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Explain the importance of occupational safety and health
• Identify key historical figures that have contributed to the profession
• Define terminology used in occupational safety and health
• List job titles of individuals performing occupational safety and health activities
• Identify roles and responsibilities of safety and health professionals

Case Study

As a 22-year-old construction worker with 11 months’ experience on the job, Bob had finally made it. Since graduating high school, Bob had tried a lot of things, but they just never seemed right for him. He attended a community college for one year and then dropped out. There was too much theory that didn’t relate to how he saw the world. Bob tried a number of jobs, but minimum-wage salaries forced him to live at home with his parents. His parents were good people, but he was ready to move on with his life. With this new job, everything was turning out great. Bob was bringing home a good paycheck. He had just moved into a new apartment, which he shared with his high school buddy Tim, and he was going the next day to sign the papers for a brand-new pickup truck.

Bob never made it to the dealership to sign those papers. Maybe
he was distracted thinking about that “killer” pickup that he was about to purchase. Perhaps he never realized how dangerous it actually was to work on that scaffolding. After all, it was only 20 feet off the ground and it looked safe. Bob had worked on wet scaffolding before, and although it was wet from the rains the previous night, nothing had ever happened to make him concerned about working at those heights. Bob’s world changed when he fell those few feet to the ground. His fall put him in a wheelchair, paralyzed from the waist down.

**Occupational Safety and Health**

Occupational safety and health is concerned with preserving and protecting human and facility resources in the workplace. Practitioners in the field try to prevent needless deaths and injuries to workers like Bob. It involves more than first aid activities and is far-reaching in both scope and practice. Occupational safety and health involves helping people by preventing them from being injured or becoming ill due to hazards in their workplaces. Demonstrating concern for Bob by ensuring he was trained in hazard recognition and correctly performing his job are jobs of the safety professional.

Occupational safety and health is also a field wherein professionals attempt to prevent catastrophic losses. When they conduct preinspections, safety professionals may prevent explosions or fires that could destroy entire buildings. Occupational safety and health is also the management function in an organization concerned with improving its quality and efficiency. Safety professionals consider the way products are moved from place to place and are concerned with reducing lifting injury exposures, while minimizing product movement. They attempt to eliminate property and facility damage, waste, and costs that lessen an organization’s ability to operate profitably.

In practice, occupational safety and health includes moral and economic issues. There is also a legal compulsion for companies to promote occupational safety and health. The United States government and governments worldwide require protection of employees from hazards that may result in injury, illness, or death. Under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, commonly known as the OSHA Act, every employer in the United States is required to provide a safe and healthful workplace.
For some employers the responsibility to protect human life is not as important as other goals. A company may focus on productivity and profits to the exclusion of safety and health. Its managers may view illnesses and injuries as a routine part of the job. In reality the amount of production required to cover costs associated with accidents in the workplace can be substantial and may far outweigh the expense of providing a safe and healthful working environment. The role of the safety professional requires him or her to monitor workplace conditions and advise management on the importance of making critical corrections for moral, legal, and economic reasons. The effective safety professional will convince management that providing a safe and healthy working environment is the right thing to do.

**Importance of Occupational Safety and Health**

Economically, morally, and legally, occupational safety and health has become an important issue. Companies are attempting to remain profitable in an ever more competitive global economy. For these companies, addressing safety, health, and environmental issues may mean more than good business practice. For many companies, strong safety, health, and environmental programs may actually mean survival.

In recent years there have been over 5,000 annual on-the-job fatalities in this country alone. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics there are approximately five injury and illness cases per year for every one hundred workers, or approximately four million total per year. The costs associated with these losses number in the billions of dollars. The staggering numbers of people involved in these accidents are a real concern. Behind the numbers are real people—mothers, fathers, sisters, brothers, spouses, sons, or daughters. They are people like Bob whose lives may never be the same again.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the federal agency responsible for workplace safety and health, attempts to address the safety and health concerns faced by Americans. OSHA may not only levy fines, but may also seek criminal prosecution of business owners and managers who willfully neglect the safety and health of their employees. Employers may also find
themselves the target of civil suits leveled by the victims and survivors of workplace accidents. Employers with poor safety and health records must also deal with rising medical insurance costs as well as unfavorable workers’ compensation premiums. Unfortunately, many employers have not had to bear the full cost of injuring and killing members of their workforces. Workers, uninformed and unaware of their legal rights, have been forced to shoulder the costs of the business not operating safely. The regulations and mechanisms for enforcement are in place in the United States, but the agencies are understaffed and the employees are underinformed. Continued reduction of accidents in the United States will require an increase in initiative on the parts of all parties involved, including employers, employees, and federal and state governments. An understanding of the issues of today will be enhanced by a review of the past.

**Early Historical Examination of Occupational Safety and Health**

**Ancient Greek and Roman Physicians**

Concern for occupational safety and health is not a recent issue. Many of today’s health and safety concerns were first observed over 2,000 years ago. An early account is associated with the Code of Hammurabi that dates back to approximately 2100 BC. It was primarily concerned with personal injury and losses and it prescribed a schedule of punishments and payments for wrongdoers in an attempt to recompense victims of the listed violations.

Greek and Roman physicians, practicing between 400 BC and 300 AD, expressed concern for the health of individuals exposed to the metals commonly used during this period. These included Hippocrates, the Father of Medicine, and Pliny the Elder, a Roman physician and scientist, both discussed in chapter 6.

Galen, a Roman physician who lived during the second century, wrote about occupational diseases and the dangers of acid mists to copper miners. He was also concerned with the mining, tanning, and chemical occupations, noting several diseases contracted by individuals working in those professions.
The European Renaissance and the Industrial Revolution

Prior to the Renaissance, little information is available on European injury, illness, and property damage prevention activities. Reports of medieval scribes suffering lead poisoning while performing the common practice of tipping their quills with their tongues between dips into metallic ink solutions were repeatedly noted prior to the 15th century. Unfortunately, little else was recorded regarding safety and health during that period. During the European Renaissance physicians and chemists began noticing the relationship between occupational activities and worker health and safety.

Ulrich Ellenborg, for example, recognized, identified, and reported on “the poisonous and noxious vapors and fumes of metals.” In 1437, he recognized that the vapors of some metals, including lead and mercury, were dangerous and described the symptoms of industrial poisoning from these sources. He also became aware of asbestos and lung diseases among miners.

Bernardo Ramazzini, an Italian physician, circa 1700 published De morbis artificum diatriba or The Diseases of Workers, considered the first treatise on occupational disease. Considered by some to be the Father of Occupational Medicine and by others as the Father of Industrial Hygiene, he recommended physicians ask their patients, “What is your trade?” He urged students to learn the nature of occupational diseases in shops, mills, mines, or wherever men toil.

In 1666, fire swept through London, England, and raged for several days. At that time, London was a city of half-timbered, pitch-covered medieval buildings that ignited at the touch of a spark. From an inn on Pudding Lane the fire spread into Thames Street, where riverfront warehouses were bursting with oil, tallow, and other combustible goods. The customary recourse during a fire of such magnitude was to demolish every building in the path of the flames in order to deprive the fire of fuel, but the city’s mayor hesitated, fearing the high cost of rebuilding. With no building codes at the time, houses were frequently built to the edge of the street. Second and subsequent stories were often cantilevered, with the top floors nearly touching houses across the street. Early examples of building and fire codes resulted from this disaster.
During the period between 1760 and 1840, history witnessed dramatic advances in technology. Dr. Percival Pott (circa 1775) identified the first form of cancer. He observed scrotal cancer in chimney sweeps and determined its relationship to soot and coal tar exposure. This finding initiated numerous regulations called the Chimney Sweep Acts that were promulgated between 1788 and 1875. About this time, several industrialists also became concerned with the welfare of their workers. Sir Robert Peel, a mill owner, made the English Parliament aware of the deplorable working conditions often existing in the mills. He reported that orphan labor was frequently used to perform demanding tasks in less than sanitary conditions. His study of these deplorable conditions revealed that the mean life expectancy of the working class, under these terrible conditions, was only 22 years, while the mean age of the wealthier class was 44 years.

With advancing technology and the Industrial Revolution came an increase in safety and health hazards. The innovations of mechanical textile machinery, foundry furnaces, steam engines, and numerous other inventions created a new and more dangerous workplace environment. Factories and other workplaces were mazes of moving belts, pulleys, and gears. Human senses were assaulted with fumes, toxic vapors, noise, and heat. The health and safety problem was compounded by the introduction of increasing numbers of women and children into the workforce. Long workdays, unsanitary conditions, and demanding physical labor increased the likelihood of injury and illness for this new workforce.

At the dawn of the Industrial Revolution in England Charles Thackrah became concerned with occupational safety and health and studied the effects of arts, trades, life habits, civic status, and professions upon health and longevity. By employing basic principles of occupational medicine, he became the first physician in the English-speaking world to establish the practice of industrial medicine. His writings also led to a raised public awareness of the plight of many of the new working class. In 1842, Edwin Chadwick, a British lawyer and sanitarian, described the deplorable conditions of factory workers in his “Report into the Sanitary Conditions of the Labouring Population of Great Britain.” He reported that life expectancy was much
lower in towns than in the countryside and attributed his findings to air pollution.

In the United States, the Industrial Revolution began in the early 19th century as factories and mills in New England sprang to life. In Lowell, Massachusetts, young women and girls, as young as six to ten years of age, worked long hours, often from five in the morning until seven in the evening. Their work required their hands to be placed very close to the [in-running] gears of spinning machines. Many were injured or maimed in the moving gears and pulleys of the textile machinery. Their fingers were cut off or mangled with such frequency that machine-guarding laws were eventually passed. Fatalities in the mining and steel industries were as common as those in the textile industry. In 1877, Massachusetts passed a law requiring safeguards on hazardous machinery that tied liability to actions of employers.

Exposure to toxic metals such as mercury and lead has been an occupational health problem for hundreds of years. Technological advances introduced new and unique hazards, typically overlooked by untrained observers, which were recognized only after numerous cases were reported. Dramatic changes in technology and workplace design, along with other technological advancements, have not necessarily resulted in healthier or safer workplaces. Workers today may be exposed to as many workplace hazards as were their ancestors in years past. Factory machinery with unguarded gears mangling fingers and hands has been replaced by electronic office equipment causing wrist and arm injuries. Workers still face chemical and toxic hazards, as evidenced by industrial disasters such as those at Three Mile Island and Union Carbide Institute in West Virginia. The complexity of current safety and health conditions mirrors the complexities associated with modern workplace technology. Practitioners in this profession must develop the broad range of knowledge and skills necessary to ensure the protection of people and company resources. This knowledge base must include a well-grounded understanding of the terms and concepts used in the profession. In addition, occupational safety and health professionals must possess the skills required to effectively perform their roles and responsibilities in the safety, health, and environmental arenas.
Terms and Concepts in the Safety Profession

Safety professionals are concerned with people and company resources. They have the knowledge and skills that are often acquired through formal education and/or experience in the safety field. Many safety professionals are certified after successfully completing the requirements for the designations “Certified Safety Professional” (CSP), “Certified Industrial Hygienist” (CIH), or others related to occupational safety and health. In order to achieve these designations, safety professionals must have a number of years of experience in the field and must also undergo a rigorous examination process for each.

Safety professionals attempt to achieve their loss prevention goals through the systematic application of principles taken from a variety of disciplines, including engineering, education, psychology, physiology, industrial hygiene, health physics, and management. Safety professionals are concerned with the elimination or control of hazards that may result in injury, illness, and property damage. They will often use techniques referred to as loss prevention and loss control to accomplish that goal.

*Loss prevention* describes a program designed to identify and correct potential accident problems before they result in financial loss or injury. *Loss control*, on the other hand, is a program designed to minimize incident-based financial losses. An example of the difference between loss prevention and loss control can be seen in the various activities associated with a fire protection and prevention program.

In a fire prevention program, employees can be trained to inspect their areas and remove combustible materials like oily rags or cardboard. These inspection activities would be an example of loss prevention. A fire protection program, on the other hand, might include employee training in the use of fire extinguishers. Employees would then possess the skills necessary to fight a fire. The fire might ignite, but, following training, employees would be prepared to extinguish it and thus minimize the damage. Fire extinguisher training is an example of a loss control technique.

*Loss prevention and loss control techniques are important to the safety professional who attempts to recognize, evaluate, and control*
hazards in the workplace. This is part of the process referred to as “safety management.” Safety management encompasses the responsibilities of planning, organizing, leading, and controlling activities necessary to achieve an organization’s loss prevention and loss control goals. Continuing the fire example, the safety professional might wish to establish a safety management program to address this danger. Safety professionals would determine the problems that exist at their facility. They would then establish the details of the fire-training program with goals and objectives of what is to be accomplished (planning). Next, they would determine the trainers and materials necessary to implement the program by establishing a schedule to ensure all activities are accomplished (organizing). Safety professionals must then ensure that the required resources are available and that the people involved in this project coordinate their efforts when required (leading). Finally, the professionals monitor and evaluate the progress of the project (controlling). A more detailed examination of the management of the safety function will be presented in chapter 10.

One of the most important terms used in the safety and health profession is “safety.” It is probably the most misinterpreted term by individuals outside of the safety profession. For the layperson, safety means not getting injured. “Safety,” to the professional, implies reference to the likelihood or risk that a loss event will occur. It can be defined as “operating within an acceptable or low probability of risk associated with conditions or activities having the potential to cause harm to people, equipment, facilities or the enterprise.”

There are several different types of occupational health and safety losses safety professionals attempt to eliminate or control. Typical worker-related health and safety losses include injuries, illnesses, and fatalities. Workplace losses can include damaged equipment, damaged raw materials or finished products, damaged or destroyed facilities, downtime, service/production interruption, or loss of reputation.

Risk can be defined as the measure of the probability and severity of a loss event taking place. A hazard is a workplace condition or worker action that can result in injury, illness, or other organizational loss. As revealed in these definitions, determining occupational risk
requires an examination of both the probability of an event occurring and the potential severity of the end result.

The evaluation of risks in the workplace starts with the identification of the types of hazards existing at the facility. Establishing a process to ensure hazards are identified is the primary goal of a progressive organization with a strong safety management program. The organization eliminates or reduces the risks associated with those hazards to the lowest achievable and reasonable level. Nothing is risk free. Safety professionals identify the tasks and activities having the greatest inherent risk. They then attempt to systematically eliminate or reduce the level of risk as much as feasibly possible given time, personnel, and budget restraints. A detailed discussion about risk assessment will be presented in chapter 5, “Accident Causation and Investigation: Theory and Application.”

While many consider accidents to be events occurring beyond an individual’s control, safety professionals look at accidents in a more systematic and determined manner. Accidents are unplanned events, often resulting in injuries or damage, that often interrupt routine operations. They are nearly always preceded by unsafe acts of employees, hazardous conditions in the workplace, or both. When appropriate action is taken, most accidents can be eliminated.

Job Titles of Individuals Performing Occupational Safety and Health Activities

There are many titles given to individuals who perform occupational safety and health activities. The following list describes just a few of those titles:

Industrial Hygienist: Although basically trained in engineering, physics, chemistry, or biology, this individual has acquired through study and experience knowledge of the effects on health of chemical and physical agents under various levels of exposure. The industrial hygienist is involved in the monitoring and analytical methods required to detect the extent of exposure and the engineering and other methods used for hazard control.
**Risk Manager:** The risk manager in an organization is typically responsible for insurance programs and other activities that minimize losses resulting from fire, accidents, and other natural and man-made losses.

**Safety Professional:** An individual who, by virtue of their specialized knowledge and skill and/or educational accomplishments, has achieved professional status in the safety field. They may also have earned the status of CSP from the Board of Certified Safety Professionals.

**Safety Engineer:** An individual who, through education, licensing, and/or experience, devotes most or all of their employment time to the application of scientific principles and methods for the control and modification of the workplace and other environments to achieve optimum protection for both people and property.

**Safety Manager:** The individual responsible for establishing and maintaining the safety organization and its activities in an enterprise. Typically, the safety manager administers the safety program and manages subordinates, including the fire prevention coordinator, industrial hygienist, safety specialists, and security personnel.

### The Safety and Health Professional’s Role and Responsibility

The specific roles and responsibilities of safety professionals depend upon the jobs in which they are employed or the types of hazards present where they work. Research (Kohn, Timmons, and Besesi, 1991) examining the roles and responsibilities of safety professionals identified the following activities as those most frequently performed:

**Accident Investigation:** determining the facts and causes related to an accident based on witness interviews and site inspections.

**Work with Emergency Response Teams:** organizing, training, and coordinating skilled employees to react to emergencies such as fires, accidents, or other disasters.
**Environmental Protection:** recognizing, evaluating, and controlling hazards that can lead to undesirable releases of harmful substances into air, water, or the soil.

**Ergonomic Analysis and Modification:** designing or modifying the workplace based on an understanding of human physiological/psychological characteristics, abilities, and limitations.

**Fire Protection:** eliminating or minimizing fire hazards by inspection, layout of facilities, and design of fire suppression systems.

**Hazard Recognition:** identifying conditions or actions that may cause injury, illness, or property damage.

**Hazardous Materials Management:** ensuring dangerous chemicals and other products are stored and used in such a manner as to prevent accidents, fires, and the exposure of people to these substances.

**Health Hazard Control:** recognizing, evaluating, and controlling hazards that can create undesirable health effects, including noise, chemical exposures, radiation, or biological hazards.

**Inspection/Audit:** evaluating/assessing safety and health risks associated with equipment, materials, processes, or activities.

**Recordkeeping:** maintaining safety and health information to meet government requirements, as well as provide data for problem solving and decision making.

**Regulatory Compliance:** ensuring all mandatory safety and health standards are satisfied.

**Training:** providing employees with the knowledge and skills necessary to recognize hazards and perform their jobs safely and effectively.

While this list provides examples of specific activities performed by the safety professional, the American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE) has published a document titled “Scope and Functions of the Professional Safety Position.” This ASSE publication presents a broad picture of the safety professional’s roles and responsibilities (see figure 1-1).
To perform their professional functions, safety professionals must have education, training and experience in a common body of knowledge. Safety professionals need to have a fundamental knowledge of physics, chemistry, biology, physiology, statistics, mathematics, computer science, engineering mechanics, industrial processes, business, communication, and psychology. Professional safety studies include industrial hygiene and toxicology; design of engineering hazard controls; fire protection; ergonomics; system and process safety; safety and health program management; accident investigation and analysis; product safety; construction safety; education and training methods; measurement of safety performance; human behavior; environmental safety and health; and safety, health, and environmental laws, regulations, and standards. Many safety professionals have backgrounds or advanced study in other disciplines, such as management and business administration, engineering, education, physical and social sciences, and other fields. Others have advanced study in safety. This extends their expertise beyond the basics of the safety profession.

Because safety is an element in all human endeavors, safety professionals perform their functions in a variety of contexts in both public and private sectors, often employing specialized knowledge and skills. Typical settings are manufacturing, insurance, risk management, government, education, consulting, construction, health care, engineering and design, waste management, petroleum, facilitates management, retail, transportation, and utilities. Within these contexts, safety professionals must adapt their functions to fit the mission, operations, and climate of their employer.

Not only must safety professionals acquire the knowledge and skill to perform their functions effectively in their employment context, but also through continuing education and training they stay current with new technologies; changes in laws and regulations, and changes in the workforce, workplace, and world business, political, and social climate.
Functions of the Professional Safety Position

The major areas relating to the protection of people, property, and the environment are:

A. Anticipate, identify, and evaluate hazardous conditions and practices.

1. Developing methods for
   a. anticipating and predicting hazards from experience, historical data, and other information sources.
   b. identifying and recognizing hazards in existing or future systems, equipment, products, software, facilities, processes, operations, and procedures during their expected life.
   c. evaluating and assessing the probability and severity of loss events and accidents which may result from actual or potential hazards.

2. Applying these methods and conducting hazard analyses and interpreting results.

3. Reviewing, with the assistance of specialists where needed, entire systems, processes, and operations for failure modes; causes and effects of the entire system, process, or operation; and any subsystems or components due to
   a. system, subsystem, or component failures.
   b. human error.
c. incomplete or faulty decision making, judgments, or administrative actions.

d. weaknesses in proposed or existing policies, directives, objectives, or practices.

4. Reviewing, compiling, analyzing, and interpreting data from accident and loss event reports, and other sources regarding injuries, illnesses, property damage, environmental effects, or public impacts to

a. identify causes, trends, and relationship.

b. ensure completeness, accuracy and validity, or required information.

c. evaluate the effectiveness of classification schemes and data collection methods.

d. initiate investigations.

5. Providing advice and counsel about compliance with safety, health, and environmental laws, codes, regulations, and standards.

6. Conducting research studies of existing or potential safety and health problems and issues.

7. Determining the need for surveys and appraisals that help identify conditions or practices affecting safety and health, including those which require the services of specialists, such as physicians, health physicists, industrial hygienists, fire protection engineers, design and process engineers, ergonomists, risk managers, environmental professionals, psychologists, and others.

8. Assessing environments, tasks, and other elements to ensure that physiological and psychological capabilities, capacities, and limits of humans are not exceeded.

B. Develop hazard control designs, methods, procedures, and programs.

1. Formulating and prescribing engineering or administrative controls, preferably before exposures, accidents, and loss events occur to

(continued next page)
a. eliminate hazards and causes of exposures, accidents, and loss event.

b. reduce the probability or severity of injuries, illnesses, losses, or environmental damage from potential exposures, accidents, and loss events when hazards cannot be eliminated.

2. Developing methods which integrate safety performance into the goals, operations, and productivity of organizations and their management and into systems, processes, and operations or their components.

3. Developing safety, health, and environmental policies, procedures, codes, and standards for integration into operational policies of organizations, unit operations, purchasing, and contracting.

4. Consulting with and advising individuals and participating on teams
   a. engaged in planning, design, development, and installation or implementation of systems or programs involving hazard controls.
   b. engaged in planning, design, development, fabrication, testing, packaging, and distribution of products or services regarding safety requirements and application of safety principles that will maximize product safety.

5. Advising and assisting human resources specialists when applying hazard analysis results or dealing with the capabilities and limitations of personnel.

6. Staying current with technological developments, laws, regulations, standards, codes, products, methods, and practices related to hazard controls.

C. Implement, administer, and advise others on hazard controls and hazard control programs

1. Preparing reports that communicate valid and comprehensive recommendations for hazard controls which are based on analy-
sis and interpretation of accident, exposure, loss event, and other data.

2. Using written and graphic materials, presentations, and other communication media to recommend hazard controls and hazard control policies, procedures, and programs to decision-making personnel.

3. Directing or assisting in planning and developing educational and training materials or courses. Conducting or assisting with courses related to designs, policies, procedures, and programs involving hazard recognition and control.

4. Advising others about hazards, hazard controls, relative risk, and related safety matters when they are communicating with the media, community, and public.

5. Managing and implementing hazard controls and hazard control programs that are within the duties of the individual’s professional safety position.

D. Measure, audit, and evaluate the effectiveness of hazard controls and hazard control programs.

1. Establishing and implementing techniques, which involve risk analysis, cost, cost-benefit analysis, work sampling, loss rate, and similar methodologies; for periodic and systematic evaluation of hazard control and hazard control program effectiveness.

2. Developing methods to evaluate the costs and effectiveness of hazard controls and programs and measure the contribution of components of systems, organizations, processes, and operations toward the overall effectiveness.

3. Providing results of evaluation assessments, including recommended adjustments and changes to hazard controls or hazard control programs, to individuals or organizations responsible for their management and implementation.

4. Directing, developing, or helping to develop management accountability and audit programs which assess safety performance of entire systems, organizations, processes, and operations or their components and involve both deterrents and incentives.
Conclusion

The current occupational safety and health profession has its roots in the beginnings of industrial society. Concerns regarding the protection of human health, safety, and property form the basis for the profession. The following chapters of this textbook will address, in greater detail, the basic knowledge and skills critical for the successful implementation of a sound occupational safety management program.

Questions

1. Why does it make good business sense to have a good safety program? List four reasons.
2. Do you think most working individuals are concerned with occupational safety and health issues? Why?
3. Why is it useful to study historical occupational safety and health events?
4. What is your definition of the term safety? How does it differ from the professional definition of this term?
5. What potential losses can result from safety and health hazards in the workplace?
6. What are some of the responsibilities of safety professionals?
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Chapter 2

SAFETY LEGISLATION

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Explain the history of safety and health legislation
• Understand the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970
• Identify the origins of OSHA standards
• Know the specific requirements of the Act
• Understand the OSHA inspections and resultant actions

Case Study

When his machine shop was inspected, Eric Smith was shocked. With only five employees, Eric thought he was exempt from OSHA inspections. He was even more surprised to learn that one of his employees had complained. After a careful investigation, Eric learned the name of the complainant and nearly fired him. Eric’s attorney informed him that retaliatory action against an employee for exercising his rights under OSHA regulations is illegal.

Legislative History

The history of occupational safety and health has been dominated by legislation. Governments have observed problems and have attempted to solve those problems through the enactment of laws. In this country, the regulations culminated with the passage of the Occupational Safety and Health Act in 1970.

The eye-for-an-eye principle dominated early attempts to legis-
late safety. The government effort to encourage safer workplaces first revolved around punishing the wrongdoer. This concept pervaded Babylonian law over 4,000 years ago and was a forerunner to the famous Code of Hammurabi, which was written in 2100 BC, during the 30th year of his reign. The code required shipbuilders to repair defects of construction and damage caused by those defects for one year following delivery. Ship captains were required to replace goods lost at sea and to pay a fine equal to half the value of any lost ships that were refloated. If someone other than the master injured a slave, the master received compensation for the loss. Carelessness and neglect were considered unacceptable for skilled workers and professionals. Losses caused by errors on the part of these early professionals were punished using the eye-for-an-eye concept that is also found in the Old Testament. A physician whose mistakes led to the loss of a citizen’s life could find his hands cut off, or a builder could have his own child killed if his shoddy work led to the loss of another’s child.

From the time of Hammurabi and his contemporaries, little is known about attempts to legislate safety until after the Dark Ages. Early industrial plants were little more than a series of traps consisting of open machinery and unguarded moving equipment capable of maiming or killing a worker in seconds. The British developed their laws out of concern for children (Grimaldi and Simonds, 1989). In the United States the 1800s brought about a number of tragedies that met with little government response. As media coverage became widespread and information became more accessible through books, newspapers, and magazines, American citizens began to expect and demand their government provide protection from employers. This reactive pattern continued as concern for occupational safety and health grew. When public outcries about disasters and outrageous actions of employers became strong enough to overcome the influence exerted by employers on early legislatures, laws were enacted to protect the workers. Much of the early legislation was reactive; laws were enacted in response to specific tragedies. The following is a review of significant events in the development of safety and health in the 1900s:
In 1903, a fire roared through Chicago’s Iroquois Theater, killing 602 people. The fire engulfed the stage almost immediately. As the audience panicked, flames swept across the perimeter of the auditorium and finally to the seats themselves. Fire exits were few and poorly marked. The iron pillars became red hot and eventually melted.

In Monongah, a sleepy West Virginia town in the hills of Appalachia, 362 coal miners were killed in 1907. Nearly every family in the town was affected by the disaster but little was done for the survivors.

The Pittsburgh Survey (1907–1908) was a 12-month study in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, sponsored by the Russell Sage Foundation. It was found that there were 526 occupational fatalities in one year. Survivors and the workers themselves were forced to carry the cost of losses. When the breadwinners were gone, there was no further compensation of any kind. Employer incentives to reduce safety and health risks for workers were called for.

In 1910, the U.S. Bureau of Mines was created by the Department of the Interior to investigate the causes of mine accidents, study health hazards, and find means for taking corrective action.

A fire gutted a new structure in the Triangle Shirtwaist Factory in New York City. This 1911 tragedy killed 145 workers, whose escape was prevented due to the employer’s locking of the exits against theft.

In 1911, Wisconsin passed the first successful workers’ compensation plan in the United States.

The first National Safety Congress convened in 1912. It led to the formation of the National Safety Council in 1913.

The Safety to Life Committee, formed by the National Fire Protection Association in 1913, eventually led to the development of the Life Safety Code.

In 1935, Roosevelt’s New Deal included the passage of legislation that mandated a 40-hour workweek.

The Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act of 1936 banned hazardous (continued next page)
work done under federal contracts larger than $10,000. This act was a forerunner of the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

In 1968, 78 coal miners were killed in Farmington, West Virginia, when an explosion ripped through the Consolidated Coal Company Mine. Only a few miles from the infamous Monongah site, this disaster devastated the whole community. A town, a state, and a nation were outraged and called for federal intervention into the conditions killing citizens in the workplace.

The Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 was passed 72–0 in the U.S. Senate, establishing the Mine Enforcement Safety Administration (MESA), later known as the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA). MSHA governs safety within the coal mines as OSHA does for general industry and construction (discussed below).

In 1970, the Williams-Steiger (Occupational Safety and Health) Act was passed, establishing the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Prior to the establishment of OSHA, the responsibility for occupational safety and health rested primarily with state governments.

**Occupational Safety and Health Act**

Although more than 90 million Americans were working then, until 1970 no uniform and comprehensive provisions existed for their protection against workplace safety and health hazards. In 1970, Congress considered annual figures such as these:

- Job-related accidents accounted for more than 14,000 worker deaths
- Nearly 2.2 million workers were disabled
- Ten times as many person-days were lost from job-related disabilities
- Estimated new cases of occupational diseases totaled 300,000

The Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) of 1970 was passed by Congress “...to assure so far as possible every working
man and woman in the Nation safe and healthful working conditions and to preserve our human resources” (United States Department of Labor [U.S. DOL], 1995). Under the Act, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) was created within the Department of Labor to:

- Encourage employers and employees to reduce workplace hazards and to implement new or improve existing safety and health programs
- Provide for research in occupational safety and health to develop innovative ways of dealing with occupational safety and health problems
- Establish separate but dependent responsibilities and rights for employers and employees for the achievement of better safety and health conditions (U.S. DOL, 1991). Maintain a reporting and recordkeeping system to monitor job-related injuries and illnesses
- Establish training programs to increase the number and competence of occupational safety and health personnel
- Develop mandatory job safety and health standards and enforce them effectively
- Provide for the development, analysis, evaluation, and approval of state occupational safety and health programs (OSHA Training Institute [OSHA TI], 1994, chap. 1)

**Who Is Covered?**

In general, the coverage of the Act extends to all employers and their employees in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and all other territories under federal government jurisdiction. Coverage is provided either directly by federal OSHA or through an OSHA-approved state program. As defined by the Act, an employer is any “person engaged in a business affecting commerce who has employees, but does not include the United States or any State or political subdivision of a State” (U.S. DOL, 1995, p. 3).

*The following are not covered under the Act:*
• Self-employed persons
• Farms at which only immediate members of the farm employer’s family are employed
• Workplaces already protected by other federal agencies under other federal statutes (US DOL, 1995)

Under the Act, federal agency heads are also responsible for providing safe and healthful working conditions for their employees. The Act requires agencies to comply with standards consistent with those OSHA issues for private sector employers. OSHA conducts federal workplace inspections in response to employees’ reports of hazards and as part of a special program identifying federal workplaces with higher-than-average rates of injuries and illnesses (OSHATI, 1994, chap. 1).

OSHA cannot fine another federal agency for failure to comply with OSHA standards, and it does not have authority to protect federal employee whistleblowers. Federal employee whistleblowers are protected under the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (OSHATI, 1994, chap. 1).

OSHA provisions do not apply to state or local government employees. The Act requires states desiring approval to maintain their own programs to provide safety and health coverage for their own state and local government workers that is at least as effective as their programs for private employees. State plans may also cover only public sector employees. Unfortunately for state and municipal employees in states not having their own programs, unless the state has provided otherwise, no governmental protection exists for the employees. For example, Florida has no state plan of its own. Florida employees are covered by Federal OSHA but Florida state, county, and municipal employees have no coverage by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Since no other provisions have been made for the employees, they effectively have no coverage.

Although OSHA applies to federal government employees, and requires federal agencies to provide safe and healthful places of employment, OSHA does not have the authority to fine another federal government agency. Compliance issues raised at a lower organizational level are raised to a higher level until resolved.
Only employers of 11 or more individuals are required to maintain records of occupational injuries and illnesses. OSHA record-keeping is not required for certain retail trades and some service industries. Even though employers of ten or fewer employees are exempt from recordkeeping, they must still comply with the other OSHA standards, including the requirement to display the OSHA poster.

**OSHA Standards**

OSHA standards fall into four categories: General Industry, Maritime, Construction, and Agriculture. The standards are available in the following volumes:

- **Volume I** General Industry Standards and Interpretations (includes Agriculture)
- **Volume II** Maritime Standards
- **Volume III** Construction Standards
- **Volume IV** Other Regulations and Procedures
- **Volume V** Field Operations Manual
- **Volume VI** OSHA Technical Manual

These are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 and commercial publishers. Because some states adopt and enforce their own standards, copies of those may be obtained from the individual states.

**Origin of OSHA Standards**

Initially, the OSHA standards were taken from three sources: consensus standards, proprietary standards, and federal laws in effect when the Act became law (OSHATI, 1994, chap. 2).

Consensus standards are developed by industrywide, standard-developing organizations discussed and substantially agreed on through consensus by industry. OSHA has incorporated standards of the two primary standards groups, the American National Standards
Institute (ANSI) and the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). For example, ANSI Standard B56.1-1969, Standard for Powered Industrial Trucks, covers the safety requirements relating to the elements of design, operation, and maintenance of powered industrial trucks.

**Proprietary standards** are prepared by professional experts within specific industries, professional societies, and associations. These standards are determined by membership vote, as opposed to consensus. An example of these would be the Compressed Gas Association’s Pamphlet P-1, Safe Handling of Compressed Gases. This proprietary standard covers requirements for the handling, storage, and use of compressed gas cylinders (OSHA TI, 1994, chap. 2).

Some preexisting federal laws are also enforced by OSHA, including the Federal Supply Contracts Act (Walsh Healey) and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act). Standards issued under these acts are now enforced in all industries where they apply (OSHA TI, 1994, chap. 2).

When the OSHAct was first passed, much criticism stemmed from the fact that the legislation was a hodgepodge of rules of thumb and guidelines never intended to be made into laws. Since the passage of the Act, many of the trivial regulations have been changed or eliminated in an attempt to make it a more reasonable standard for workplace performance.

**Horizontal and Vertical Standards**

Standards are referred to as being either *horizontal* or *vertical* in their application. Most standards are horizontal or general in that they apply to any employer. Standards relating to fire protection or first aid are examples of horizontal standards (OSHA TI, 1994, chap. 2).

Some standards are relevant only to a particular industry, and are called *vertical* or *particular* standards. Examples are standards that apply to the longshoring or construction industry.

**Finding the OSHA Act**

The Occupational Safety and Health Act appears in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 29. It is divided into the subparts noted in
Appendixes A and B. Each subpart addresses a different major topical area. These areas include such items as Subpart D, Walking-Working Surfaces. This subpart appears in 1910.21–1910.32. Subpart E, Means of Egress, follows in 1910.35–1910.70. Each subpart is further broken down so that when one looks under Subpart E, one will find the subsection 1910.37, Means of Egress, general. The reader can turn to that section to see an explanation of means of egress and find that it is broken down even further. References to specific standards are typically found as follows:

29 CFR 1910.110(b)(13)(ii)(b)(7)(iii) indicates that the specific standard in question appears in:

- Title 29
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)
- Part 1910
- Section 110

Subsections appear first as lowercase letters, numbers, and Roman numerals. Subsections of subsections appear as italicized, lowercase letters, numbers, and Roman numerals (OSHA TI, 1994, chap. 2).

Most universities and many public libraries carry copies of the Code of Federal Regulations. They are also available from the Superintendent of Documents in Washington and from Government Institutes, Inc. OSHA standards are also available online at www.osha.gov. This site also contains other useful information, including standards interpretations, downloadable OSHA posters, recordkeeping instructions, and compliance assistance.

**Specific Requirements of the Act**

Employers are responsible for knowing the standards applicable to their establishments. When an OSHA inspection is performed, the assumption made is that the employer is aware of the law and has already attempted to comply with it. Any violations are subject to corrective legal action, typically consisting of fines. Employees must also comply with all rules and regulations that are applicable to their own actions and conduct. It is the employer’s responsibility to ensure
employee compliance. For cases involving criminal intent, OSHA may turn the files over to the Department of Justice for prosecution.

Where OSHA does not have specific standards, employers are responsible for following the Act’s general duty clause (Section 5[a][1]). The **general duty clause** requires that every working person be provided with a safe and healthful workplace. It specifically states: “Each employer shall furnish to each of his employees employment and a place of employment which is free from recognized hazards that are causing or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm to his employees” (U.S. DOL, 2006). A “**recognized hazard**” is one that is detectable by the senses or instrumentation, of which there is common knowledge, that is discoverable under usual inspection practices, or of which the employer has knowledge. Incidentally, if the employer has knowledge, an inspector will consider the hazard to be a willful violation, which carries an increased penalty.

The general duty clause extends OSHA’s authority beyond the specific requirements of the standards when a recognized workplace hazard exists or potentially exists. It is often used when no specific standard applies to a recognized hazard in the workplace. The general duty clause has been used as the basis for numerous OSHA citations. Millions of dollars are collected as a result of these citations. Few other sections of the OSHA standards (Parts 1910 and 1926) cost more in penalties for OSHA violations than Section 5(a)(1). OSHA may also use the general duty clause when a standard exists, but it is clear that the hazards involved warrant additional precautions beyond what the current safety standards require.

**Employer Responsibilities and Rights**

Aside from providing a workplace free from recognized hazards likely to cause death or serious physical harm, the employer has other responsibilities. An employer must:

- Examine workplace conditions to make sure they comply with applicable standards
- Minimize or reduce hazards
• Use color codes, posters, labels, or signs when needed to warn employees of potential hazards
• Provide training required by OSHA standards
• Keep OSHA-required records
• Provide access to employee medical records and exposure records to employees or their authorized representatives

Employers have the right to seek advice and off-site consultation as needed by writing, calling, or visiting the nearest OSHA office (OSHATI, 1994, chap. 2).

*When an inspection visit occurs, the employer must:*

• Be advised by the compliance officer of the reason for the inspection
• Accompany the compliance officer on the inspection
• Be assured of the confidentiality of any trade secrets observed by an OSHA compliance officer during an inspection

Although OSHA does not cite employees for violations of their responsibilities, each worker is required to comply with all applicable occupational safety and health standards. Employees also have the right to ask for safety and health on the job without fear of punishment. If employees are discriminated against for exercising their rights under the Act, OSHA may take the employer to court with no expense to the employees.

**Inspections**

To enforce its standards, OSHA is authorized under the Act to conduct workplace inspections. Every establishment covered by the Act is subject to inspection by OSHA compliance safety and health officers who are chosen for their knowledge and experience in the occupational safety and health field. Compliance officers are vigorously trained in OSHA standards and in the recognition of safety and health hazards. Inspections occur as a result of the following priorities established by OSHA:
Imminent danger situations are inspected first. Where there is reasonable certainty that an employee is exposed to a hazard likely to cause death or immediate serious physical harm, OSHA will try to respond as soon as possible. OSHA may become alerted to adverse conditions by a complaint or other means. Inspectors may see a story on the evening news or may even be driving by a job site where they see an imminent danger situation.

Catastrophes and fatal accidents are investigated after imminent danger situations. If three or more employees are hospitalized or if an employee is killed, OSHA must be notified within eight hours.

Employee complaints, alleging violation of standards or unsafe or unhealthy working conditions, are investigated after catastrophes and fatal accidents. The employee has the right to remain anonymous to his employer.

Programmed high-hazard inspections are given the next priority. These are aimed at specific high-hazard industries, occupations, or health substances. Selection is based on factors such as death, injury, and illness incidence rates and employee exposure to hazardous substances.

Follow-up inspections are given last priority. These are used to determine if previously cited violations have been corrected (OSHA TI, 1994, chap. 1).

Inspection Process

Under the Act, “upon presenting appropriate credentials to the owner, operator or agent in charge” (US DOL, 1991), an OSHA compliance officer is authorized to:

- Enter without delay and at reasonable times any factory, plant, establishment, construction site or other areas, workplace, or environment where work is performed by an employee of an employer; and to
- Inspect and investigate during regular working hours, and at other reasonable times, and within reasonable limits, and in a reasonable manner, any such place of employment and all pertinent conditions, structures, machines, apparatus, devices, equipment, and materials
therein, and to question privately any such employer, owner, operator, agent, or employee (U.S. DOL, 1991)

Inspections are generally conducted without advance notice. In fact, alerting an employer in advance of an OSHA inspection can bring a criminal fine of up to $1,000 and/or a six-month jail term. If an employer refuses to admit an OSHA compliance officer, or if an employer attempts to interfere with the inspection, the Act permits appropriate legal action. Typically a compliance officer refused entrance to a workplace or access to any part of it returns with a warrant and conducts the inspection as planned. Workplaces with a history of refusing OSHA access can expect the OSHA compliance officer to initiate the inspection with a warrant in hand.

Once credentials are presented, the compliance officer will explain in an *opening conference* why the inspection is being performed, the scope of the inspection, and the standards that apply. An authorized employee representative is given the opportunity to attend and to accompany the compliance officer on the inspection.

Following the conference, the compliance officer usually proceeds to inspect the OSHA records, including the OSHA 300 log. The inspector may also request copies of other required records such as the hazard communication or lockout/tagout programs.

An *inspection tour* then takes place. The officer may talk to employees in private about safety and health conditions, as well as practices in their workplace. An employer’s representative should accompany the officer and keep a careful record of everything inspected. Any comments made by the inspector should be recorded. Split samples should be requested anytime samples are taken. Copies of any photographs taken should be requested. The compliance officer may inspect the premises before reviewing records if he or she desires to do so.

A *closing conference* occurs near the end of the visit. During this time, the employer or an employer’s representative should ask questions in order to clearly understand any violations recorded by the compliance officer. The employees’ representative may be present during this conference. No penalties will be assigned at this time.
Citations and Penalties

After the compliance officer reports findings, the area director determines what citations, if any, will be issued, and what penalties, if any, will be proposed. Citations inform the employer and employees of the regulations and standards alleged to have been violated and of the proposed length of time set for their abatement. The employer receives the citations and notices of proposed penalties by certified mail within six months of the inspection. The employer must post a copy of each citation at or near the place a violation occurred for three days or until the violation is abated, whichever is longer.

*OSHA may issue any of four types of citations:*

1. **Citations for willful violations** are issued when the employer disobeys, with an intentional disregard of, or plain indifference to, the requirements of the OSHAct and regulations. These can be assessed if the employer was aware that a hazardous condition existed and made no reasonable effort to eliminate the condition. The employer need not be guilty of malicious intent to be considered in willful violation. Under OSHA’s penalty structure, the maximum penalty for a willful violation is now $70,000. The minimum penalty is $5,000. Criminal charges can be brought against an employer if an employee fatality is caused by such negligence. In criminal cases the evidence is turned over to the Department of Justice for disposition. This rarely occurs.

2. **Citations for serious violations** are issued when there is a substantial probability that death or serious physical harm could result and that the employer knew or should have known of the hazard. Violations of the general duty clause are considered serious. The maximum penalty is now $7,000.

3. **Citations for other than serious violations** are issued when a situation would affect safety or health but there is a small probability of the hazard resulting in death or serious physical harm. There is often no penalty assessed, but the hazard must still be corrected. If there is a high probability of the hazard resulting in an injury or illness, then the maximum penalty is $1,000. The OSHA regional administrators have the authority to impose a penalty of up to $7,000 if the circumstances warrant.
Regulatory citations are issued for:

- No OSHA poster: $1,000
- No OSHA 300 Log: $1,000
- Failure to post citations: $3,000
- Failure to report within eight hours a fatality or accident which hospitalizes three or more employees: $5,000

4. **Repeat violation citations** are issued when the original violation has been abated, but upon reinspection, another violation of the previously cited section of a standard is noted. They may be inadvertent, but if they are found to be willful, both a willful and a repeat citation may be issued. For a first repeat violation, penalties assessed are multiplied by a factor of 2 for employers with less than 251 employees and by 5 for larger employers. The multiplier goes to 5 for a second repeat offense for small employers and 10 for large. OSHA regional administrators have the authority to use a multiplication factor of up to 10 for small employers in order to achieve the necessary deterrent effect. Failure to abate within the prescribed period can result in a penalty for each day of the violation beyond the abatement date.

It is important to note that the Act also authorizes criminal penalties for certain violations, but as previously mentioned, OSHA rarely pursues this course of action. Penalties may be reduced for employers with less than 251 employees, good-faith efforts, or a good safety record in the last three years. Penalties for employers governed by state programs may differ.

In 1992, a chemical reactor operated by Arcadian Corporation failed. OSHA issued a citation alleging that Arcadian’s operation and maintenance of the reactor violated the General Duty Clause. It also

(continued next page)
proposed 87 penalties of $50,000 each, one for each exposed employee, for a total penalty of $4,350,000.

In using the number of exposed employees as a multiplier, OSHA was following its so-called egregious policy, which instructs enforcement offices that, for violations that are not merely “willful” but “egregious” (a term nowhere used in the Occupational Safety and Health Act). Under the egregious approach, a penalty will be proposed as to each exposed employee. OSHA claimed that this was lawful under the General Duty Clause, which states that an employer must furnish safe employment to “each” employee.

Arcadian, represented by McDermott, Will, and Emory’s OSHA Practice Group, moved to restrict OSHA to only one proposed penalty on the ground that per-employee penalties are illegal. It argued that various provisions of the OSHAct make clear that a violation is a violative “condition.” An administrative law judge agreed, and the full Commission upheld his decision. OSHA appealed to the Fifth Circuit, whose decision was widely anticipated. Four amici curiae filed briefs, including the U.S. Chamber of Commerce in support of Arcadian and the AFL-CIO in support of OSHA.

The Fifth Circuit unanimously upheld the Commission’s decision, holding that OSHA could not multiply penalties by the number of exposed employees under the General Duty Clause. The court held that violations of the General Duty Clause are based on the hazardous condition, not the number of employees affected.

The court agreed with Arcadian that the term “each” signifies only “that an employer’s duty extends to all employees, regardless of their individual susceptibilities (i.e., age or pregnancy).”


Appeals Process

Within 15 working days of the receipt of the citation, an employer may submit a written objection to OSHA. Once an inspection takes
place, those assigned to receive the citation in the mail for a company need to watch for and turn over any correspondence from OSHA. Companies ignoring the 15-day deadline, or for some other reason failing to meet it, will find they have also missed their right to appeal. Within the 15-day period the employer may contest a citation, a penalty, and/or an abatement date. An OSHA area director has the authority to make adjustments based on objections. If no agreement can be reached with the director, the objection may be forwarded to the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, which operates independently of OSHA. Appeals beyond the Commission go through the appeals courts.

**OSHA-Approved State Programs**

The Act encourages states to develop and operate, under OSHA guidance, state job safety and health plans. Once a state plan is approved, OSHA funds up to 50 percent of the operating costs of the program. State plans are required to provide standards and enforcement programs, as well as voluntary compliance activities at least as effective as the federal program. They must also provide coverage for state and local government employees. In addition, OSHA permits states to develop plans limited to public sector coverage (state and local government). In such cases, private sector employment remains under federal jurisdiction.

**Standards Development**

Once OSHA has developed plans to propose, amend, or delete a standard, it publishes its intentions in the *Federal Register* as a notice of proposed rulemaking, or often as an earlier advance notice of proposed rulemaking (U.S. DOL, 1991). The advance notice is to solicit information for use in drafting a proposal. A notice of proposed rulemaking will include the terms of the new rule and provide a specific time (at least 30 days from the date of publication, usually 60 days or more) for the public to respond.

Interested parties who submit written arguments and pertinent evidence may request a public hearing on the proposal when none has
been announced in the notice. When such a hearing is requested, OSHA will schedule one, and will publish, in advance, the time and location in the *Federal Register*.

After the close of the comment period and public hearing, if one is heard, OSHA must publish in the *Federal Register* the full, final text of any standard amended or adopted and the date it becomes effective, along with an explanation of the standard and the reasons for implementing it. OSHA may also publish a determination that no standard or amendment needs to be issued.

**Other Considerations**

Since the inception of OSHA, the construction industry has been underrepresented. According to one OSHA administrator, construction has always been an afterthought at OSHA. Much of what has been legislated in construction has been after the fact. Once rules were passed for general industry, the question was asked, “Now what do we do with construction?” In recent years, this policy has begun to change. Construction is a hazardous industry and OSHA has recognized those hazards. Construction standards appear in 29 CFR 1926. OSHA has programs giving a high priority to inspection of certain hazards. Refer to chapter 16 on construction safety for more information on focused inspections.

**NIOSH and OSHRC**

The OSHAct also created the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC). NIOSH operates within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) to develop occupational safety and health standards for recommendation to the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of HHS, and to fulfill the research and training functions of the Secretary of HHS. It is headquartered in Washington, DC, but carries out many of its functions at its facilities in Cincinnati, Ohio, and Morgantown, West Virginia. It also works through contracts with more than 15 Education and Research Centers and over 40 Training
Project Grantees around the country. These institutions provide research, education, and training in safety and industrial hygiene on behalf of NIOSH.

The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission is an independent and autonomous quasi-judicial board charged with hearing cases on appeal from OSHA. The president, with the advice and consent of the Senate, appoints its three members. It meets periodically to review cases on appeal. Once the commission hears a case, if either party is dissatisfied, it may appeal through the federal appeals court system.

**Future Trends**

The agricultural industry has not fared as well as other industries. Although agricultural problems are comparable to those in the construction or mining industries, they have not had much attention from OSHA. Many agricultural operations are small, family-owned businesses that manage to escape OSHA’s attention. If no employees outside the family work in the operation, it is automatically exempt. However, many farmers are unaware of the regulations permitting reporting of incidents and illnesses, so their operations go largely unnoticed. As a result of better recordkeeping and farmers who are becoming more aware of the steps they can take to reduce workplace incidents, OSHA will undoubtedly play a more important role in the agricultural industry.

As the workplace continues to become more complex and OSHA finds that higher numbers of workers are killed or injured in different ways than in the past, the emphasis may shift. Homicide is a primary cause of death for younger workers and for females. In recent years NIOSH has carefully studied homicide in the workplace and has produced a number of publications responding to the problem. Fast food merchandisers, taxi and delivery services, and nighttime retailers may be in line for future inspections as workplace homicide continues to become more of a concern for OSHA. An issue left largely untouched by OSHA has been that of highway accidents. Employers continue to lose more employees due to vehicular incidents than any other reason.
Although there has been discussion on changing the way OSHA enforces compliance through regulations, companies will continue to be required to comply with applicable standards and codes. Focused inspections keying in on a few critical areas may be used to trigger deeper inspections or permit the compliance officer to get in and get out quickly if no problems are found. Related rates such as those reported for workers’ compensation may also be used to target high-hazard companies and industries.

**Conclusion**

The Occupational Safety and Health Act was the culmination of centuries of governmental response to occupational safety and health problems. Since 1970, a number of additional regulations designed to protect workers have been passed, and these will be addressed in other chapters. As was noted above, incident rates and numbers of fatalities have dramatically declined since 1970 and this decline appears to be a result of legislation. It is important to recognize, however, that conformance to legislation is not enough to create a safe and healthful working environment. It merely creates a baseline for companies that might otherwise have no safety and health program at all. Generally, compliance requirements have encouraged a safer and healthier workplace and helped assist otherwise unsafe companies toward preserving the health and safety of their workers.

**Questions**

1. Can safety and health be legislated? What are the limitations of safety legislation? Where would we be without it?
2. How do you think the future of safety legislation would be affected by another major occupational disaster of the magnitude of a Monongah mine disaster? Do you think passage of new safety and health legislation is still of a reactive nature?
3. Although safety and health fines have gone up significantly in recent years, do you think it matters how high they are when the likelihood of being inspected is so low? Discuss this with people in industry and note their opinions.
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Chapter 3

WORKERS’ COMPENSATION AND RECORDKEEPING

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Explain the concept of workers’ compensation
• Describe the evolution of workers’ compensation
• Describe the different types of workers’ compensation claims
• Explain the basis for workers’ compensation rates
• Identify the basic recordkeeping requirements

Case Study

Joe Derek often takes work home with him to complete some of the details that he is unable to finish at the office. Although his employer has never encouraged him to work at home, he is aware of the practice and thankful that the work gets done. One evening on the way home from work, Joe is injured in an automobile accident. His employer is surprised when Joe files for and is awarded workers’ compensation.

Early Workers’ Compensation Laws

Early efforts to compensate victims of workplace accidents date back to the Code of Hammurabi. In modern times, efforts have been made to force employers to pay for injuries suffered by employees on the job. Modern efforts can be traced to Prussia, where in 1838, legislation was passed permitting railroad workers to collect damages for
injuries they suffered on the job. In 1884, Otto von Bismarck enacted the first workers’ compensation law for all German workers. Wisconsin passed the first successful workers’ compensation law in the United States in 1911.

The workers’ compensation laws are designed to compensate victims of workplace accidents by forcing the employer to pay the workers money for injuries and time lost. Workers’ compensation is considered “no-fault” insurance. No fault is admitted on the part of either the employer or the employee when a claim is made. Prior to passage of these laws, the only recourse a worker had was to sue the employer under civil law, a form of common law. *Common law* is a body of unwritten laws based on judicial decisions of the past, as opposed to *statutory law* that is prepared and enacted by legislative bodies. In early common law suits, employers successfully utilized the following defenses:

- **Assumption of risk.** Employees accept risks associated with the job and by doing so, forfeit any right to collect compensation for injuries.
- **Contributory negligence.** Since the employees contributed to their own injuries, regardless of how little, they are not permitted to recover compensation.
- **Fellow-servant rule.** The employer is not at fault because the accident was the fault of another employee or other employees.

These three common law defenses were eliminated after workers’ compensation laws were enacted by each state. Employers accepted this legislation because in order to collect compensation, employees had to waive their rights to sue. Employees accepted the legislation because it eliminated the requirement to sue the employer in order to collect compensation. By 1948, every state had passed workers’ compensation legislation. When those laws were passed they were referred to as “*workmen’s compensation*” laws. Today, they are referred to as “*workers’ compensation*” laws.

Prior to the passage of the workers’ compensation laws, the full cost of production was not borne by the employer, but instead was passed on to the employee. Rather than engineer a solution to a sim-
ple problem, such as providing a guard on an open sawblade, the employer permitted the employee to run the risk of being injured. It was cheaper to replace the employee than guard the machines.

Most states prohibit the suing of businesses complying with the Act except for deliberate assaults and conditions so flagrantly unsafe as to make injury virtually certain. This has generally worked as a win/win situation. In some states, however, employees who have collected workers’ compensation benefits have been successfully following up with lawsuits against the employer by using loopholes in the laws. Following a successful suit, the employee simply repays the workers’ compensation fund for benefits received. This relatively recent practice is a result of sympathetic juries who often perceive employers and their insurance companies as bottomless sources of cash. Lawyers sometimes engage employees on a no-fee basis where legal expenses are only paid if the suit is successful. This is an attractive proposition for some employees since there are no upfront expenses and they may profit substantially if the suit is successful. This relationship violates the spirit of the workers’ compensation legislation but is a result of one of the inherent flaws in some state regulations.

**Modern Workers’ Compensation Laws**

When a worker is injured and stands to collect benefits, that worker must first typically undergo a waiting period, usually lasting from three to fourteen days. Benefits may or may not be paid retroactively to the first day of lost work and wages. Once the benefits begin, they are limited to two-thirds of the worker’s wages or capped at a given dollar amount per month depending on the state. Benefits extend for a limited period of time and amount to a maximum amount of money. Because employees off work for a period of time often suffer a decrease in their standard of living, litigation may appear to be an attractive option. If workers were permitted to receive benefits equal to their wages, however, there would be a strong incentive not to return to work. Even at two-thirds wages, some employees may malinger in order to continue to receive benefits without working.

*Injuries are categorized in one of the following ways:*
• **Partial**: when the employee can still work but is unable to perform all duties of the job due to the injury, as is often the case with a broken finger or a severed toe.

• **Total**: when the employee is unable to work or perform substantial duties on the job, as is often the case with a severe back injury or blindness.

• **Temporary**: when the employee is expected to fully recover, as is the case with a broken limb or a sprain.

• **Permanent**: when the employee will suffer the effects of the injury for life, as is the case with a severed limb, blindness, or permanent hearing loss.

Specific cases are categorized as temporary partial, temporary total, permanent partial, or permanent total. Other categories of benefits include retraining incentive benefits for employees who may have specific injuries. These benefits are paid for a limited period of time to aid the injured in pursuing additional education or training. Vocational rehabilitation services may be offered to employees who are eligible for permanent total disability benefits and actively participate in a vocational rehabilitation program. *Survivors* of employees killed in industrial accidents may be entitled to their benefits as well.

Even businesses with only a few employees are required to carry workers’ compensation insurance. Some states require companies to provide benefits even for one part-time employee. A large company having the appropriate financial resources to cover the anticipated losses of self-insurance is one of the few exceptions to the requirement of purchasing workers’ compensation insurance from an outside carrier.

**Who is covered by workers’ compensation? The following is an example of the coverage that exists in one state:**

• Every person, including a minor, whether lawfully or unlawfully employed, in the service of an employer

• Every executive officer of a corporation

• Every person in the service of the state, county, or city
• Every person who is a member of a volunteer ambulance service, fire department, or police department
• Every person who is a regularly enrolled volunteer member or trainee of the civil defense corps
• Every person who is an active member of the National Guard
• Every person performing service in the course of the trade, business, profession, or occupation of an employer at the time of injury
• Every person regularly selling or distributing newspapers on the street or to customers at their homes or places of business
• Owner(s) of a business, whether or not employing any other person to perform a service for hire

**Exemptions**

_The following employees are typically exempt from the coverage of workers’ compensation:_

• Any person employed as a domestic servant in a private home
• Any person employed for not exceeding 20 consecutive workdays in or about the private home of the employer
• Any person performing services in return for aid or sustenance only, received from any religious or charitable organization
• Any person employed in agriculture
• Any person participating as a driver or passenger in a voluntary vanpool or carpool program while that person is on the way to or from his place of employment
• Any person who would otherwise be covered but elects not to be covered

If an employee is injured during a work assignment outside the state where he is employed, he is still eligible to collect benefits. In some states, benefits are reduced if the employee is not using the safety equipment required by the employer at the time of the injury.
Premium Calculation

Rates employers pay were historically based on the annual payroll in a given Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code. SIC codes are the classifications used by the federal government for businesses. Companies are categorized by major industry in divisions, such as Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing; Mining; Construction; Manufacturing; or Transportation. Within those divisions are major groups. In Division D (Manufacturing), for example, there is Major Group 25, "Furniture and Fixtures"; Industry number 2511, "Wood Household Furniture, Except Upholstered"; Industry number 2512, "Wood Household Furniture, Upholstered"; and so forth. States still use a similar method of categorizing employers. Workers’ compensation premiums, or the amounts paid for the insurance, are expressed in dollars per $100 of payroll for companies in a given four-digit category or classification. Industries or companies in classifications that are considered to be higher risk because of higher numbers of injuries or deaths pay more than those in lower classifications or categories. The rates are published in a manual and are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Manual Premium} = \frac{\text{Payroll}}{100 \times \text{Rate (based on the SIC code)}}
\]

Experience Modification

In addition to the classification rate, companies are assigned an experience modification factor or mod based upon the claims activity of their specific business. It begins at one and then changes either upward or downward as the claims activity of the business changes. It is possible for the experience modification to change yearly, but it typically takes into account the past three years. The standard premium incorporates the mod and is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Standard Premium} = \text{Manual Premium} \times \text{Experience Modification Factor}
\]

Retrospective Rating

If the mod rate is significantly higher than the manual rating, a company may request the premium be paid on a retrospective rating
basis. Companies with strongly improving safety and health pro-
grams sometimes request this rating. The insurer will charge its actual
costs for claims plus an appropriate amount for overhead.

**Self-Insured**

Employers may be self-insured if they are able to furnish to the work-
ners’ compensation board satisfactory proof of their fiscal ability to
directly pay the compensation and if they deposit an acceptable secur-
ity, indemnity, or bond (Champa, 1982). Self-insurance is no insur-
ance. Companies simply pay the claims themselves.

Regardless of the program employers use to cover their workers’
compensation insurance, they ultimately pay the cost for that insur-
ance in addition to overhead. Workers’ compensation is a cost of
doing business that can be lowered through the administration of
effective safety and health programs.

**Recordkeeping**

**Case Study**

Jamie Smith is discouraged by the recordkeeping requirements of
the company. She keeps forms for OSHA and an additional form
for workers’ compensation requirements. When a compliance officer
arrives for a routine inspection, she is surprised to learn that she does
not have to keep all the forms. She is also surprised to learn a form
must be posted during the months of February through April. She
has not posted the form in the past, but now assumes she should after
the comment made by the compliance officer. The biggest surprise
arrives three months later when the company receives a citation
because the appropriate company official did not sign the form.

**Background**

Before the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, there was
no centralized and systematic method for monitoring occupational
safety and health problems. Statistics on job injuries and illnesses were
collected by some states and by some private organizations. National figures were based on less-than-reliable projections. With the passage of OSHA came the first basis for consistent nationwide procedures, a vital requirement for gauging problems and solving them.

Accurate injury and illness records are essential in providing information for the safety and health program. *Injury and illness recording is a requirement under law (29 CFR 1904). The information maintained on forms OSHA 300, 300a, and 301 can be used to the advantage of the safety professional to:*

- **Reveal** which operations are most hazardous
- **Determine** weaknesses in the safety and health program
- **Judge** the effectiveness of the program by comparing it with past records or records of other similar plans
- **Aid** in accident analysis and investigation
- **Identify** the causes of occupational diseases by relating them to particular exposures, or processes, or both
- **Satisfy** legal and insurance requirements

Accurate records can be used to analyze illnesses and injuries so problem areas can be identified and corrected (NIOSH, 1979, p. 20).

**Who Must Keep Records**

Employers with 11 or more employees are subject to OSHA recordkeeping requirements as stated by 29 CFR 1904. They must maintain a record of occupational injuries and illnesses as they occur. Employers with ten or fewer employees are exempt from keeping such records unless selected by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) to participate in the Annual Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses. Certain low-hazard industries are also exempt. They are, however, subject to the rest of the OSHA regulations applicable to their industry and jobs, and must post the OSHA poster. The purpose of keeping records is to permit the BLS survey material to be compiled, to help define high-hazard industries, and to inform employees of the status of their employer’s record (www.OSHA.gov).
**Forms**

**OSHA 300, 300A, and 301 Forms**

Employers are required by the rule to keep injury and illness records on three forms (see figures 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3):

1. the OSHA 300 Log of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses
2. the annual OSHA 300A Summary of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses
3. the OSHA 301 Injury and Illness Incident Report.

Employers are required to keep separate 300 Logs for each establishment expected to be in operation for one year or longer. They must use these or equivalent forms for recordable injuries and illnesses.

Employers are permitted to use an insurance form or any form that keeps equivalent information instead of the OSHA 301 Incident Report. If their insurance form does not have all the information found on OSHA 301, they may add it as needed.

**Recordable Occupational Injuries and Illnesses**

The employer must enter each recordable injury or illness on the OSHA 300 Log and 301 Incident Report within seven (7) calendar days of receiving information that a recordable injury or illness has occurred.

For recordkeeping purposes, an injury or illness is an abnormal condition or disorder. Injuries include cases such as, but not limited to, a cut, fracture, sprain, or amputation. Illnesses include both acute and chronic illnesses, such as, but not limited to, a skin disease, respiratory disorder, or poisoning. Injuries and illnesses are recordable only if they are new, work-related cases that meet one or more of the Part 1904 recording criteria. The distinction between injury and illness is no longer a factor for determining which cases are recordable.

*Any work-related injuries and illnesses that result in one or more of the following must be recorded:*

- Death
- Days away from work
Figure 3-1. OSHA Form 300

OSHA’s Form 300
Log of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses

[OSHA Form 300 image]

OSHA’s Form 300
Log of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses

[OSHA Form 300 image]
Figure 3.2. OSHA Form 300A

OSHA’s Form 300A (Rev. 01/2004)

Summary of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses

A establishment covered by Part 1901 must complete this Summary page and submit written incidents as described above during the year. In addition, to determine if the OSHA is aware of any other serious injuries or illnesses, the employer must complete this Summary page and submit written reports to the OSHA. The Employer must check the OSHA Form 301 or its equivalent, use OSHA Form 300A unless authorized by the OSHA or its equivalent, or both, before completing this Summary page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of deaths</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of cases with days away from work</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of days away from work</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of cases with job transfer or restriction</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of other recordable cases</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Days</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of days away from work</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of days of job transfer or restriction</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury and Illness Types</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of 10 injuries</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of 13 injuries</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Percentage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Hearing loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 All other injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Past this Summary page from February 1 to April 30 of the year following the year covered by the form.

I certify that I have examined this document, and to the best of my knowledge the contents are true, accurate, and complete.

Signature: ______________________
Date: ______________________

OSHA Form 300A (Rev. 01/2004)

U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Form approved OMB No. 1218-0041
## OSHA's Form 301

### Injury and Illness Incident Report

This Injury and Illness Incident Report is one of the forms you must fill out when a recordable work-related injury or illness has occurred. Together with the Log of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses and the accompanying Summary, these forms help the employer and OSHA develop a picture of the extent and severity of work-related injuries.

Within 7 calendar days after you receive information that a recordable work-related injury or illness has occurred, you must fill out this form or an equivalent. Some state workers' compensation, insurance, or other programs may be acceptable substitutes. To be considered an equivalent form, any substitute must contain all the information asked for in this form.

According to the Federal Laws 1910.38 and 29 CFR 1910.38, OSHA record keeping rule, you must keep this form for the 5 years following the year to which it pertains.

If you need additional copies of this form, you may photocopy it as many as you need.

### Information about the employee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Information about the case

10. Case number from the log
20. Date injury or illness occurred
21. Days away from work
22. Days restricted from work
23. Days off work
24. Work status

### Information about the physician or other health care professional

1. Name of physician or other health care professional

2. If employee was given away from work, where was given?

3. Date

4. City

5. State

6. Zip

### Completed by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Restricted work
• Transfer to another job
• Medical treatment beyond first aid
• Loss of consciousness
• Diagnosis of a significant injury or illness

First Aid Cases

If a case is only considered to be first aid, it does not have to be recorded. For recordkeeping purposes “first aid” means only the following treatments (any treatment not included in this list is not considered first aid for recordkeeping purposes):

• Using a nonprescription medication at nonprescription strength
• Administering tetanus immunizations
• Cleaning, flushing, or soaking wounds on the surface of the skin
• Using wound coverings such as bandages, Band-Aids, gauze pads, etc., or using butterfly bandages or Steri-Strips
• Using hot or cold therapy
• Using any nonrigid means of support, such as elastic bandages, wraps, nonrigid back belts, etc.
• Using temporary immobilization devices while transporting an accident victim
• Drilling of a fingernail or toenail to relieve pressure, or draining fluid from a blister
• Using eye patches
• Removing foreign bodies from the eye using only irrigation or a cotton swab
• Removing splinters or foreign material from areas other than the eye by irrigation, tweezers, cotton swabs, or other simple means
• Using finger guards
• Using massages
• Drinking fluids for relief of heat stress.
For recordkeeping purposes medical treatment does not include visits to a physician or other licensed health care professional solely for observation or counseling; diagnostic procedures such as X rays and blood tests, including the administration of prescription medications used solely for diagnostic purposes (e.g., eyedrops to dilate pupils); or any treatment contained on the list of first aid treatments. (www.OSHA.gov)

**Fatalities**

If an on-the-job accident occurs, resulting in the death of an employee or the hospitalization of three or more employees, all employers are required to report the accident in detail to the nearest OSHA office within eight hours (29 CFR 1904.8). The employer must file a report by telephone or in person to the OSHA area office or state plan office. A motor vehicle accident occurring on a public highway, not in a construction zone, does not need to be reported.

**Privacy Concern Cases**

The rule requires the employer to protect the privacy of the injured or ill employee. The employer must not enter an employee’s name on the OSHA 300 Log when recording a privacy concern case. The employer must keep a separate, confidential list of the case numbers and employee names, and provide it to the government on request. If the work-related injury or illness involves an intimate body part or the reproductive system; resulted from a sexual assault; results in mental illness; leads to HIV infection, hepatitis, or tuberculosis; results from needlestick and sharps injuries contaminated with another person’s blood or other potentially infectious material; or other illnesses, not injuries, if the employee independently and voluntarily requests that his or her name not be entered on the OSHA 300 Log, it is considered a privacy concern case.

**Posting Annual Summary Requirements**

After the end of the year, employers must review the log to verify its accuracy, summarize the 300 Log information on the 300A summary form, and certify the summary. A company executive must sign the certification. The information must then be posted from February
1 to April 30. The employer must keep the records for five years fol-
lowing the calendar year covered. If the business is sold, records must
be transferred to the new owner.

An other-than-serious citation will normally be issued if an
employer fails to post the OSHA 300A Summary by February or
fails to certify the Summary or keep it posted for three months (until
May). The unadjusted penalty for this violation is $1,000. A citation
won’t be issued if the Summary would have reflected no injuries or
illnesses anyway.

A copy of the OSHA forms can be obtained from the U.S.
Department of Labor or the state department of labor—OSH Divi-
sion. They are also available online at www.osha.gov.

In summary, OSHA places special importance on posting and
recordkeeping. The compliance officer will inspect records the
employer is required to keep, and ensure that a copy of OSHA No.
300A is or has been posted and that the OSHA workplace poster is
prominently displayed.

Conclusion

Employers need to be careful in the application of laws in particular
states. Although the types of awards vary, employers are subject to
workers’ compensation liability any time an employee is injured in
the course of work. This could occur in a number of ways. In the case
of an employee taking work home from the office, that employee is
subjecting the employer to a possible claim while en route, as well as
while working at home. Employees required to travel in the course of
their jobs may also be covered by workers’ compensation even during
their off-hours.

Managers should make every effort to ensure the safety of their
employees at all times. When things go wrong, they must be prepared
to track injuries and illnesses. These records must be kept in a timely
manner and include all required information.

Questions

1. What is the value of records to the employer and to OSHA?
   Recordkeeping requirements by OSHA are heavily emphasized.
Prior to walking around in an inspection, the compliance officer will first look at records.

2. Is workers’ compensation beneficial to the employer? Although it costs the employer money, why is it desirable from the employer’s perspective?

3. How do complete company records provide protection to the employer? If the law didn’t require them, should the employer still keep detailed occupational safety and health records?
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Chapter 4

SAFETY-RELATED BUSINESS LAWS

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

- Understand the important legal terminology related to civil law
- Identify the different types of torts
- Understand product liability and associated risks
- Understand product safety and the Product Safety Act
- Identify the types of warranties
- Understand contracts

Case Study

Larry Wilson’s son was badly burned in a motorcycle accident. Larry decided to sue the manufacturer to see if he could be compensated for uninsured medical bills, pain and suffering on his son’s behalf, and future expected care costs. The company settled out of court for $750,000 before the case ever went to trial. In a criminal case, where an individual violates a law, a party is presumed innocent until proven guilty. In a product liability case, like this one, a party wins or loses based on the preponderance of evidence. Guilt is not necessarily involved. The party with the most convincing argument wins. It is not unusual for a case of this nature to be settled before trial if parties are willing and can reach an agreement on terms. Additional legal expenses can then be saved. However, each is gambling that the company or individual will be financially better off with a settlement. Incidentally, this case was to be tried before a jury, as many such cases are.

The really interesting and ironic parts of this case were the circum-
stances surrounding the accident. Wilson had purchased the motorcycle from a neighbor for $15 earlier that day. He wheeled the motorcycle over to his house, pushed it into the basement, and closed the door. The next time he thought about the motorcycle was when he heard shouts coming from the basement, where he found his son lying on the floor, engulfed in flames.

A subsequent investigation by the defendant’s attorneys found the motorcycle gas tank had no cap when Wilson bought it; yet he stored it next to the water heater. He took no steps to warn his son, nor did he give the boy permission to play with the motorcycle. When his son tried to kick start the motorcycle, he dropped it. Defense attorneys speculated that gas spilled and was ignited by the nearby water heater. The boy was pinned by the weight of the cycle and suffered burns as a result. Additionally, no doors had been opened to permit the exhaust from the motorcycle to leave the house had it, in fact, started.

Many involved in the case, including the motorcycle manufacturer’s legal counsel, believed that the manufacturer had no liability. Should anyone have been sued, it should have been the neighbor who sold the motorcycle without a gas cap. Before the case reached trial, however, the manufacturer decided to settle. The company managers and defense attorneys believed that once the case went to trial, a jury might award a large cash settlement to the Wilson family. Even though preponderance of evidence may not have favored the Wilsons, juries are often sympathetic to the plights of injured people regardless of fault. They may perceive that manufacturers have unlimited funds and the injured people who are suing have few financial resources. Therefore, the manufacturer settled the case before it went to trial.

**Important Terminology**

*Criminal law* is addressed in the courts through prosecution, and the penalty suffered is either a fine or imprisonment. *Civil law* is addressed in the courts through litigation, and penalties include monetary damages. For example, John Smith is driving the company truck. When he hits the brakes, they fail to operate properly and the truck runs off the road and into a ravine. As a result of the accident, John suffers severe back pain and is unable to work for several months. John perceives the benefits he receives are insufficient to compensate him for the inconvenience, pain, and suffering he has
undergone. Under civil law, John contacts a local attorney, and after discussing the accident with her, decides to sue. John is seeking recovery beyond the workers’ compensation benefits.

After meeting with his counsel, John sues his employer, as owner of the truck; the dealership where the truck was last serviced; the manufacturer of the truck; and the owner of the land where the truck went off the road. John is the plaintiff and each of the parties being sued is a defendant. His lawyer has advised John to follow the deep pockets theory and to sue everyone who might have money in the case. As in the Wilsons’ case, John will only go after individuals who are most likely to have the money to pay. After depositions are given by each of the parties in the suit, the landowner is removed from the list because John’s legal counsel does not believe this party shared fault. Aside from the small piece of property, the landowner has few assets and the defense counsel believes it is in the plaintiff’s best interest to excuse him from the suit. The policy of some companies is to never settle out of court if they do not absolutely believe they are at fault. Their perception is that settlements encourage additional lawsuits. Other companies settle when possible to avoid the legal expenses associated with a long court case, as well as the adverse publicity often accompanying a trial.

Lawsuits, like the ones mentioned above, are typically initiated following a tort. A tort is an act, or absence of an act, that causes a person to be injured, a reputation to be marred, or property to be damaged. A lawsuit differs from a criminal case in that the purpose of a lawsuit is to obtain compensation for damage suffered. A criminal case seeks to punish the wrongdoer without compensation. A tort may give rise to a criminal action or a lawsuit.

Today, any accident resulting in death, injury, or property damage can bring about a lawsuit, criminal prosecution, or both. Anyone can sue another party anytime for practically any reason. Lawsuits range from the justified to the ridiculous. Most are a result of someone who perceives he has been wronged and seeks compensation.

From a safety perspective, one of the major concerns is liability. Liability is either voluntarily assumed, as by contract, or imposed, as is the case following a lawsuit. Liability resulting from torts is of the latter type. It is important to understand tort law because managers
can sometimes unwittingly find themselves on the losing end of a lawsuit. Activities that can act as catalysts for lawsuits and that are difficult, if not impossible, to defend include the following:

- **Intentional torts** occur when the defendants intended for their actions to cause the consequences of their act; they are knowingly committed. Examples of intentional torts are assault, battery, false imprisonment, and defamation. They are defined below.

  — **Assault** occurs when words or actions cause another person to fear personal harm or offensive contact. If one employee threatens to hit another employee with a shovel, the first employee may be guilty of assault. If a person is made to feel apprehensive or afraid that he will be hurt or offensively contacted, then the other party may be guilty of assault. A key element of apprehension is the knowledge that the assault occurred. If a worker shakes his fist at another worker or threatens another worker in such a way that the other worker is unaware of the motions, then no assault has occurred.

  — **Battery** is harmful or offensive bodily contact. If an employee hits another employee or even grabs the other employee by the shirt collar, the offending employee may be guilty of battery. The act may have caused little or no injury, but if it offends a reasonable person, then it may be considered battery.

  — **False imprisonment** occurs when a person is restrained within a fixed area against their will. If, however, the restrained individual has a means of exit available, then no false imprisonment has occurred. For example, merchants who restrain suspected shoplifters might be sued for false imprisonment. If, however, the merchant merely stands between the shoplifter and the door to discuss the issue, and the shoplifter has another means of exit, no imprisonment has occurred.

  — **Defamation** is the act of injuring another individual’s reputation by disgracing or diminishing that person in the eyes of others. Defamation can occur through *libel* when the communication is written or broadcast through media such as radio or television. It can also occur through *slander* where the communication is spo-
Defamation is grounds for a lawsuit. The best defense against a defamation suit is the truth, but employees should be warned about the consequences of defamation lawsuits. A negative reference letter or an adverse report about someone given by telephone may trigger a defamation suit.

All of the above are examples of intentional torts. In order to successfully sue someone for an intentional tort, the plaintiff has to present a *preponderance of evidence* as opposed to proving the defendant guilty, as a prosecutor tries to do in a criminal case. In an intentional tort lawsuit, the verdict is awarded to the individual who presents enough evidence to convince the judge or jury he should receive the verdict in his favor.

Individuals need to also be aware of *unintentional torts* when parties are sued due to their unintentional actions. Typically, the parties being sued are negligent. *Negligence* involves creating an unreasonable risk of harm, as opposed to intentional torts that deal with conduct likely to cause harm. The failure to exercise reasonable care under these circumstances can lead to a negligence suit.

“*Reasonable care*” is a relative term dependent on the circumstances and the party involved. The legal standard for reasonable care is the reasonable person who always acts prudently under the circumstances and is never careless or negligent. If the party happens to be a professional, the standard is raised. For example, a person who is qualified to work in a profession or trade requiring special skills is expected to act in a manner consistent with that of a prudent and careful surgeon, carpenter, accountant, or safety professional. The skill level and expectations are higher for a professional than they are for the nonprofessional in a given area.

If standards of performance exist, the professional should be aware of those standards and make every effort to comply with them. For example, accountants have certain standards that are maintained and published by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Safety professionals have standards published by the Board of Certified Safety Professionals. When accountants, safety practitioners, or other professionals remain ignorant of the standards of their trades or choose to ignore those standards, they are increasing their risk of
being sued and of being unsuccessful in the defense of a suit. Professionals are held to a higher standard of performance by the courts.

Even though a plaintiff can successfully present a case for negligence and a preponderance of evidence, the defendant may not receive a favorable award because of a successful defense. Successful defenses that have prevented awards include contributory negligence, comparative negligence, and assumption of risk.

Contributory negligence occurs when the plaintiff helped cause or actually contributed to the loss. If an employee mops the floor in an area where pedestrians have the option to go around but choose not to, and a person slips, falls, and is injured on the wet floor, the person who fell contributed to the accident through negligence and may be denied an award.

In some states, the defendant and the plaintiff may simply share the above award. In a comparative negligence award the amount is apportioned based on the percentage of fault. Therefore, in a comparative negligence defense, if the defendant is found to be 40 percent at fault and the plaintiff 60 percent at fault, and the award is for $100,000, the actual cash paid by the defendant is $60,000. If the plaintiff’s share of fault is found to be greater than 50 percent, then the plaintiff can receive no award.

Assumption of risk occurs when the injured party knowingly accepts the risk involved in the action that leads to injury(s). For example, a delivery person chooses to deliver a package by walking up ice-covered steps, falls and is injured, and takes legal action against the owner of the steps. The defendant’s argument could be that the delivery person could see the steps were icy, yet chose to deliver the package, and therefore assumed the risk of falling.

Role of the Safety Professional

The safety professional should be aware of the various pitfalls open to management and other employees relative to rules of doing business. Employees unaware of the consequences of their actions may engage in activities detrimental to the well-being of the organization. In a lawsuit, even in situations where no dollar amount is awarded to a plaintiff, the company may have to expend large amounts of money
in defending itself against lawsuits. The safety professional should look for exposures to litigation due to torts and help the company avoid those exposures through advice to and education of managers and other employees.

**Product Liability**

Within the last few decades, litigation costs surrounding product safety have evolved, with some lawsuits reaching the million- or billion-dollar award level in damages. According to Seiden, products should “prevent and/or mitigate personal injury and illness under reasonably foreseeable conditions of service, including reasonably foreseeable use and misuse” (1984, p. 15). Much of the litigation evolved from the consumer movement of the late 1960s and early 1970s. One result of this movement was the passage of the Product Safety Act.

**Product Safety Act**

_The Product Safety Act was officially enacted on October 27, 1972, with four main purposes:_

- To protect the public against unreasonable risks of injury associated with consumer products
- To assist consumers in evaluating the comparative safety of consumer products
- To develop uniform safety standards for consumer products and to minimize conflicting state and local regulations
- To promote research and investigation into the causes and prevention of product-related deaths, illnesses, and injuries (Bureau Of National Affairs [BNA], 1973, app. 3)

This Act is administered by the Consumer Product Safety Commission, which promulgates consumer product safety standards. These standards are “reasonably necessary to prevent or reduce an unreasonable risk of injury associated with such product” (BNA, 1973, app. 7). _The text of the Product Safety Act states two categories of_
requirements for product safety: As to performance, composition, contents, design, construction, finish, or packaging of a consumer product,

- That a consumer product be marked with or accompanied by clear and adequate warnings and instructions,
- Or requirements respecting the form of warnings and instructions (Colangelo and Thornton, 1981, p. 1).

Companies manufacturing products and not considering the above requirements place themselves at increased risk for product liability. Product liability “describes an action, such as a lawsuit, in which an injured party (the plaintiff) seeks to recover damages for personal injury or loss of property from a seller or manufacturer (the defendant) when it is alleged that the injuries or economic loss resulted from a defective product” (Colangelo and Thornton, 1981). Manufacturers, in turn, can effectively minimize product liability by developing products with safeguards against predictable types of defects, deficiencies, abuse, or misuse. However, there are many unforeseeable factors that can hamper achievement of this goal. For example, injuries to consumers using (or misusing) products can facilitate product liability litigation, thus increasing the manufacturer’s responsibility for producing a safe product and the cost of that product to consumers.

Theories of Product Liability

There are four important theories that form the basis of product liability and provide a fundamental understanding of product liability litigation:

1. **Strict liability** is the concept that a manufacturer of a product is liable for injuries received due to product defects, without the necessity for a plaintiff to show negligence or fault (Hammer, 1972). In some instances, a party may be liable for damages caused even though there was no negligence or intentional tort. Some activities, even though they are desirable and often necessary, are
so inherently dangerous they are a risk to others regardless of how carefully they are conducted. In such cases, the purveyor of the activity is held to the concept of *strict liability* or liability without fault. An example of strict liability is when a company stores explosives or even flammable liquids in large quantities, or emits noxious fumes. Once it is determined damages are linked to the activity, the manufacturer will generally be held liable regardless of the circumstances.

2. **Negligence** is the failure of the manufacturer to exercise reasonable care. In addition, it also includes the responsibility to carry out a legal duty so injury or property damage does not occur to another (Hammer, 1972).

3. **Breach of express warranty** occurs when the product does not meet the claims made by the manufacturer and, as a result, damage or injury occurs to another (Colangelo and Thornton, 1981). When a company gives a statement of performance concerning a product, this statement becomes part of the product. If the product fails to live up to the standards outlined by this statement, then the product breaches the express warranty. This warranty may be written, oral, or simply in the form of a demonstration. The assumption made by the buyer, and justifiably so, is that the product purchased will perform as well as was shown in the demonstration.

4. **Breach of implied warranty** occurs when a product fails to fulfill the purpose for which it was intended. An implied warranty is a warranty implied by the law rather than specifically made by the seller. The basis for this rests primarily on definitions found in the Uniform Commercial Code. In essence, the seller may be held liable if the seller makes an improper recommendation regarding use of the product (Colangelo and Thornton, 1981). Even though no particular warranties are expressed, products are purchased for a particular purpose. When a product fails to fulfill that purpose, it is in violation of the implied warranty. If, for example, a consumer buys a balloon and the balloon will not hold air, it is in violation of the implied warranty. The assumption made by the purchaser is that all balloons hold air.
Manufacturers or sellers are not liable for all injuries that may result from a product. This would be the concept of absolute liability. However, these four basic theories clearly establish the product responsibilities of the manufacturer or seller in most states (Brauer, 1990).

Liabilities extend to the final user of the product, regardless of how many times it changes hands. The liability extends to wholesalers, retailers, and to the final user and is known as vertical privity. Vertical privity refers to parties up and down the distribution chain. Horizontal privity refers to users on a given level of the chain. Injured bystanders are protected under the concept of horizontal privity.

Lawsuits

In a product liability lawsuit, the plaintiff must present certain evidence to support the claim. With the exception of express warranty cases, the plaintiff must prove (Brauer, 1990):

• The product was defective
• The defect existed at the time it left the defendant’s hands
• The defect caused the injury or harm and was proximate to the injury

In reference to the four theories of product liability, each has its own requirements for evidence presentation (Brauer, 1990):

• Strict Liability. No other evidence is required to establish a case
• Negligence. The plaintiff must show the defendant was negligent in some duty toward the plaintiff
• Express Warranty and Implied Warranty. The plaintiff must show a product failed to meet the implied or express warranty or misrepresented claims for the product.

The manufacturers or sellers, on the other hand, have a number of defenses at their disposal for the four theories (Brauer, 1990). They may try to show that although the product is dangerous, the danger itself is not a defect. According to Brauer, the defendant could try to show the plaintiff “altered the product or unreasonably misused it,
or the product itself did not cause the injury.” The defendant might also claim that “the product met the accepted standards of the government, industry, or self-imposed standards related to the product, to the claimed defects, and to the use of the product.”

There are risks manufacturers or sellers face when they put a product on the market. There are, however, a number of ways liability can be minimized:

- Defend the manufacturer in design, manufacturing, packaging, and the marketplace
- Assemble a team for product design review to thoroughly analyze the product for hazards and acceptable controls (Brauer, 1990)
- Remove unreasonable dangers from products and environments; prevent defects from reaching the marketplace; account for the use and misuse of the product; and consider hazards, potential injury, compliance with standards, and claims for products
- Ensure warnings identify remaining hazards and provide instructions necessary for user protection

At every step in the product’s life cycle, beginning with product conception, the safety professional should have input. (See chapter 9 for additional information.) Safety should not be an afterthought in the design and manufacture of products if liability is to be minimized. Safety must be built into each and every product leaving the facility.

**Contracts**

A **contract** is a legally enforceable promise. It may be expressed, implied-in-fact, or quasi-contractual. Contracts can be made in a number of ways. **Express contracts** are made when a person engages in an oral or written commitment to another to enter into a binding relationship. **Implied-in-fact contracts** occur when parties indicate through their actions, rather than words, that they intend to agree. **Quasi contracts** occur when one party would be enriched unjustly if permitted to enjoy something received from another party. Usually Party A provides work or services to Party B even though an actual
contract did not exist. Party B may have to make reasonable restitution to Party A for the services performed.

In order for a contract to be valid, four conditions must be present. First, there must be an agreement; this requires an offer. An offer states, in reasonably certain terms, what is promised and what each party will do. The courts consider the intention of the offering party. For example, if the person is joking, asking for details, or simply negotiating, an offer may not take place. On the other hand, a proposal may be an offer even though all of the terms have not been specified.

Once an offer has been extended, acceptance may be made by the other party, or the other party may make a counteroffer. In any case, in order for the contract to come into existence, there must be an agreement to the terms of the offer and then acceptance. If the offer specifies it must be accepted in a particular way in terms of time or means, unless the terms are adhered to, there is no contract.

Typically, there is no enforcement of the contract unless there is consideration. Consideration is exchanged in terms of goods, services, or restraint from doing something. Both parties must be obliged to provide something, but the value provided by each party does not have to be equal. Sometimes a promise is exchanged for a small sum, but if fraud or improper conduct is evidenced, the contract is unenforceable.

All parties to the contract must have the legal capacity to enter into the contract. They must be of legal age, sober, and of sound mind. If, for example, a party enters into a contract with a minor (one who is typically under the age of 18), the contract may be void. There are exceptions to the above conditions, but these are generally upheld. If the contract has an illegal intent or calls for some action that violates public policy, then it is typically void.

Enforcement of contracts occurs under civil law. When one party fails to live up to his or her part of the contract, the other party may attempt to enforce the terms of the contract by bringing a lawsuit. The courts may require an award of money or may force a party in the contract to perform the promise specified. This remedy, known as specific performance, may be necessary when a monetary remedy would be insufficient to compensate for the unique requirements of
the contract. For instance, if a specific piece of real estate or a rare object of art is involved, the court may require that particular property to be delivered.

Certain points of law are sometimes pitfalls. For example, insurance contracts and some leases are considered **contracts of adhesion**. These documents are often offered to the purchaser or lessee. Because greater power rests with the author of the document, ambiguities and language subject to interpretation will typically be construed against the writer. In such cases, the contract writer is considered to be the expert, so interpretations will tend to favor the weaker party, such as the insured or the lessee.

**Exculpatory** or **hold harmless** clauses are sometimes written to limit or eliminate liability in certain contracts. For example, when a company hires a contractor to perform work in its business, the contractor may require the company to first sign an exculpatory agreement stating the contractor will not be responsible for any damages the contractor causes. Clauses in contracts similar to this do not provide much protection for contractors or others whose intentional acts or negligence causes injury or damage. Companies still use them, hoping to deter another party from actually suing.

Safety professionals should be aware of the **parol evidence** rule, which states that the written contract supersedes any oral promises. When the written terms are agreed upon, they will govern the contract. For example, a salesperson’s promises, if not included in the contract, will usually not be enforced. It is, therefore, worthwhile to review all written contracts based on a sales pitch. Insurance contracts, leases, and service or maintenance contracts are typical of the types of documents that might differ from the buyer’s expectations.

**Insurance**

Companies can build safety into a product every step of the way. No unusual warranties may be given, and the salespeople may do an excellent and thorough job of explaining the product features. Buyers can even sign an exculpatory clause. However, even with the best products and the best of intentions, companies will still be sued. Sometimes, the only thing that stands between them and potential
bankruptcy is insurance. Insurance companies not only pay up to specified amounts when lawsuits are lost, but also provide defense attorneys for the defendant. It is sometimes less expensive to defend against a lawsuit than to simply pay up. Insurance is often the best protection against spurious lawsuits.

**Conclusion**

Safety practitioners need to be aware of the basic legal environment surrounding the workplace. Although they often depend on legal counsel for specific advice, an awareness of the laws likely to affect the profits of the company is critical. Knowledge of potential pitfalls and methods to avoid those traps can only help to increase company profitability.

The concepts discussed in this chapter are complex and hold many exceptions and potential problems for manufacturers and consumers. Complete details are beyond the scope of this text. Additional research or legal consultation is recommended for answers to specific problems or questions.

**Questions**

1. Is the job of the safety manager one that requires concern for contracts, liability, and insurance, or should the safety practitioner simply be concerned with “safety?”
2. Do you think that there should be a cap on the amounts of jury awards that may be given beyond actual losses? Why or why not? Who pays for these awards?
3. How does a concern for torts become a part of the safety practitioner’s concerns? Are you aware of situations where an employee or an agent of a company has committed a tort against another and the company was held financially responsible? Who pays in this case?
4. Do you ever use exculpatory or hold harmless clauses in your work? Are you ever asked to sign them when you rent, purchase, or use property? What are the circumstances when you are likely to see them?
References


Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

- Explain the benefits of understanding accident causation theory
- Define the terminology associated with accident causation theory
- Identify the activities involved in risk assessment
- Compare and contrast the various accident causation theories
- Explain the purpose of accident investigation
- List the activities involved in accident investigation

Introduction

Safety and health professionals are responsible for aiding management in anticipating, identifying, evaluating, and controlling hazards in the workplace. Responsibilities include advising the management team of the risks the facility faces. The professional then calls upon management to eliminate the hazards associated with those risks before losses occur. Knowledge of accident causation theories permits the professional to more thoroughly recognize and communicate information regarding organizational safety problems.

Employees with little safety and health experience might walk near a punch press leaking lubricating oil on a shop floor and recognize a slipping hazard. For the more experienced professional several questions are raised:
• What is the lubricant?
• Is it a fire hazard?
• Is it a health hazard?
• How do the operators clean the spillage?
• Is the solvent used for cleanup a health hazard?
• Are hazard communication programs, training, and personal protective equipment required to use these products?
• Should less hazardous lubricants and solvents be considered as substitutes? Is the punch press guarded?
• Are there repetitive motion or other ergonomic hazards associated with the operation of the press?

It is possible to greatly expand the list of questions one might ask, given the few signals provided in this simple scenario. The more information and experience available, the more safety and health hazards are likely to be anticipated and recognized.

There are many theories associated with the causes of accidents, ranging from simple to complex. Some focus on employees and how their action or lack thereof contributes to accidents. Others focus on management and its responsibilities for preventing conditions leading to accidents. Theories are not facts; they are tools predicting relationships that may exist in the future.

Accidents are not events happening by chance; they have specific causes. Nor are they random events; they are usually predictable and preventable. By applying one or more theories, the professional is more likely to predict accidents and initiate activities preventing their occurrence or recurrence. Accidents represent failures in the system or management problems in the organization. Management controls all the variables surrounding accidents. It creates the work environment. It advertises for, hires, places, trains, and supervises workers. Management can reward for work it wants done and punish when procedures are violated. If the worker makes a fatal error, both OSHA and the courts will likely hold management responsible. Public opinion rarely favors management in accident situations.
In an accident investigation, management may blame the worker. This is referred to as the \textit{pilot error syndrome}; in other words, the pilot is blamed because he is dead, injured, or considered a weak scapegoat and cannot defend himself. \textit{It is important to emphasize the safety professional is looking not for a place to assign blame, but for errors in the system.} These errors can then be addressed so problems and accidents will be prevented in the future. Anytime the professional fails to find the error in the system, causing or permitting the incident to occur, the investigation stops short. Once the worker or pilot is blamed, management and the management system are off the hook; therefore, there is no reason to continue to search for why the accident occurred.

Anytime the accident investigator seeks to place blame, employees run for cover. Stories will be concocted and the truth may not be found. A safety professional in a major company was investigating a helicopter accident following a crash involving a pilot and a few employees on a surveillance mission of the plant property. Although no one was injured, the copter was a total loss and the investigation yielded no causes to be addressed. All aboard agreed the crash was due to wind shear. Some months later, the investigator found himself with one of those onboard and questioned him further regarding the incident with a promise of no reprisals. After encouragement, the employee revealed that during the flight, the pilot yelled, “Watch this!” He proceeded to chase a deer through the woods with the copter. With his attention focused on the animal he failed to notice the clearing where he was flying become narrower; nor did he see the rotor clip some trees until it was too late to avoid damage. Once on the ground, the pilot coached the other employees to agree on a story to tell the safety investigator. With this new information the company established a new policy regarding flying altitudes, and the likelihood of the problem resurfacing was reduced. The investigator was true to his word and pursued no disciplinary action. To do so would likely have slowed the flow of information in future accident investigations. Even in this case of flagrant employee disregard of safety, management accepted responsibility for the problem and initiated appropriate action.
The Concepts of Risk, Incidents, and Accidents

“Accident” refers to a loss-producing, unintended event. “Incident” is an unintended event possibly not causing a loss. For example, a truck with a flat tire pulls off the side of the road into tall grass, bordering a hill. The driver does not realize the grass is disguising a slope; he doesn’t check the ground before driving onto it and the truck rolls down the hill. If no slope were present—only solid ground next to the road—the truck would not roll down the hill. In either case, if the driver never checks the slope, it is simply a matter of chance as to whether or not a loss occurs. If no loss occurs, it could be described as an “incident.” Incidents include so-called near misses and other accident-type events not resulting in loss. If the truck rolls down the hill, causing injury, death, or property damage, the event is now described as an “accident.” Whether or not an incident is also an accident, accumulating data about such events is worthwhile. The response to either should be the same in terms of active intervention with engineering or administrative controls, or the establishment of other controls.

As defined in chapter 1, “risk” describes both the probability and severity of a loss event. **Probability** refers to the likelihood of the occurrence of an event. When used as part of a risk assessment tool in system safety, probabilities can be categorized as **frequent, probable, occasional, remote,** and **improbable** (Roland and Moriarity, 1990). By studying safety-related data, it is possible to determine statistical trends for a variety of factors, such as the types of accidents (e.g., falls to the same level, being struck by a falling object, or overexertion injuries) or location where injuries are taking place (e.g., warehouse, assembly, paint shop). Using the data on the frequency of occurrence of these events, it is possible to classify injuries, property damage, or other loss factors in terms of one of the probability categories.

$$\text{Risk} = \text{Probability} \times \text{Severity}$$

Probability and frequency of loss events are only half of the risk picture. The severity of the loss event must also be considered. “Severity” refers to the magnitude of the loss in a given period of time. When used as part of the risk assessment tool in system safety,
the severity of a particular condition is classified in one of four categories:

1. Catastrophic
2. Critical
3. Marginal
4. Negligible

These four categories correspond to death or loss of a system, severe injury or major damage, minor injury or system damage, and no injury or system damage respectively (Roland and Moriarity, 1990). When viewed on a severity continuum, near misses, scratches not requiring first aid, or brief assembly line stoppage might be considered negligible reactions to an incident. At the opposite end of the severity continuum, multiple fatalities or an explosion that destroys an entire building represent catastrophic loss events.

All companies face risk and the resultant potential losses on a daily basis. They willingly accept risk—even welcome it—with the hopes of gaining financial return. All new marketing and business ventures are examples of businesses taking risks. These are considered speculative loss exposures because they offer the opportunities for gain and loss. Exposures offering potential for loss with no opportunity for gain are referred to as pure loss exposures. Examples of pure loss exposures include those to theft, fire, or accident.

Determining exposures a company faces is a formidable task, but it must be undertaken. Companies may choose to bring in an outside consultant or depend on the advice of a representative such as a loss control expert from their insurance company. This person will review activities, procedures, and processes to determine where exposures occur. Once exposures are identified, the company selects the critical exposures and prioritizes them in order of importance. Even large corporations have limited resources and must make decisions as to where to commit their resources. While controlling losses may seem to the safety manager like the most important way to utilize resources, the marketing director may feel that a new product introduction should take precedence. The safety function competes with
every other entity in the enterprise for resources, so it is important for the safety manager to clearly understand what needs are to be addressed, why, how much addressing the needs will cost, and the projected return on investment. The effects of investments in safety are measured by management against the expected return on investment as well as the return on investment of other potential ventures.

Establishing priorities allows the safety professional to determine problems to attack first and where to spend the allocated safety budget. Non-first aid injuries occurring with great frequency may appear, at first glance, to be events not ranking very high on the safety professional’s things-to-do list. On the other hand, occasional confined-space entry fatalities are usually of great concern because employee deaths often result. Multiplying the probability of loss from a fire or any event in a given year’s time and the likely amount to be lost from such a fire or event (severity) gives the safety practitioner a relative gauge as to where to place resources for controlling losses.

By considering both probability and severity, the risk assessment provides the safety practitioner with a much sounder perspective for judging the significance of hazards. Once the risk assessment is performed, it is possible to determine the types of controls that most effectively eliminate the hazards (see figure 5-1).

Figure 5-1. An illustration of the balance between risks and hazards in the workplace and controls necessary to minimize their efforts.
There are a number of control techniques available for treating loss exposures. These can be broken into two categories: loss control techniques and financing techniques. Loss control techniques include the approaches mentioned in chapter 6, “Introduction to Industrial Hygiene.” A company may choose engineering or administrative controls or personal protective equipment to deal with losses. Engineering controls include building a ventilation system to reduce explosive vapor levels, whereas administrative controls might limit exposures to toxic materials. Issuing personal protective equipment (PPE) such as respirators is the last line of defense against hazards in the workplace. Refer to chapter 6 for a detailed examination of these three types of control methods.

A company might try to avoid the loss altogether. If a company is in the business of producing football helmets, it may choose not to market the product due to the potential liability it would face if a wearer of one of its helmets was injured. Instead, it may choose to produce novelty lamps that look like football helmets to limit its liability exposure.

Sometimes a company can reduce exposure by substitution. Instead of using a strong acid as a solvent to remove excess glue from a finished product, a company could use citric acid for removing glue to reduce the likelihood of a worker being injured.

Occasionally, the company may choose to transfer the liability to another party, rather than run the risk of loss itself. If removing glue could not be accomplished safely in the plant, the company may choose to have the product shipped to a contractor who would remove the glue in the contractor’s plant. If the contractor’s workers are overcome by vapors from the solvent, then the contractor would typically hold the liability.

Another form of risk transfer is insurance. Insurance is designed to permit the company to shift the financial consequences of the risk to an insurance company. By paying the insurance company’s premiums, the organization can expect specified benefits in the event of a loss. The insurance company enters into similar relationships with a number of other companies by selling them insurance policies too. With large numbers of insureds, it can more accurately estimate its own losses. When an insured company turns in a claim, the insurer is
able to pay because it receives enough money from similar insureds not having losses to cover the cost of claims and still make a profit.

Some companies simply retain their loss exposures without dealing with them. This may be a result of ignorance or choice. When companies choose to retain their own exposures, they may ignore them, or attempt to reduce them using one of the methods already mentioned; or they may, in fact, self-insure. Ignoring the risks may make the owners more confident, but dealing with the risks will make them more prepared for loss. Self-insurance is simply no insurance; the company retains the loss exposure. It should only be undertaken by companies with the financial resources necessary to absorb potential losses.

All of the above assume the accident will occur. The safety practitioner can use accident causation models to pinpoint hazards in the occupational environment. Systematic, proactive hazard identification will assist the practitioner in establishing loss control strategies and determining the cost-benefits of the controls to be implemented.

The following review briefly examines some of the most popular accident causation theories. The bibliography found at the end of this chapter provides the student with resources to examine this area in greater detail. The intent is to provide examples of accident causation theories representative of past and current thinking. The bottom line benefit is to provide the tools necessary to seek out and eliminate the causes of accidents.

**Accident Causation Theories?**

**Single Factor Theory**

The *Single Factor Theory* states there is a single and relatively simple cause for all accidents. A good example of this theory would be in determining the cause of worker hand lacerations. Because utility knives are used in the operation, knowing something about the cause of these accidents does not necessarily stop the problem. Other contributing factors such as the product or the work methods, as well as corresponding corrective actions, are overlooked when a single factor is considered the only cause. This theory is virtually useless for accident and loss prevention.
Domino Theories

There are several domino theories of accident causation. While each domino theory presents a different explanation for the cause of accidents, they all have one thing in common. All domino theories are divided into three phases:

1. **Precontact phase:** refers to those events or conditions that lead up to the accident
2. **Contact phase:** refers to the phase during which the individual, machinery, or facility comes into contact with the energy forms or forces beyond their physical capability to manage
3. **Postcontact phase:** refers to the results of the accident or energy exposure. Physical injury, illness, production downtime, damage to equipment and/or facility, and loss of reputation are just some of the possible results that can occur during the postcontact phase of the domino theory.

Domino theories represent accidents as predictable chronological sequences of events or causal factors. Each causal factor builds on and affects the others. If allowed to exist without any form of intervention, these hazards will interact to produce the accident. In domino games, where the pieces are lined up and the first one is knocked over, the first domino sets into motion a chain reaction of events resulting in the toppling of the remaining dominos. In just that same way, accidents, according to the domino theories, will result if the sequence of precontact phase causes is not interrupted.

**Heinrich’s Domino Theory**

H. W. Heinrich developed the original domino theory of accident causation in the late 1920s. Although written decades ago, his work in accident causation is still the basis for several contemporary theories. According to Heinrich’s early theory, the following five factors influence all accidents and are represented by individual dominos:

1. Negative character traits leading a person to behave in an unsafe manner can be inherited or acquired as a result of the social environment
2. Negative character traits are why individuals behave in an unsafe manner and why hazardous conditions exist

3. Unsafe acts committed by individuals and mechanical or physical hazards are the direct causes of accidents

4. Falls and the impact of moving objects typically cause accidents resulting in injury

5. Typical injuries resulting from accidents include lacerations and fractures

The two key points in Heinrich’s domino theory are that (1) injuries are caused by the action of preceding factors, and (2) removal of the events leading up to the incident, especially employee unsafe acts or hazardous workplace conditions, prevents accidents and injuries. Heinrich believed that unsafe acts caused more accidents than unsafe conditions. Therefore, his philosophy of accident prevention focused on eliminating unsafe acts and the people-related factors that lead to injuries (Brauer, 1990).

**Bird and Loftus’ Domino Theory**

Bird and Loftus (1976) updated the domino sequence to reflect the management’s relationship with the causes and effects of all incidents. *Bird and Loftus’ theory uses five dominos that represent the following events involved in all incidents:*

1. **Lack of Control—Management.** Control in this instance refers to the functions of a manager: planning, organizing, leading, and controlling. Purchasing substandard equipment or tools, not providing adequate training, or failing to install adequate engineering controls are just a few examples represented by this domino.

2. **Basic Cause(s)—Origin(s).** The basic causes are frequently classified into two groups: (1) personal factors such as lack of knowledge or skill, improper motivation, and/or physical or mental problems, and (2) job factors including inadequate work standards, inadequate design or maintenance, normal tool or equipment wear and tear, and/or abnormal tool usage such as lifting more weight
than the rated capacity of an overhead crane. These basic causes explain why people engage in substandard practices.

3. **Immediate Causes(s)—Symptoms.** The primary symptoms of all incidents are unsafe acts and unsafe conditions. “When the basic causes of incidents that could downgrade a business operation exist, they provide the opportunity for the occurrence of substandard practices and conditions (sometimes called errors) that could cause this domino to fall and lead directly to loss” (Bird and Loftus, 1976, p. 44).

4. **Incident—Contact.** “An undesired event that could or does make contact with a source of energy above the threshold limit of body or structure” (Bird and Loftus, 1976). The categories of contact incident events are often represented by the 11 accident types. The
11 accident types include struck-by, struck-against, contact-by, contact-with, caught-in, caught-on, caught-between, foot-level-fall, fall-to-below, overexertion, and exposure (ANSI Z 16.2).

5. **People-Property-Loss.** Loss refers to the adverse results of the accident. It is often evaluated in terms of property damage, as well as the effects upon humans, such as injuries and the working environment. The central point in this theory is that management is responsible for the safety and health of the employees. Like Heinrich’s theory, the Bird and Loftus domino theory emphasizes that contact incidents can be avoided if unsafe acts and conditions are prevented. Using the first three dominos to identify conditions permitting incidents to occur, and then ensuring the appropriate management activities are performed, can eliminate accidents and related losses according to this theory.

**Marcum’s Domino Theory**

According to C. E. Marcum’s 1978 Seven Domino Sequence of Misactsidents, a *misactsident* is an identifiable sequence of misacts associated with inadequate task preparation leading to substandard performance and miscompensated risks. The misactsident permits
individuals and facilities to come in contact with harmful agents, energy forms, forces, or substances in ways that initiate adverse reactions sufficiently extensive so that unwarranted losses are sustained and resultant costs incurred.

Like the Bird and Loftus theory of accident causation, Marcum’s theory focuses on management responsibility for protecting employee safety as well as preventing the downgrading of an organization. Downgrading of an organization includes incurring losses to equipment and facilities and to intangible assets of the organization such as reputation or corporate goodwill. This theory attempts to examine management accident response protocols to ensure that sustained losses and the subsequent incurred costs were minimized. Marcum keeps these two postcontact-phase components separate to permit closer monitoring of the two variables during accident analysis activities. Throughout this theory, Marcum focuses on the human element of misacts. This includes misacts of employees who fail to recognize or appreciate risks in the workplace, as well as misacts of organization management who permit risks to go unrecognized, unappreciated, and/or uncorrected.

Marcum uses the term misactsidents to emphasize the deterministic aspects of his accident causation theory. Accidents, Marcum

Figure 5-4. An illustration of Marcum’s Theory of Accident Causation.
believes, are considered by most as events occurring by chance. There is no control over them. They may even be considered acts of God. Marcum emphasizes that accidents have specific causes and can be controlled—that safety can and must be managed.

Multiple Causation Accident Theories

Multiple Factors Theory

Manuele (1997a) believes the domino theories are too simplistic. He proposes the term unsafe act also be eliminated. He suggests the chief culprits in accident causation are less-than-adequate safety policies, standards, and procedures; and inadequate implementation accountability systems. Manuele attempts to pull different causation theories together into one working theory. His approach also incorporates some of the following ideas.

Grose’s multiple factors theory uses four Ms to represent factors causing an accident: machine, media, man, and management (Brauer, 1990). Machine refers to tools, equipment, or vehicles contributing to the cause of an accident. Media includes the environmental conditions surrounding an accident, such as the weather conditions or walking surfaces. Man deals with the people and human factors contributing to the incident. Management also incorporates the other three Ms, looking at the methods used to select equipment, train personnel, or ensure a relatively hazard-free environment.

The multiple factors theory examines characteristics of each of the four Ms:

1. Machinery: Examination of machinery characteristics includes the design, shape, size, or specific type of energy used to operate the equipment

2. Man: Characteristics of man are psychological state; gender; age; physiological variables (including height, weight, or condition); and cognitive attributes (such as memory, recall, or knowledge level)

3. Media: Snow or water on a roadway, temperature of a building, and outdoor temperature can be characteristics of media
4. **Management**: Characteristics of management could include safety rules, organizational structure, or policy and procedures

Multiple factors theories attempt to identify specific workplace characteristics that reveal underlying, and often hidden, causes of an accident by pointing to existing hazardous conditions. When viewed as a whole, the characteristics can direct the investigator’s attention to the specific causes of an accident.

**Systems Theory of Causation**

One variation of the Multiple Causation Theory is R. J. Firenzie’s Theory of Accident Causation. Firenzie’s theory is based on interaction among three components: **person, machine, and environment**. Human variables of information, decisions, and perception of risks combine with machine hazards and environmental factors affecting the likelihood of an accident.

For example, as a person operates a noisy bulldozer on a hot day, other activities must take place for the operator to safely and effectively perform the job. The person consciously or unconsciously will collect information, weigh risks, and make decisions as to how to perform the task. How close should the bulldozer get to the 20-foot-high spoil bank or the electrical power lines? How fast should it be moving? The operator, based on knowledge and experience, makes countless decisions—all of which affect the probability of an accident.

**Psychological/Behavioral Accident Causation Theories**

**Goals Freedom Alertness Theory**

Dr. Willard Kerr’s theory of accident causation regards an accident as a low-quality work behavior. He considers it to be similar to production waste during manufacturing, except that the scrap happens to be human. Raising the level of quality and safety involves raising the level of worker awareness. According to Kerr, alertness can only be obtained within a positive organizational culture and psychological climate. The more positive the workplace climate, the greater
the alertness and work quality is. As alertness decreases, the probability of an accident rises.

**Motivation Reward Satisfaction Model**

This theory of accident causation builds on Dr. Willard Kerr’s Goals Freedom Alertness Theory and Herzberg’s Hygienic Management Theory. Stated simply, the “freedom to set reasonably attainable goals is typically accompanied by higher-quality work performance” (Heinrich, Petersen, and Roos, 1980, p. 44). If an accident occurs, it is due to a lull in alertness. Safety performance depends on degree of motivation and capability to work; factors affecting these variables will either promote or prevent accidents.

According to Petersen, rewards strongly affect performance. They originate from a variety of sources and can be physical and/or psychological. Money or praise is not considered to be the primary motivation factor. Rewards, including doing a good job, learning new skills, expanding personal knowledge, and participating on a successful team, are some of the numerous intrinsic reinforcements associated with enriched jobs. If employees see the rewards from their work as equitable, they are more likely to be motivated and, in turn, produce positive safety results.

**Human Factors Theory**

The *Human Factors Theory* is based on the concept that accidents are the result of human error. *Factors that cause human error are:*

- **Overload:** Overload occurs when a person is burdened with excessive tasks or responsibilities. For example, the employee not only must perform his or her job, but must also handle excessive noise, stress, personal problems, and unclear instructions.

- **Inappropriate activities:** “Inappropriate activities” is another term for human error. When individuals undertake a task without proper training, they are acting inappropriately.

- **Inappropriate response:** “Inappropriate response” occurs, for example, when an employee detects a hazardous condition but does
not correct it, or removes a safeguard from a machine to increase productivity.

Overload, inappropriate activities, and inappropriate responses are all human factors causing human error and, ultimately, accidents.

Energy-Related Accident Causation Theories

Energy Release Theory

Accidents result when energy, out of control, puts more stress on a person or property than tolerable without damage. Controlling the energy involved or changing the structures that energy could damage can prevent accidents. William Johnson expanded Haddon’s 10 strategies to 12 when they are applied to the accident investigation strategy referred to as Management Oversight and Risk Tree (MORT). Johnson’s barriers to accident-causing energy include:

• **Limit the Energy.** Example: Limit the amount of flammable or combustible materials that are stored on the shop floor. Use low-voltage equipment

• **Substitute a safer energy form.** Example: Use nonasbestos brake pads or select nonflammable or nontoxic solvents

• **Prevent the build-up.** Example: Utilize fuses, circuit breakers, and gas detectors

• **Prevent the release of the energy.** Example: Attach toe boards on scaffolds to prevent tools from striking people or objects below

• **Provide for slow release.** Example: Utilize safety-release valves

• **Channel the release away; separate it in time or space.** Example: Ground electrical appliances

• **Place a barrier on the energy source.** Example: Place machine guards or utilize acoustic enclosures

• **Place a barrier between the energy source and the persons or objects to be protected.** Example: Use rails on elevated surfaces; use fire doors
• Place a barrier on the persons or objects to be protected. Example: Require personal protective equipment (PPE) and respirators

• Raise the injury or damage threshold. Example: Acclimatize to a hot or cold work environment

• Ameliorate the effects. Example: Incorporate administrative controls such as job rotation to reduce the duration of exposure to loud noise

• Rehabilitate. Example: Treat injured employees or repair damaged objects

Haddon and Johnson focus on energy as the source of the hazard. Identifying energy sources and preventing or minimizing exposures can prevent accidents.

**Incident Investigation**

*Incidents* include near misses and accidents. *Accidents* result in loss. *Near misses* result in no loss. The purpose of any incident investigation is to determine cause(s). As already mentioned, the circumstances differentiating a near miss from a loss-producing incident or accident may be merely a matter of chance; therefore, all incidents can be considered candidates for investigation, since correcting the contributing circumstances may help prevent future incidents.

Incident investigation is concerned with fact-finding, not fault-finding. During the accident investigation, it is important to find out answers to the questions *who*, *what*, *where*, *when*, *why*, and *how*.

**Who questions include:**

• Who are the victims?
• Who are the witnesses?
• Who has any information that will help determine the actual causes of the incident?

**What questions include:**

• What events led up to the accident?
• What were victims and witnesses doing prior to and during the incident?
• What did individuals notice that may have a bearing on the incident?
• What were the backgrounds and experiences of all the parties involved?

  *When questions include:*
  • When did the incident occur?
  • When did you notice important elements associated with the incident?
  • When did you become concerned that a problem existed?

  *Where questions include:*
  • Where were victims and witnesses prior to and during the incident?
  • Where were equipment and/or machinery?
  • Where were the PPE or the locks and tags for energy sources?

  *Why questions include:*
  • Why, in your opinion, did the incident take place?
  • Why were particular methods used to perform a task?
  • Why were these conditions existing at the time of the incident?

  *How questions include:*
  • How did the incident take place?
  • How did the victims and witnesses react in given situations?
  • How did you first learn of the incident?

When an investigation team arrives at the scene, key elements of personnel, tools/equipment, raw materials or finished product, and structure and environment must be searched for possible clues. Systematically consider each as a source of clues and pull those clues together to find likely causes.

Preplanning and preparation are of vital importance. Know roles and responsibilities of each investigator and prepare for prompt arrival at the accident scene so evidence does not disappear or witness recollections are not lost. Ensure all tools and equipment necessary to conduct the investigation are organized and available at all times.
Utilize a checklist to confirm all appropriate individuals are contacted—from the plant manager or corporate CEO to OSHA and EPA officials. Periodic mock drills ensure effective responses to emergencies by highlighting weaknesses. An enterprise was checking its building evacuation plan for the first time and ran a mock drill. When the occupants filled the stairwells, the weight forced the door frames at the base of the stairs onto the doors so they would not open. Had this happened in an emergency, all occupants would have been trapped inside the building. In addition, effective decisions regarding response are more likely to be made during practice than in the heat and scrutiny of a critical investigation. Publicity, time constraints, and political pressures inhibit effective decision making. These factors are less intense and less likely to exist during practice. Standard operating procedures should be developed during mock investigations. The following is a brief protocol of some of the steps that can be followed at a facility to prepare for accident investigations.

When an accident occurs:

1. Notify the following individuals immediately (list important individuals here).

2. Secure the scene to prevent additional accidents; bring in accident-trained technical personnel to determine:
   - Damage to and hazard from power, gas, and fluids distribution systems
   - The structural integrity of the building and equipment
   - The best way to remove or make harmless explosives and/or hazardous materials

   **Caution:** make sure the positions of switches, equipment, and materials are recorded before they are moved or removed.

3. Evaluate the condition of any injured personnel; determine:
   - What is the degree of injury?
What must be done immediately to save life?
What should be done to relieve suffering until the injured individual can be removed to a medical facility?
What can be done to remove all danger of increased injury?

Caution: move an injured person only if there is danger of further injury.

4. Identify the elements at the accident scene:
   - People involved
     - □ injured
     - □ principals
     - □ witnesses
   - Equipment involved
     - □ in use
     - □ standby
     - □ secured or standing
     - □ materials involved
     - □ in use
     - □ ready for use
     - □ stored in area
   - Environmental factors
     - □ weather
     - □ lighting
     - □ heat
     - □ noise
   - Any additional contributing factors
   - Keep detailed notes for reference

5. Secure the accident scene:
   - Barricade the area to prevent removal or defacement of possible evidence
   - Isolate potential witnesses

6. Collect and preserve the evidence:
   - Make drawings of the area

(continued next page)
• Pick up, store, and label evidence
• If evidence cannot be removed from the scene or it is too large to bag, take pictures or make drawings, making sure to note the location on the drawing of the area
• Make notes of any observations regarding the accident scene

7. Develop witness questions:
• Form open-ended questions based on initial observations and evidence collected
• Include control questions to ensure the accuracy of the statistical data and to permit later evaluation of witness reliability

8. Interview the witnesses:
• Interview each witness separately
• Find a suitable location
• Be prepared to take notes on and/or record the interview
• Take short notes as a memory device
• If a recording device is used, ask for permission to record before the interview begins
• Watch for witness cues during the interview
• Take into account personality types:
  □ introvert
  □ extrovert
  □ suspicious
  □ prejudiced
  □ other personality traits
• Notice any nonverbal messages:
  □ body language
  □ voice changes
• Establish initial communication.
• Assure the individual that the purpose of the interview is for accident prevention, not assigning blame
• Note whether the witness was:
  □ the injured party
  □ an eyewitness
  □ an “ear witness”
• Take an initial statement
• Have the witness describe the incident in his or her own terms
• Avoid interrupting the witness during the statement
• Expand the interview for detail
• Ask the developed open-ended questions
• Space the control questions throughout this portion of the inter-
  view
• Close the interview
• Ask the witness for his suggestions on how the accident could
  have been prevented
• Thank the witness for his or her time
• Evaluate the witness statements
• Develop a witness analysis matrix based on the control questions
• Place the control question numbers on the horizontal axis
• Put the witness statements on the vertical axis
• Place an X in those columns where the witness has accurately
  answered the control question
• Check credibility of individual testimony based on control ques-
  tions
• High witness credibility is based on the number of accurate
  responses to the control questions

Caution: just because a witness gives inaccurate answers to the
control questions, it does not totally invalidate his or her testi-
mony.

9. If necessary, conduct follow-up witness interviews:
  • Let the witness know there is a gap or deficiency in a critical
    area of the investigation.

(continued next page)
• Allow the individual to reconsider or reexamine his or her obser-
vations

**Caution:** be sure to remain nonjudgmental.

10. Synthesize the information gathered from the witnesses inter-
viewed and evidence collected to determine the accident cause

11. Use the data gathered from the accident to perform an accident trend analysis

12. Make changes to operating procedures, equipment, and/or training based on the accident trend analysis

**Caution:** some interviewers prefer to use audio and/or video equipment to record witness interviews. Interviews may include witness speculations, hearsay, and unfounded conclusions on the part of biased or uninformed individuals. Recordings of those interviews may later be subpoenaed during litigation or criminal hearings. When only notes are taken, speculations can be omitted and signatures can be affixed to statements limited to facts.

**Conclusion**

Several accident causation models have been presented in this review. The theories focus on people variables, management aspects, and physical characteristics of hazards. The benefit of understanding accident causation is in recognizing how hazards in the workplace result in losses. Eliminating hazards before they result in losses is the proactive responsibility of everyone in an organization. Professionals recognize it is not always possible to identify and eliminate all hazards. Accidents may still occur in spite of a proactive safety program. It is at that point where an effective accident investigation program is of vital importance for the collection of critical data.
Questions

1. What are some of the benefits associated with understanding accident causation theory? Explain your answer.
2. What are the advantages of considering accidents as management problems?
3. What are the two factors associated with risk? Explain how these two factors impact on the selection of accident controls.
4. Is it important to conduct accident investigations? Why?
5. What are the six key questions that should be asked during accident investigations? Explain the importance of these six questions to the health and safety professional.
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INTRODUCTION TO INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE
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Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, students will be able to

• Define terms and acronyms associated with industrial hygiene
• Identify the classes of occupational hazards
• Describe basic toxic effects and routes of entry
• Identify various agencies and organizations providing support to industrial hygiene
• Differentiate between occupational and paraoccupational exposures
• Characterize the roles and responsibilities of the industrial hygienist
• Identify the various disciplines that form the foundations of industrial hygiene
• Understand the primary approaches to protecting workers in their work environment
• Describe the primary controls employed by industrial hygienists to control workplace exposures
• Explain the various types of sampling that may be conducted by the industrial hygienist
• Understand the concepts of equipment calibration and accurate laboratory analysis
• Identify how industrial hygiene fits into an overall occupational safety and health program.

**Case Study**

A manufacturing firm recently began a new process of cleaning using xylene. Xylene was chosen because it was less hazardous than the previous solvent (a potential human carcinogen). Employees have been complaining that the xylene has an annoying, sweet odor and has caused dry skin on their hands, eye and throat irritation, and headaches. Xylene is used intermittently to clean out machines and tools, approximately one half gallon per cleaning. Operators manually spray the xylene, and then wipe down equipment and tools. They always wear safety glasses and occasionally wear leather gloves when handling sharp objects, along with hearing protection if they will be in the area for more than a few minutes, since the background noise level is typically 85–90 decibels. The industrial hygienist (IH) from their insurance carrier has been asked to evaluate this use of xylene.

Upon arrival, the IH reviews the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for xylene with the supervisor and employees who are using it. During review, the employees are surprised to find that xylene is very flammable, and decide that spraying the xylene should be discontinued. In addition, they need to ensure there is no ignition or static discharge source present when the xylene is in use. To determine how much xylene employees are exposed to, the IH conducts air monitoring in the employee breathing zone while they are using the xylene. During the sampling, the IH notes that the odor threshold is about 1 ppm. This is much lower than the regulatory limits; however, the odor threshold should never be used to determine if chemical concentrations are safe. The only way to determine the actual concentration is to take air samples; personal samples for employees are taken both in the short term (15 minutes) using a direct reading instrument and over the entire day (8 hours) using a charcoal tube connected to a sampling pump. The short-term sample is above the ACGIH Short-Term Exposure Limit; the long-term sample is below both the ACGIH TLV-TWA and OSHA PEL limits.

The IH also discusses other hazards of xylene use with management and employees. Xylene can be absorbed through intact skin; therefore the air monitoring results represent only one route of exposure. The actual employee exposure to xylene was likely much higher since employees did not wear the appropriate chemically resistant
gloves and absorbed some xylene through their skin. Xylene has also been shown to act synergistically with noise exposure, causing more hearing loss than if the employee was exposed to noise alone.

Based on these observations, the IH leaves the company with the following recommendations:

1. Find a less hazardous cleaning solvent for this operation to substitute for the xylene.
2. If a less hazardous solvent cannot be found, use the hierarchy of controls to limit employee exposure.
   a. Can the operation be automated or enclosed to reduce employee exposure?
   b. Can local exhaust ventilation be used to remove the chemical and prevent employee exposure?
   c. Can a smaller amount of the chemical be used to clean? Can the xylene just be used for a final cleaning?
3. Until a less hazardous solvent can be found, employees must:
   a. Wear goggles, and a chemically resistant apron and gloves to prevent skin exposure and absorption of xylene. Leather gloves should never be worn when working with solvents.
   b. Ensure that all ignition and static discharge sources are controlled.
   c. Utilize local exhaust ventilation on equipment to remove xylene vapors whenever possible.
   d. If exhaust ventilation cannot be used, employer must institute a respiratory protection program. Respiratory protection must be worn during the cleaning operation if a less hazardous product or local exhaust ventilation is not used.
4. Employees using xylene must wear hearing protection due to the synergistic effect of noise and solvent.

**What Is Industrial Hygiene?**

Industrial hygiene has most often been described as the art and science devoted to the recognition, evaluation, and control of workplace health hazards. In addition to these three tenets, it has been suggested that we add a fourth, anticipation. We must be careful here to avoid “expecting the expected.” Emphasis on the anticipation of workplace
hazards may result in overlooking the *unexpected* health hazard. A well-trained, well-prepared IH is equipped to deal with virtually any situation that arises. We will take a closer look at recognition, evaluation, and control later in this chapter.

**What Do We Mean by the “Art” of Industrial Hygiene?**

“Art” implies that industrial hygiene cannot be practiced by simply following a recipe. With each unique workplace setting and each new day, an IH must reinvent himself or herself. An IH does not simply report the measurements and levels of workplace hazards, but also interprets those results. Often, industrial hygiene measurements lie within “gray” areas; that is, they are neither safe, nor unsafe. An IH must make professional recommendations based upon a preponderance of the quantitative data gathered as well as intangible threads of information gathered by observation. Experience, preparation, and

**Figure 6-1. Tenets of industrial hygiene.**
study of the associated sciences will help enable the IH to become a competent practitioner of the craft.

What about the Science of Industrial Hygiene?

Industrial hygiene is steeped in a variety of sciences, including engineering, epidemiology (the study of the distribution of disease within a population), physics, statistics, biology, microbiology, chemistry, anatomy, physiology, and toxicology. Knowledge of the applied sciences, such as public and environmental health, computer applications, geographic information systems, and industrial psychology, is essential to the IH who wishes to practice comprehensive industrial hygiene.

What Are the Health Hazards that the IH Is Charged with Recognizing, Evaluating, and Controlling?

In theory, the IH is responsible for chemical, biological, physical, and radioactive health hazards within the work environment. In practice, no one individual can be an expert in all of these areas. Control of workplace health hazards has become so complex that in workplaces where most or all of these hazards are present, the management of these hazards is assigned to a team of occupational health professionals. Such a team might include an occupational physician, occupational nurse, safety professional, health physicist (radiation protection), and others. Workplaces with high-risk microbiological exposures may also employ biological safety professionals.

Industrial hygiene requires the cunning of a detective, the discipline of a scientist, and the persuasion of a TV evangelist. The IH must uncover the secrets of the often invisible hazardous agents. What are they? Where do they originate? How much is present? What harm might they cause? How can I control or eliminate them? Industrial hygiene investigations must be performed with scientific method. Procedures must be reproducible, accurate, and precise. In order to be effective, an IH must sell the recommendations. The IH must convince the worker that recommended work practices and controls are absolutely necessary to a safe and healthful workplace. The
IH must convince the administration that hazard control expenses are worth every dime.

**History of Industrial Hygiene**

While industrial hygiene is a relatively young profession, the historical references to occupational medicine and toxicology date back to early civilization. Diseases resulting from exposure to hazardous substances have existed as long as people have interacted with their environment. Below is a brief introduction to a select group of distinguished historical characters who made an immeasurable contribution to industrial hygiene.

**Hippocrates** (470–410 BC), a Greek physician, is best known as the *Father of Medicine*. He believed in the natural healing process of rest, a good diet, fresh air, and cleanliness. Hippocrates wrote of the plight of miners exposed to lead and other work-related contaminants. Hippocrates developed a treatise of medical ideology that modern physicians acknowledge prior to beginning their practice. This is better known as the *Hippocratic Oath*.

**Pliny the Elder** (23 AD–79 AD) was a Roman senator, writer, and scientist. In his writings, he described the dangers to workers exposed to zinc and sulfur. He is believed to be the first to recommend *respiratory protection* when he suggested that miners cover their mouth and nose with an animal bladder when working in the mines. Pliny, a scientist to the end, lost his life trying to investigate the eruption of Mount Vesuvius.

**Georgius Agricola** (1494–1555), a.k.a. Georg Bauer, a Saxon physician, is best known as the *Father of Geology*. Agricola’s most famous work—*De Re Metallica* (“On the Nature of Metals”)—describes illnesses experienced by miners, the need for ventilation (an advanced industrial hygiene control measure), and ergonomic issues related to mining. This book was so respected that it remained the standard text on mining for two centuries. President Herbert Hoover (formally educated as a geological engineer) and his wife, L. H. Hoover, translated many of Agricola’s works into English.
Paracelsus (1493–1591), a Swiss physician and chemist, is best known as the Father of Toxicology. Along with many of his predecessors, he wrote of the toxicity related to mining. In his work On the Miners’ Sickness and Other Diseases of Miners, he established the important concepts of acute and chronic toxicity. Unique to Paracelsus was his claim that all substances were poisons—the dose differentiates a poison from a remedy. This concept is the basis for the Dose-Response relationship.

Bernardino Ramazzini (1633–1714), an Italian physician, is best known as the Father of Occupational Medicine. His most famous work, De Morbis Artificum ("Diseases of Workers"), urged all physicians to ask their patients, “Of what trade are you?” This simple question became a powerful tool to help establish the previously often overlooked relationship between occupation and illness.

Percival Pott (1713–1788), a London surgeon, is best known for being the first to establish the relationship between an occupation (chimney sweep), a toxin (polyaromatic hydrocarbons [PAH] from soot), and malignancy (testicular cancer). Pott was a brilliant physician whose discoveries and theories are in a large part still accepted and utilized today.

Alice Hamilton (1869–1970). This petite American physician was a true hero in the field of industrial medicine. She was the first woman to be named to the Harvard Medical School staff; her writings included Industrial Poisons in the United States (1925), Industrial Toxicology (1934), and Exploring the Dangerous Trades (1943). Dr. Hamilton was a pioneer who performed much of her own field research. When she sought to better understand the hazards associated with lead, she introduced herself to leadworkers, went to their modest homes, interviewed their family members, and observed them firsthand performing their dirty, dangerous jobs.

**Toxicology**

Toxicology is known simply as the science of poisons. We know from Paracelsus that all substances are toxic—it is the dose that makes the
Further, we know that for all noncarcinogenic substances there is some level below which there is no adverse effect. This level is known as the threshold level or no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL or NOEL). As we increase that level or dose, within any population there will be some measurable response to that dose. We refer to this relationship as dose-response. Within any given population there will be sensitive and tolerant individuals. Those sensitive individuals will typically respond to much lower doses than the majority of the population, while the tolerant individuals must receive a greater dose than the majority of the population in order to elicit a response. If the response that is being studied is mortality, then the dose that elicits death in exactly one-half of the studied population is called the lethal dose-50 ($LD_{50}$).

**Routes of Entry**

In order for any substance to cause injury to an individual, it must first be taken up by the body. Knowing the way in which substances are taken up is critical in order to understand and prevent toxicity. *The body may be exposed to toxic substances through any one or a combination of four routes:*
• ingestion—taken into the body orally
• inhalation—taken into the body through the lungs
• absorption—taken into the body through dermal absorption
• injection—taken into the body through broken skin

Occupationally, inhalation is the most common route of entry by toxic substances. It is fairly common for substances to have more than one route of entry, and some may cause injury through all four routes.

Acute and Chronic Exposures

When an individual receives a relatively large dose over a single (or brief) time period, we refer to this type of exposure as acute. An

Figure 6-3. Routes of entry for toxic substances.
example of an acute exposure is when a child accidentally ingests a household chemical. **When an individual receives a relatively low dose over a long time period (perhaps over a lifetime), we call this type of exposure chronic.** An example of a chronic exposure is asbestos exposure—most harm from asbestos fibers takes decades to manifest. Seldom do chronic and acute exposures to the same toxin have much in common. Often the symptoms vary and the health effects are quite dissimilar. For example, passive cigarette smoke may cause an individual to cough and experience symptoms of eye and upper respiratory irritation. Over a lifetime, a person regularly exposed to secondhand cigarette smoke may experience cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, and even cancer. Often we are tempted to examine acute symptoms to predict chronic exposures; however, we see from this example that the acute symptoms from passive cigarette smoke provide us with no information that would help predict chronic outcomes.

No matter which mode of entry a toxin takes, the health effects can be either **local** or **systemic**, depending upon the physical and chemical characteristics of the substance. **Local effects** are the tissue reactions of the body areas that come in direct contact with the contaminant. **Systemic effects**, on the other hand, occur in the target sites of the body, which are not the initial contact locations, but which, because of their affinity for that particular substance, readily absorb it. This reaction may occur far away from the point of initial contact. If an employee were working with sulfuric acid and inhaled sufficient quantities of it, irritation of the upper respiratory system would be likely (irritation of the nose, bronchi, trachea, etc.). Inhalation of heavy metals, such as lead, would have systemic effects (lead does not have a substantial impact upon the respiratory system, which in this case is the site of contact). However, the primary dangers from lead are to the central nervous system, the blood, and the kidneys.

**Chemical Interactions**

*When two or more substances are taken into the body, there are three possible interactions that may take place:*
• Antagonism
• Additive
• Synergism

From toxicological studies, we know and can predict the amount of injury caused by many individual substances. When we combine these substances, and the effects are as predicted, we call this additive. We express this as $1 + 1 = 2$. When we combine two or more substances and a lower overall effect is observed, that is, their combined effect is less than the sum of their individual effects, we call this antagonism. This is expressed as $1 + 1 < 2$. When we combine two or more substances and the overall effect is greater than the predicted effects, that is, their combined effect is greater than the sum of their individual effects, we call this synergism. This may be expressed as $1 + 1 > 2$.

Classification of Toxic Materials

There are numerous ways to classify toxic materials. In general, toxic materials are categorized according to their physical or chemical characteristics or the physiological organs that they target. The fol-

Figure 6-4. Interactions of two or more toxic substances.
lowing groups of toxic materials are representative of the common methods used to classify toxic substances.

**Physical Classification:** This method of classification attempts to examine toxic agents according to the form in which they exist in the occupational environment. These classifications include solids, liquids, gases, and vapors.

**Chemical Classification:** This method of classification uses the chemical structure, nature, and composition that a substance possesses. Examples of chemical classifications include aliphatic compounds, aromatics, acids, alcohols, ketones, esters, and ethers.

**Physiological Classification:** This extensive method of classification uses potential human injury as a means of categorizing certain agents. Below are several physiological classifications.

**Irritants:** Chemicals that are not corrosive, but that cause a reversible inflammatory effect on living tissue by chemical action at the site of contact.

**Asphyxiants:** Substances that prevent the body from taking in or utilizing oxygen, resulting in deprivation and suffocation. *Simple asphyxiants* are those agents that displace oxygen in an atmosphere, resulting in what is called an “oxygen-deficient” atmosphere. We typically consider an oxygen-deficient atmosphere as one containing less than 19.5 percent oxygen by volume (our normal ambient atmosphere contains about 21 percent oxygen). Methane, carbon dioxide, argon, nitrogen, and hydrogen are examples of gases that can displace oxygen and reduce its concentration below the level necessary to support life. *Chemical asphyxiants* are substances that prevent the uptake, use, and/or transportation of oxygen by the body. Gases such as carbon monoxide, hydrogen cyanide, and hydrogen sulfide are examples of chemical asphyxiants.

**Nephrotoxins:** Agents that produce kidney damage. Examples of these agents include heavy metals (such as cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury), halogenated hydrocarbons (such as bromobenzene and carbon tetrachloride), antineoplastic drugs such as cisplatin, and others.
Neurotoxins: Agents that produce damage to the central and/or peripheral nervous system. Examples of neurotoxins include carbon monoxide, lead, methyl mercury, cyanide, alcohols, and others.

Hepatotoxins: Agents that produce liver damage, such as liver necrosis, fatty liver, cirrhosis, and carcinogenesis. Examples of hepatotoxins include carbon tetrachloride, aflatoxins, phosphorus, ethanol, bromobenzene, and nitrosamines.

Respiratory Toxins: Agents that irritate or damage the pulmonary system. These agents may cause coughing, chest tightness, and shortness of breath or no immediate (acute) symptoms at all. Examples of respiratory agents include asbestos, silica, sulfur dioxide, ozone, oxides of nitrogen, chlorine, phosgene, and many others.

Reproductive Toxins: Agents that affect the reproductive capabilities, causing, for example, chromosomal damage (mutations) and effects on fetuses (teratogenesis). Reproductive toxins may affect both females and males. Examples of these agents include lead and DBCP, which can lead to birth defects or sterility.

Hematopoietic Agents: Chemical agents that act on the blood to decrease the hemoglobin function or to deprive the body tissues of oxygen. Symptoms affecting the body include cyanosis and the loss of consciousness. Chemicals that cause effects such as these include carbon monoxide and cyanides.

Cutaneous Hazards: Substances that cause skin injuries, such as defatting, rashes, or irritations. Examples of cutaneous hazards include ketones and chlorinated compounds.

Eye Hazards: Substances that injure the eye or otherwise reduce visual capacity. Examples include organic solvents, alkalis, acids, infrared and ultraviolet radiation, physical hazards, and others.

Carcinogens: Substances that result in uncontrolled cell growth. Examples of carcinogens are cigarette smoke, benzene, carbon tetrachloride, ultraviolet radiation, asbestos, and others.

Mutagens: Substances that cause cell injury that results in chromosomal damage. Examples of mutagens are X rays and benzo(a)pyrene.

Teratogens: Substances that lead to a birth defect. Examples of teratogens are cigarette smoke, alcohol, thalidomide, and others.
Toxicity versus Risk

Risk is simply the probability that harm will occur. Risk can be expressed as a rate of harm (or injury) per total number of exposures. Another interesting relationship is with hazard and exposure. We often express this relationship as follows:

\[
\text{RISK} = \frac{\text{HAZARD}}{\text{EXPOSURE}}
\]

Toxicity is the ability of a substance to cause harm or adversely affect an organism. When we fail to examine the actual risk of a substance or activity, humans tend to let emotion take over and cloud their perception of risk. For example, most of us believe that performing in a rodeo or hang gliding is a risky activity. Nevertheless, the actual risk of serious injury from these activities is much lower than it is for motorcycle riding or cigarette smoking. When a substance has been labeled by the scientific community as hazardous or carcinogenic, such as saccharin, the public perception is that contact with these substances should be eliminated. We must remember—all substances are toxic; it is the dose that makes the poison.

Precautionary Principle

When a toxin is perceived to be so dangerous that it raises significant threats of harm to the environment or human health, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause-and-effect relationships are not fully established scientifically—this is the consensus definition of the precautionary principle. This is perhaps the only situation where an IH will look to safety first and science second. An example of the application of the precautionary principle is with nanotechnology. Nanotechnology deals with particles that are less than one-tenth thousandth of the size of the human hair. The existing health and safety information on nanoparticles is limited. Prudent practices with nanotechnology assume that vigorous protective measures are necessary for safe handling. Science may later tell us that our current practices were overkill, but we would rather accept the trouble and expense of excessive protection than find out that our precautions were too meager.
Industrial Hygiene Practice

As we discussed earlier, the tenets of industrial hygiene are the *recognition, evaluation, and control* of workplace health hazards. The goal of the IH is to provide a safe and healthful work environment for all workers.

**Recognition**

The initial tenet, *recognition*, requires the IH to perform what is known as a “*walk-through*” survey or inspection. This includes but is not limited to the following: visual reconnaissance of all areas of the workplace; taking pictures; preparing drawings; interviewing employees; review of company safety policies, previous inspection reports, injury logs, and medical records; and other measures. By thoroughly examining the workplace and observing work practices and hazard controls, the IH will be able to recognize visible hazards and/or identify areas that need additional monitoring for contami-
nants that cannot be evaluated visually. Inspections may be initiated as a result of a complaint or general inspection schedule, or to determine if existing or new contaminant controls are effective. Review of standard operating procedures and company policies, along with a walk-through inspection, can elucidate whether safety policies are just a piece of paper or an actual day-to-day practice in the field.

IHs categorize occupational health hazards into four categories, referred to as environmental stressors: chemical, physical, biological, and ergonomic.

1. Chemical stressors include substances such as solvents, acids, caustics, and alcohols.

2. Physical stressors include ionizing radiation (alpha, beta, gamma, neutron, X-ray radiation), nonionizing radiation (infrared, ultraviolet, visible light, radio frequency, microwave, and laser radiation), noise, and temperature.
3. **Biological stressors** include hazards such as bacteria, viruses, mold, fungus, and insect-related contaminants.

4. **Ergonomic stressors** are the human psychological and physiological injuries or illnesses associated with repetitive and cumulative trauma, fatigue, and exertion.

Knowledge of work processes and an awareness of environmental stressors allow safety and health professionals to anticipate and recognize potential health hazards. **Questions that should be answered during preliminary investigations and inspections might include:**

- What environmental stressors are present in the facility?
- Where are the points of origin of those environmental stressors?
- What are the forms that those environmental stressors take? Are they dusts, vapors, gases, mists?
- What are the work processes at the facility?
- Have these work processes changed in any way?
- What are the raw materials and intermediate and finished products that are involved in the work process? Do they pose health risks?
- What physical and mental tasks are required? Do these tasks require frequent repetitive motions or excessive forces for long durations?
- What control methods are currently being used?

Once these basic questions are answered, the safety and health professional must then evaluate the potential health hazards by determining the concentration and duration of exposure to the contaminant or stressor. The potential mode of entry or exposure of the stressor must be determined. Based upon the physical and chemical properties of the stressor, the probability of contact, absorption, inhalation, or ingestion must also be addressed. Then, the rate of generation of the contaminant must be determined. The industrial hygienist must determine if, based upon the nature, toxicity, concentration, and duration of exposure, a significant health risk exists, thus creating the potential for causing injury or illness.
Evaluation

The majority of industrial hygiene evaluation is based upon analytical measurement of workplace hazards, and the most commonly monitored hazards are airborne chemical hazards. A variety of methods are utilized to conduct IH sampling, including bulk, intermittent, or continuous sampling; sampling across media, requiring subsequent laboratory analysis or direct reading (real time) monitoring; and qualitative or quantitative measurements. Prior to evaluation, the IH must understand the manner in which the potential contaminant appears in the workplace.

Contaminants can take a wide variety of forms. The following are some of the key terms associated with contaminant forms:

- **Dusts**: Solid particulates generated by crushing, grinding, chipping, or abrasion. Examples of dusts include coal, wood, and sand.
- **Fumes**: Solid particulates (usually metals) generated by condensation from a gaseous state. An example of a fume is welding emissions.
- **Aerosols**: Liquid droplets or solid particulate dispersed in air. An example of an aerosol is overspray from spray painting.
- **Mists**: Suspended liquid droplets generated by the condensation from gas to liquid state.
- **Gases**: Substances which are in the gaseous state at normal temperature and pressure (NTP). Examples: oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide.
- **Vapors**: Gaseous phases of a material which is ordinarily a solid or liquid at room temperature. Examples: gasoline, toluene, xylene, and benzene.

For many substances, including dusts, fumes, and mists, measurement is typically expressed in terms of weight of the substance captured on the sampling media per unit volume of air sampled. This is usually represented in milligrams per cubic meter of air sampled. The volume of air sampled is determined by the flow rate of air volume passing through the sampling media over a fixed period of time. Fiber density
is measured as the ratio of fibers to the volume of air sampled, typically in cubic centimeters. Fibers such as asbestos, cotton, or fiberglass are collected on the surface of the sampling media as a unit volume of air crosses the media surface. Fibers are typically counted via light or electron microscopy.

While particulates are primarily sampled to determine toxic effects upon workers, explosivity may also be of concern. Dusts such as grain may explode when concentrations are sufficiently elevated and an ignition source is present. When monitoring is done to evaluate explosive hazards in the occupational environment, dust-to-air concentrations, as well as particle size and sources of ignition, must be determined.

Gas and vapor toxicity is measured in units of concentration that compare the volume of the contaminant to the volume of air sampled. This volume-to-volume ratio is stated in parts per million or ppm. The percentage of a gas or vapor is used as the unit of measure when monitoring for flammable or oxygen content in the ambient atmosphere. This percentage represents the ratio of the gas or vapor of concern to the total volume of the air sampled.

During the evaluation phase, the IH may collect the sample on a sampling media. The IH seeks to measure the amount of the contaminant present in the ambient atmosphere, such as volume of gas, weight of dust, or number of fibers. The volume of air sampled may also be readily determined. This is established by pre- and postcalibrating the sampling equipment used to establish the volume of air crossing the sample collection media during fixed units of time. Once again, the volume of air sampled is the product of the volume of air passing across the media during a given interval of time. The quantitative amount of the substance collected is then divided by the volume of air sampled and expressed in terms of ppm, percentage, mg/M³, or fibers per cc, depending upon the specific substance being monitored.

Once the concentration of the contaminant in the employees’ work area has been established, the health and safety professional or IH compares the results to established criteria. Established criteria have been set by three groups: OSHA, NIOSH, and the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH). As previously discussed in earlier chapters, OSHA requirements are
mandatory standards. This means that professionals must ensure that employee exposures fall below the specified limits or risk citations and penalties for failing to comply with these standards. NIOSH provides recommended exposure levels; these are voluntary guidelines. The ACGIH standards are also voluntary; however, they are reviewed annually by panels of recognized experts. Companies are not required to comply with the ACGIH standards, which tend to be more conservative (lower recommended concentrations). The OSHA health chemical hazard standards are referred to as *Permissible Exposure Limits* (PELs). The NIOSH recommendations are referred to as *Recommended Exposure Levels* or RELs. The ACGIH standards are referred to as *Threshold Limit Values* (TLVs). TLVs are reviewed and published annually in the publication *Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices*. TLVs are based on the best available information from industrial experience, human exposures, experimental animal studies, and when possible all three. They are based upon science and not typically influenced by congressional politics. Many OSHA PELs have not been changed since their inception in 1970, despite advances in our understanding of workplace health hazards. Since PELs are law, they must pass through Congress, and are subject to both politics and lobbyists, thus limiting their timelines and effectiveness in promoting a safe workplace.

*There are three categories of TLVs:*

1) **Threshold limit value—time-weighted average (TLV—TWA):** The time-weighted average concentration for a normal 8-hour workday and a 40-hour work week, to which nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed day after day, without adverse effects.

2) **Threshold limit value—short-term exposure limit (TLV—STEL):** The concentration to which workers can be exposed continuously for a short period of time without suffering 1) irritation, 2) chronic or irreversible tissue damage, or 3) narcosis of sufficient degree to increase the likelihood of accidental injury, impaired self-rescue, or materially reduced work efficiency, provided the TLV—TWA is not exceeded. The STEL is equal to a 15-minute TWA exposure, which should not be exceeded at any time during a workday even if the 8-hour TWA is within the TLV. There should be at least 60 minutes
between successive exposures for a maximum of four exposures/day or work shift.

3) **Threshold limit value—ceiling (TLV—C):** The concentration which should not be exceeded, even instantaneously.

OSHA’s PELs are typically expressed in terms of time-weighted averages or ceilings using the same definition and parameters as ACGIH’s TLVs (the first PELs were the 1968 TLVs). OSHA permissible exposure limits are published in the tables found in Subpart Z of 29 CFR 1910. While health and safety professionals know that the OSHA PELs must be met to avoid citations, progressive companies attempt to meet the more conservative ACGIH standards. By meeting the TLV recommendations for maximum worker exposure to contaminants, health risks are reduced and there is a greater margin of compliance in the event that health hazard control methods are compromised.

**Control**

Controls can be defined as processes, procedures, or method changes that correct existing health problems and prevent or minimize the risk of health hazards in the workplace. *Engineering controls* are the method of choice because of their ability to isolate or eliminate health hazards. By eliminating health hazards at the point of origin, the occupational health and safety professional eliminates the release of the contaminant into the workplace environment, ultimately preventing (or greatly reducing) employee exposure. Examples of engineering controls include the use of ventilation systems to reduce the concentration of contaminants or enclosing and shielding hot work areas. Effective exhaust ventilation removes the hazard “at the source,” before it can reach the worker’s breathing zone. Enclosures place a barrier between the employee and the health hazard point of origin. In both examples, the employee is separated, and thus protected, from the health hazard in the workplace environment.

When it is impossible to implement engineering controls or when fabrication and construction of engineering controls will take time,
administrative controls and personal protective equipment options may be required. *Administrative controls* are those health hazard control methods that are employee oriented or process management oriented. In other words, they are the control methods that the management of a facility has influence over through manufacturing method or employee work assignment activities. *Job rotation*, moving employees from one workstation or task to another at regularly assigned intervals, is one example of an administrative control. For example, if noise is the workplace health hazard of concern, it may be possible to rotate the employee to a less noisy area during portions of the shift. In this example, the overall exposure to the noise hazard is reduced, thus reducing the employees’ risk of hearing loss. Other administrative control options include substituting less toxic materials in the manufacturing and work processing, and establishing training programs that make the employee aware of the existing health hazard. The use of citric acid–based solvents in place of a cancer-causing agent like carbon tetrachloride or the use of enamel-based
paint in place of lead-based paint would be an example of the administrative control method referred to as substitution.

**Personal protective equipment** (PPE) is the third category of health hazard control available to the IH or occupational health and safety professional. It is considered the last line of defense because the barrier separating the employee from the health hazard must be worn correctly and consistently. If the employee does not wear the PPE or it fails, they will be at greater risk for illness or injury. It is an unfortunate fact that for many occupational safety and health programs, PPE is the symbol of the safety program rather than a last resort.

The main reason why administrative controls and PPE are not considered the preferred methods for protecting worker health is that the health hazards still exist in the workplace and can present a risk. In many instances, both administrative controls and PPE can be circumvented. Employees, for example, may use the wrong respirator for a particular hazard. This mistake will result in the employee inhaling toxic vapors or dusts, thus increasing their risk of occupational disease.

**Conclusion**

Occupational health hazards have assumed a significant position for the occupational safety and health professional. With increasing numbers of new chemical products being produced and new manufacturing methods being used, the IH and occupational safety and health professional must remain vigilant. Employee health and well-being are dependent upon the IH’s ability to recognize, evaluate, and control environmental stressors. It is a very complex and demanding area of occupational safety and health, but the rewards more than outweigh the demands.

**Questions**

1. What are some of the responsibilities of an IH?
2. Why is industrial hygiene an art and a science? Provide an exam-
ple that requires both art and science to solve a workplace health hazard.

3. Are all substances toxic? Can you explain why a substance may or may not be toxic?

4. What are the routes of entry for substances to be taken up by the body? Provide an example of each type. Which route is the most common occupational route of entry?

5. Differentiate between the terms *acute* and *chronic* using the appropriate dose level and time period.

6. Why should an IH consider both local and systemic effects of substances?

7. Differentiate between the additive, antagonistic, and synergistic effects of substances in the body.

8. What are the three classification methods of toxic materials?

9. Discuss the influence of emotion when characterizing toxicity and risk.

10. What is the difference between PELs and TLVs? Explain why knowledge of these exposure measurements is important.

11. What are three categories of health hazard controls? Provide two workplace examples for each category.

12. What type of health hazard control should be instituted first? Why is personal protective equipment a last resort for controlling exposure?
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Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Define ergonomic terminology
• List and describe the components of the Operator-Machine System
• Explain the role of anthropometrics when solving ergonomic problems
• Explain the role of biomechanics when solving ergonomic problems
• List the categories of workstations
• Identify when selected types of workstations should be considered the design of choice

Case Study

Employees at a small facility were experiencing a high frequency of back injuries while manufacturing and finishing air compressor tanks. The management of the plant was at a loss as to what to do about rising workers’ compensation premiums. Faced with the prospect of losing compensation insurance coverage, it contacted a Certified Professional Ergonomist (CPE) to conduct an analysis of the workplace.

The consultant first reviewed various safety and health records, including OSHA 300 and 300A forms, nurse’s logs, turnover records, and workers’ compensation claims. Health interviews were then conducted. Following basic ergonomic assessment protocol, workplace layouts and critical design measurements were recorded. The consultant videotaped various work locations in the plant where the majority of ergonomic problems were reported. Using the videotapes, the con-
sultant analyzed each job observed by breaking it down into major behavioral steps. Each step was further analyzed for:

- Body motion
- Activity frequency and duration
- Pace issues
- Lifting-related risk factors

Lifting tasks were studied and compared to NIOSH lifting guidelines. Weight of the objects being lifted, distance the objects were held away from the body, and location of the objects at the beginning and end of the lifts were some of the measurements taken. Individual risk factors were studied along with environmental stressors such as temperature, lighting, and noise due to their influence on human performance.

All analyses pointed to two locations where manual material handling was contributing to a back injury epidemic. Most injuries occurred during storage activities in the warehouse and paint shop where tanks were loaded onto an overhead hook conveyor system.

The consultant, working with management and the company safety committee, developed several strategies for reducing the frequency of back injuries. Placing the tank storage pallets on scissor lifts, reducing bending and lifting activities, and using a portable jib for lifting were suggested for the paint shop. In the warehouse, placing the finished product at floor level instead of on shelves and eliminating full-arm-extended reaching and lifting postures reduced risk factors. Through implementation of these strategies, the company saw improved productivity and employee morale at the two locations and a 63 percent reduction in the frequency of back injuries.

**Introduction to Ergonomics**

In recent years ergonomics has caught the attention of management due to its significance as a health and safety issue—in terms of medical costs, employee days lost from work, and human suffering. Operations requiring lifting, movement of parts or other materials, repetitive motion, unusual postures, or stationary positions are all likely to cause sprains, strains, and musculoskeletal problems. Many can be
minimized with relatively simple and inexpensive interventions. Some require intervention on a higher level, such as workstation or plant redesign, to reduce the amount of material handling required.

**Definition of the Term “Ergonomics”**

“Ergonomics” is based on two Greek words: *ergos*, meaning work, and *nomos*, meaning the study of or the principles of. In other words, ergonomics refers to the study of work. **Ergonomics is the discipline examining the capabilities and limitations of people.** There are several fields of study contributing to the discipline. Through their application, information about human characteristics and their connection with workplace tools, materials, or facilities is compiled. Ergonomics applies this information to the design of working and living environments.

**Ergonomics Is Multidisciplinary**

Ergonomics involves knowledge of sociology, psychology, anthropology, anatomy, physiology, chemistry, physics, mechanics, statistics, industrial engineering, biomechanics, and anthropometry. Principles and practices are applied to the industrial environment through activities associated with human factors engineering, industrial engineering, occupational layout and design, product design, safety engineering, occupational medicine, or industrial hygiene.

**Ergonomics Objective**

The primary objective of ergonomics is the improvement of human health, safety, and performance through the application of sound people and workplace principles. The goal is to help production managers improve productivity and efficiency. Ergonomic services should not be viewed as add-on activities.

Ergonomists can best serve as part of a team including engineers, managers, medical personnel, or even line workers. The ergonomics team will systematically analyze job requirements from a worker capability and limitation perspective, analyze workplace layout and
design, and recommend improvement of the production process. Ideally, these activities are performed proactively by integrating them into both the safety and production processes. The goal is to eliminate problems before they occur.

**Applying Ergonomics: An Overview**

Effectively pinpointing ergonomic hazards depends on the triad of recognition, evaluation, and control. Recognition of ergonomic hazards usually involves the search for symptoms. Physiological stresses and muscular strains, psychological stresses, and general complaints or discomfort are typical of ergonomic problems. Excessive movement of the product on the production floor can also be an indicator. The professional asks why a product is moved before packing or why it goes from point A to point B before inspection. Anytime a product is handled, value should be added, or handling is occurring for no reason. Through review of records, preliminary observation of the workplace, and interviews with key personnel, safety practitioners determine where employees are exposed to potential ergonomic hazards. If ergonomic exposures are a problem, it is necessary to initiate evaluation activities.

**Evaluation** implies the collection of information to help determine the extent and location of the problem. Review of written records, including OSHA 300 Logs, first aid or nurses’ logs, and workers’ compensation forms, should be considered the starting point in the evaluation process. If there are significant numbers of ergonomic injuries and illnesses, more detailed evaluation activities are performed. Indicators include back injuries, carpal tunnel syndrome, tendinitis, tenosynovitis, and muscle strains or sprains. Written records should contain the name of the individual involved in the incident, the job title, and the job location at the facility. Follow-up activities are initiated for jobs or locations where the greatest frequency of incidents or the most severe ones occur. Detailed field surveys such as personnel interviews, supervisor interviews, workplace observations, and employee health surveys are typical follow-up evaluation activities to provide insight into the extent of the ergonomic problem.
The evaluation process includes job or task analysis activities. Frequently, tasks are videotaped to permit a detailed evaluation of key ergonomic risk factors. Videotaped jobs are broken down into discrete behavioral steps so each step is monitored and frequency measures recorded.

**Factors studied during each step of the job include:**

- Frequency of potentially harmful motions
- Length of time the task is performed
- Pace employees maintain during the shift
- Internal muscle forces employees exert to perform tasks
- External forces exerted on employees, such as from the weight of objects carried

With job-related data in hand, control measures are determined. The preferred intervention is the adoption of engineering controls, such as the design and utilization of ergonomically correct workstation and workplace layouts. If engineering controls are not feasible, administrative controls are considered. These include job rotation or requiring employees to perform several different jobs during a shift to reduce repetition in a task. Another option is to require “two-man lifts” when a product exceeds a certain weight. As a last resort, personal protective equipment (PPE) can be used. It is especially helpful when protection of a body part, such as the hands through the use of gloves, is needed. Care must be given not to mask a problem or transfer it to another body part. A splint on the wrist may alleviate carpal tunnel but, in turn, generate or aggravate a condition in the shoulder.

**Applying Ergonomics: In Detail**

**Operator-Machine Systems**

Once potential ergonomic problems have been recognized and superficially evaluated for specific jobs or locations, the operator-machine system is used to categorize major conditions contributing to ergonomic problems. *Operator-machine system analysis* examines
factors associated with the people; equipment/machinery, including layout of the workplace; and environment. The approach directs the evaluator toward an understanding of the cause of and potential solutions for ergonomic problems by studying the interaction of the operator with the machine. Consideration is given to the quality of interaction based on expectations of machine operation, actual machine operation, and output as well as other factors related to the environment. It provides safety professionals with a systematic procedure to study the three categories of causes resulting in ergonomic hazards in the occupational setting: people, machines, and environment.

**People Variables**

The people or operator variables associated with a system are composed of the human factors contributing to the ergonomic problem. These include physiological dimensions, capabilities, and limitations; psychological capabilities and limitations; and psychosocial factors. One or more of these conditions has the potential to cause injuries or illnesses in the workplace. *Examination of mental and physical job demands* is done to determine whether job demands exceed human capabilities. Knowledge of human physiological dimensions (*anthropometry*) and movement (*biomechanics*) is a critical component. The actions of employees while performing their tasks are compared to movement categories, based on anthropometric and biomechanical classifications. Types of movements, whether they are performed properly and efficiently, and their frequency are noted. A quantitative evaluation of motions, forces, or other contributing factors can then be performed.

**Anthropometry**

*Anthropometry* is the measurement and collection of the physical dimensions of the human body. It is used to improve the human fit in the workplace or to determine problems existing between facilities or equipment and the employees using them.
There are two types of anthropometric dimensions useful for the study of human physiology and its effect on workplace layout and design:

1. **Structural or static anthropometry**: The body measurements and dimensions of subjects in fixed, standardized positions are referred to as “structural” or “static” anthropometrics. Common structural anthropometric measurements include stature (height), sitting height, body depth, body breadth, eye height sitting or standing, knuckle height, elbow height, elbow to fist length, and arm reach.

2. **Functional or dynamic anthropometry**: Functional or dynamic anthropometry refers to the body measurements and dimensions taken during physical activities. Frequently used functional measurements include crawling height, crawling length, kneeling height, overhead reach, bent torso height, and range of movement for upper-body extremities.

Anthropometric measurements help designers determine furniture or workplace layout requirements based on typical human body sizes. Prior to the utilization of ergonomics and anthropometrics, many machines and workplaces were designed for the so-called average employee. Unfortunately, average statistical measurements represent less than 1 percent of the normal distribution of body measures. For example, if a standing workplace were designed for an average American male (5’8”), it would not fit 99 percent of the American population.

The evolution of automobile interiors illustrates the value added by the application of ergonomics. Years ago, seats could be adjusted forward and backward to accommodate the leg length and pedal reach requirements of the driver. Height, pedal, and steering wheel adjustments were not typically available. Cars were designed for the average person in the population, causing short individuals to look through the steering wheel and tall individuals to strike their heads against the roof. Today, ergonomists use anthropometric measurements to include at least 90 percent of the population. Most workplace designs attempt to achieve this goal by including people dimensions between
the 5th and 95th percentiles. This can usually only be accomplished by providing adjustable devices, as they have done in automobiles by providing multidirectional power seats, moveable pedals, and adjustable seat backs and head rests.

Percentile statistics are used extensively in anthropometrics to represent the number of people with measurements less than or equal to the dimensions of interest. If a man has an anthropometric measurement that places him in the 95th percentile, his measurement is as large as or larger than 95 percent of the population. In considering the height (stature) of an American population of 50 percent males and 50 percent females, the 5th percentile of this population comprises those of a height of 60\(\frac{4}{5}\) inches while the 95th percentile includes individuals of a height of 72 inches. If someone is six feet (72 inches) tall, he or she is in the 95th percentile. This indicates the person is as tall as or taller than 95 percent of the entire population. Ninety percent of this male/female population could then be accommodated for height if the workplace is designed to be adjustable for people between these two measurements of 60\(\frac{4}{5}\) and 72 inches. As the size of the average American increases, these measurements increase accordingly.

Taking anthropometric measures and adjusting the workstation may help eliminate ergonomic problems. An example is in trying to eliminate back injuries associated with lifting boxes onto a shelf. According to the recommendations associated with the NIOSH lifting equation, *knuckle height standing* at the start of a lift produces the least amount of stress upon a worker’s back. In addition, if one can minimize the destination (height of the shelf) where the box has to be placed, this will also reduce back stress. Thus, back muscle stress could be greatly reduced if the boxes could be removed from a scissors pallet at knuckle height and stored at knuckle height.

This requires taking employee measurements or referring to anthropometric data for knuckle height standing. Anthropometric charts state that male and female employees have knuckle heights ranging from 25\(\frac{9}{10}\) inches (at the 5th percentile) to 31\(\frac{9}{10}\) inches (at the 95th percentile). The solution to this ergonomic problem is *adjustability!* Using an adjustable scissors pallet and providing a platform for shorter employees can meet the height requirement.
Biomechanics

Mechanics is the science of motion and force. Biomechanics is the human equivalent of this concept. It is the study of the mechanical operation of the human body, or the science of motion and force in living organisms. In biomechanics, the function of the body components is monitored and job requirements are modified to lower internal and external stresses. The musculoskeletal system provides the foundation data for the study of biomechanics. As in anthropometrics, there are two types of biomechanical measurements:

1. Dynamics: the study of moving bodies
2. Statics: the study of bodies remaining at rest (equilibrium) as a result of forces acting upon them

The two measures provide the ability to determine how moving body components contribute to ergonomic injuries. Factors, such as extension and force applied by the arm and leg muscles while pushing a hand truck, can be calculated using biomechanics. The load on a hand truck, friction coefficient of the walking surface, and other external forces affecting employee performance can also be determined. The measurement of primary concern is force, especially as it relates to loads and stresses on the body. In biomechanics there are two categories of force creating motion of biological matter or movements like walking or lifting:

1. Load: the external forces upon a structure or organism
2. Stresses: the internal forces generated in the structure as a result of loading

In human bodies, all movement is possible due to the application of load and stress to biological levers. The field of biomechanics, which teaches about joints, bones, and muscles, provides safety professionals with an understanding of how the musculoskeletal levers of the body are designed to work. This awareness can be applied to identify and eliminate unnatural movements resulting in ergonomic problems. Evaluation activities such as monitoring frequency and duration of
movement or examining postures and positions can be initiated to determine the level of ergonomic risk. Internal and external forces should also be evaluated as a part of a job or task analysis.

Twisting and other unnatural movements or postures observed during a task analysis are warning signals. They will eventually result in ergonomic injuries. Ease of work activity or biomechanical advantage is only possible when the weight is held and moved using natural posture and body position.

**Classification of Body Movement, Postures, and Positions**

The level of ergonomic risk can effectively be determined by performing ergonomic task analyses. This requires the breakdown of an activity into discrete behavioral steps. Once the steps have been sequentially developed, each can be logically broken down into the movements observed. Steps are recorded and used for calculating repetition rates and duration. Ergonomic problems may correlate with frequency and duration of biomechanical motions.

*There are two different systems used to classify and evaluate body movements:*

1. **Physiological classification systems** examine the way body parts move.
2. **Operational classification systems** group body movements by the particular work activity being performed

**Physiological Categories of Movement**

Listings of body movements are used to classify the biomechanical movement of the individuals performing a task. The following is a cursory list of physiological movements with a brief description of each term (Kroemer, Kroemer, and Kroemer-Elbert, 1990). See figures 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-4 for clarification of the movements represented.
Figure 7-1. An example of arm abduction and adduction.
Figure 7-2. Examples of wrist flexion and extension.
Figure 7-3. An example of hand neutral plane and deviation positions.
Figure 7-4. An example of wrist supination and pronation.
Abduction/Adduction

*Abduction:* The movement of a body part away from the center plane of the body. Lifting the arm outward away from the body is an example of abduction.

*Adduction:* The opposite of abduction. This is the movement of the body part toward the center plane of the body. Lowering the arm toward the body is an example of adduction.

Circumduction

*Circumduction:* Rotary movements which circumscribe an arc. Swinging the arm in a circle is an example of circumduction.

Flexion/Extension

*Flexion:* The movement of a joint that decreases the angle between the bones. Bending the arm at the elbow such that the hand moves closer to the upper arm region is one example.

*Extension:* The opposite of flexion. The movement of a joint that increases the angle between the bones. Straightening the arm is an example of extension.

Neutral Plane/Deviation

*Neutral Plane:* The normal and low-stress position of segmental physiological components. Maintaining the hand, wrist, and forearm at a 180-degree angle or in a straight and linear plane or dropping the hand, wrist, forearm, elbow, and upper arm at one’s side is an example of neutral planes.

*Deviation:* The movement or position of a body part away from the neutral plane. Bending the wrist with the hand bent toward the thumb is referred to as radial deviation. Bending the wrist with the hand bent toward the small finger is referred to as ulnar deviation.

Rotation

*Rotation:* A movement in which a body part turns on its longitudinal axis. The turning of the head or arm is an example of rotation.
Supination/Pronation

**Supination:** The turning of the forearm or wrist such that the hand rotates and the palm is facing upward.

**Pronation:** The opposite of supination. The turning of the forearm or wrist such that the hand rotates and the palm is facing downward.

Operational Categories of Movement

Operational classification of movement refers to the task being performed by the operator at the time of the job observation. *The following is a list of the terms used to represent the operational classification of movements:*

- **Positioning:** Moving an object and corresponding extremity from one position to another. An example of positioning would be reaching for a bolt stored in a bin to the right of an employee.

- **Continuous movement:** A single movement involving muscle control to adjust or guide a machine or other piece of equipment. An example of continuous movement would be the steering of a forklift.

- **Manipulative movement:** The handling or assembling of parts. This movement classification is usually limited to hand or finger movement. An example of manipulative movement would be the assembly of component parts.

- **Repetitive movements:** The same movements recurring repetitively. Hammering or using a screwdriver would be examples of repetitive movements.

- **Sequential movements:** A series of separate movements joined in a specific order to complete a given task. Reaching for a tool with the right hand, grasping a component in the left hand, moving the two hands toward one another, and adjusting the component using the tool are examples of sequential movements.

- **Static movements:** Maintaining the position of a body member in order to hold something in place. Though movement may not be
involved, the muscles are required to maintain the steady position of the object. Holding a board or plasterboard in place on the ceiling of a room while screwing it into position is an example of static loading of muscle groups or static movement.

**Additional Characteristics of the People Variable**

The people component of the operator-machine system also considers psychological factors affecting worker performance. *Psychology* is the science studying human behavior. From an ergonomic perspective, psychological factors such as memory, attention, fatigue, boredom, job satisfaction, future ambiguity, expectation, and stress contribute to a wide variety of potential ergonomic problems. Psychology incorporates such behavior as employee expectations for machines. They expect *up* to equal *on* and *down* to equal *off*. *Forward* on a lever is *faster* or *ahead* and *backwards* is *slower* or *reverse*.

The people component of the operator-machine system also incorporates *physiology*, the branch of the biological sciences concerned with the function and processes of the human body. Physiological capabilities and limitations include the structure, strength, and movement of anatomical components. *Physiology* also includes the study of the human body at the cellular level. Neurological activity associated with light stimulating the retina when a warning signal flashes is an example. It can also include such complex phenomena as gross motor functioning when studying how various muscle groups of the body tense and relax to provide movement and balance while lifting and carrying an object.

**Cumulative Trauma Disorders**

*Cumulative trauma disorders* (CTDs), also referred to as *repetitive-motion injuries*, result from excessive use of the hand, wrist, or forearm. As with overexertion injuries, the frequency and costs of CTDs are growing to epidemic proportions. Some of the most common cumulative trauma disorders are carpal tunnel syndrome, cubital tunnel syndrome, tendinitis, and tenosynovitis.
Carpal Tunnel Syndrome

Carpal tunnel syndrome is a common wrist injury caused by compression of the median nerve in the carpal tunnel. The carpal tunnel is an opening in the wrist surrounded by the bones of the wrist and the transverse carpal ligament. The sensation in the thumb, index, and middle fingers is generated by the median nerve. When the wrist is forced to flex, extend, or deviate toward the ulnar (small finger) or radial (thumb) position, the ligament compresses the median nerve. In effect, these motions pinch this nerve as it passes through the carpal tunnel. Symptoms include tingling, pain, or numbness in the thumb and first three fingers.

The level of repetitive motion tolerated without undue risk of injury varies widely depending on age, sex, and other health factors. While no specific limits have been established to avoid CTD symptoms, factors increasing risk include repetition of motion, work-rest cycles, force, and duration of the task.

Carpal tunnel syndrome has been found increasingly among data entry clerks, cashiers, and individuals who perform keypunch tasks due to the static, restricted posture and high-speed finger movements.

Cubital Tunnel Syndrome

Cubital Tunnel Syndrome is compression of the ulnar nerve in the elbow, thought to be caused by resting the elbow on a hard surface or sharp edge. Symptoms include tingling in the ring finger and little finger.

Tendonitis

Tendonitis, the most frequently diagnosed CTD, results from inflammation of tendons due to excessive use. Common symptoms are burning sensations, pain, and swelling at various sites in the hand, wrist, or arm. Tendinitis is common for workers required to extend their arms overhead during assembly activities.

Tenosynovitis

Tenosynovitis is soft tissue trauma and injury to tendons or tendon sheaths, frequently occurring in the wrists and ankles where ten-
dons cross tight ligaments. The tendon sheath swells, making it more difficult for the tendon to move back and forth inside. It often results from the overworking of muscles or the wrenching and/or stretching of tendons. Common forms of tenosynovitis are de Quervain’s disease and trigger finger (Parker and Imbus, 1992). These disorders are commonly found in employees whose jobs include buffing/grinding and packing because of repetitive wrist motions, vibration, and prolonged flexing of shoulders. Tenosynovitis is often associated with ulnar deviation during rotational movements such as using a screwdriver.

**CTD Symptoms**

*Symptoms of repetitive motion disorders have been described as appearing in three stages* (Chatterjee, 1978).

**Stage 1:** Victims may experience aches and tiredness; at this time, the disorder is fully reversible and may even subside after periods of rest.

**Stage 2:** There is the addition of swelling and pain. The symptoms do not dissipate overnight; they usually last for several months and result in a reduction in job performance.

**Stage 3:** Victims may experience constant pain even while performing light duties. This condition can last for months or years.

The key to an effective ergonomics program is to identify problems before they begin. Safety professionals should look for potential CTD problems during task analysis activities by using physiological motion categories that focus attention on awkward (unnatural) postures and frequent repetitions of motions.

**Psychosocial factors**, the third element of the people component of the operator-machine system, refers to an individual’s behavior in a group environment. The term “psychosocial” is derived from the phrase “social psychology.” The ergonomist is interested in attitude formation, attitude change, leadership styles, power and influence, conflict, occupational stress, organizational structure, employee motivation, and organizational reward systems. All can influence
employee performance in the social context of an organization. For example, a glass plant manufacturing bottles for soft drinks was concerned about workers not paying enough attention during the final inspection. Three employees were placed on each side of the assembly line and were assigned to watch the bottles for imperfections. Management was concerned boredom would cause them to talk among themselves and provide a distraction from their job. It built isolation booths around each worker so communication among them was impossible. Although this eliminated the problem of talking, it increased boredom to the point where increased efficiency became questionable.

Psychological, physiological, and psychosocial elements can occur either in isolation or in combination with one another, as they do in shift work. “Shift work” refers to work performed during non-traditional employment hours of a day. It is “any regularly taken employment outside the day working window, defined arbitrarily as the hours between 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM” (Monk and Folkard, 1992). It can refer to working on a fixed shift where individuals maintain the same schedule, like a cat eye shift. “Cat eye shift” is a phrase used in coal mining where miners typically work a fixed schedule from 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. Shift work also refers to rotating shifts where employee schedules are changed on a regular basis. An example of a rotating shift is when employees work midnight to 8:00 a.m., for one week, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. the next week, and 4:00 p.m. to midnight the third week. Nontraditional hours may lead to ergonomic problems.

Physiological hazards and strain are created when the body attempts to fight its natural circadian rhythm. “Circadian rhythms” are the body’s attempt to conform to the 24-hour day in terms of metabolism, physiology, and psychology. Susceptibility to fatigue increases when people have work schedules conflicting with this rhythm. Physiological strain is manifested by an increased number of cardiovascular and gastrointestinal problems for individuals required to perform shift work (Rutenfranz, Colquhoun, and Knauth, 1977).

Psychological factors associated with shift work include the stress and depression accompanying unusual work schedules. Individuals performing shift work are less effective in terms of cognitive abilities
and attentiveness on the job. Safety records for shift workers are worse than those for day-shift employees (DeVries-Griever and Meijman, 1987), possibly due to the strains on interpersonal relationships. The psychological problem becomes a psychosocial one when shift workers do not have the opportunity to interact with spouses or friends for long periods of time, creating a void in their social support system. This loss of emotional support can result in marital difficulties and elevated frequencies of divorce. The resulting stress, in turn, manifests itself as a workplace safety or ergonomic problem.

**Machine Variables**

Machines or equipment includes a variety of variables that must be evaluated. Examples are as follows:

*Machines:*
- the position of the displays and controls associated with the operation and monitoring of machinery
- distance of part storage bins from the worker
- distance of the conveyor systems from the worker area
- table height of the work surface

*Tools:*
- shape and size of handle for correct fit
- vibration translation to hands or other parts of the body
- correct use of tool

*Offices:*
- design and layout of computer components
- back support of a chair
- height of keyboard surface
- position of the monitor
- layout of aisles and walkways

A worker operating a bucket lift may find himself using different controls than he did with the last bucket he used. A simple layout
includes a vertical stick he pushes back and forth if he wants the bucket to move backward and forward. He also has a horizontal stick he moves up and down if he wants the bucket to move in either of those directions. He deals with a dilemma when, unfamiliar with the operation of this particular bucket, he is faced with a series of levers, all in a row, with the labels and instructions worn away over the years. Although the worker may practice in a wide-open space, the possibility exists that he’ll forget how each lever works in the middle of his work. A wrong move could send the bucket into a high-voltage wire or through a window. When the accident occurs, it is often attributed to operator error, when, in fact, it is also a result of poor equipment design and an improper interface between the person and the machine.

Machines or jobs requiring large numbers of repetitions can also be problematic. **Task requirements should be modified if any of the following factors are observed:**

- Tasks requiring over 2,000 manipulations per hour
- Manual task work cycles that are 30 seconds or less in duration
- Repetitive tasks whose duration exceeds half of the worker’s shift.

Problems may be exacerbated when excessive force must be applied or frequent use of stressful postures is necessary. Studies indicate that arthritis; diabetes; poor renal, thyroid, or cardiac function; hypertension; pregnancy; and fractures within the carpal tunnel increase the chances of an employee experiencing CTD symptoms (Parker and Imbus, 1992).

**Environmental Variables**

Environmental variables include temperature, lighting, noise, vibration, humidity, and air contamination—any of which can result in elevated ergonomic risks. Fatigue often accompanies high temperatures and levels of humidity, ultimately reducing the worker’s mental capability to focus on a task. Health concerns such as heat stress, heat exhaustion, and heatstroke are additional dangers. Lighting or workplace illumination is another risk factor. Computer glare on a monitor
in an office environment can cause eye fatigue and eyestrain problems. Insufficient illumination in a warehouse can result in slipping and tripping incidents. Excessive illumination or glare can result in operator errors while using heavy equipment outdoors.

**Workplace Layout and Design**

Once the task analysis has been performed and ergonomic problems have been observed, a determination is made as to how to eliminate the hazards. One method to achieve this goal is by examining how the work area is laid out and redesigning the workplace to eliminate the problems. Knowledge of workstations will help the safety professional in this process.

**Interventions—Workstations**

_Ergonomists recommend seated workstations when:_

- All tools, equipment, or components required to perform the tasks are easily reached from the seated position
- The primary tasks require fine manipulative hand movements or inspection activities
- Frequent overhead reaches are not required
- The force exertion requirements to perform the task are minimal
- Less than ten pounds of lifting force is required
- There is adequate leg space available (adapted from Eastman Kodak, 1983).

Workspace design specifications include: a minimum of 20 inches in width, 26 inches in depth to allow for adequate leg clearance, a minimum of 4-inch clearance from the edge of the workstation, and an approximate ideal work area of 10 by 10 inches where activities are performed. Seated workstations are recommended for detailed visual tasks, for precision assembly work, or for typing and writing tasks.

_Standing workstations are typically recommended when:_
• The operator is required to move around a given area to perform the given task
• Extended and frequent reaches are required to perform the intended tasks
• Substantial downward forces or lifting heavy objects, typically weighing more than ten pounds, is required
• There is limited leg clearance below the workstation surface (adapted from Eastman Kodak, 1983)

Standing workstations are for precision and detailed work and heavier work activities. Because of anthropometric differences in male and female workers, the ideal working height for tasks will vary, but anthropometric charts can help determine measurements. Generating the maximum force in the upper body extremities requires employees to keep elbows close to normal elbow heights or slightly below elbow height while standing. When employees stand in a normal, relaxed position and then bend their elbows at a 90-degree angle, the measurement between the elbow height and the floor will furnish the elbow-height standing position. This measurement is the ideal height for light-work-related activities while standing. Elbow standing height is reduced 4 to 12 inches for heavier work requirements or raised 4 to 8 inches for more detailed work activities.

The combination workstation is recommended when:

• Several tasks requiring mobility are performed
• Reaching overhead or at levels below seated positions is required—especially when forward or side position reaches must be accomplished at a variety of levels above the work surface (adapted from Eastman Kodak, 1983)

Grandjean (1988) recommends seven guidelines for workplace layout and design:

1. Avoid any kind of bent or unnatural posture. (Bending the trunk or the head sideways is more harmful than bending forward.)
2. Avoid keeping an arm outstretched either forward or sideways. (Such postures lead to rapid fatigue and reduce precision.)
3. Work sitting down as much as possible. (Combination workstations are strongly recommended.)

4. Use arm movements in opposition to each other or symmetrically. (Moving one arm by itself sets up static loads on the trunk muscles. Symmetrical movements facilitate control.)

5. Maintain working fields (the object or table surface) at an optimal height and distance for the eyes of the operator.

6. Arrange handgrips, controls, tools, and materials around the station to facilitate the use of bent elbows close to the body.

7. Raise arms where necessary by using padded supports under the elbows, forearms, or hands.

**Interventions—Manual Material Handling**

Manual material handling is a common activity in most occupational environments. Workers handle raw materials, tools, finished materials, containers, and packing materials on a daily basis. Moving objects, regardless of weight, can result in arm, back, and leg strain. The costs of injuries due to material handling are enormous—from both medical treatment and workers’ compensation. Losses from these injuries are compounded when the days absent from work are factored in.

NIOSH lifting guidelines (NIOSH Publication No. 94-110, 1994) identify certain motions increasing the risk of back injuries. They include combinations of bending, twisting or turning, standing, and sudden position changes. Bending and twisting/turning are particularly troublesome. Lifting is hazardous due to the effects of leverage exerted on the spine during the movement. The force from the weight of the object is multiplied by a factor of as much as ten or more depending on the distance of the object from the body. Twenty-four bones, or vertebrae, compose the spine. A fluid-filled disc with a fibrous outer layer is located between each pair of vertebrae to serve as a shock absorber preventing the rubbing and abrasion of the vertebrae. Disc rupture and herniation are injuries that are often cumulative in nature. A variety of factors, including age and repeated poor lifting practices, are prime culprits resulting in damaged discs (Mital,
Nicholson, and Ayoub, 1993). Sometimes a back pain is attributed to a lift or sudden motion, but the underlying cause is the poor state of the back due to weakened muscles or previous injuries. It can be caused by the fluid in a disc pushing through the fibrous layer, applying pressure on the nerves in the spinal cord. Although only about 5 percent of back injuries are attributed to disc damage, it is often more serious and longer lasting than other forms of back injuries.

To avoid back injuries, employees must know proper lifting techniques. Traditionally, employees have been taught to use a bent knee/straight back technique with the object between the knees. However, this can only be performed if the object fits between the knees. Research indicates the legs may not always be strong enough to lift heavy objects using this technique, causing awkward and stressful postures (Garg and Herrin, 1979). There is no single lifting technique that applies to all situations; the goal for safety professionals is to eliminate manual material handling whenever possible. Engineering controls like cranes and conveyors to eliminate lifting and carrying activities are ideal. If engineering controls are not feasible, administrative controls such as requiring assistance from coworkers for objects of a certain weight can be implemented.

*Some additional considerations:*

- Use engineering methods to automate lifting and moving
- Ensure the weight of the object is as close to the body as possible when employees lift manually
- Eliminate bending and twisting motions when lifting
- Require lifting aids, whether mechanical ones or coworkers, when exceeding NIOSH-recommended weight limits.

**Interventions—Video Display Terminal Workstation Design**

As workers spend more time on computers, there is an increasing frequency of ergonomic injuries and illnesses. Poor workstation design is often the cause of both physiological and psychological problems (Danko, 1990). The layout and design must be geared to
human capabilities and limitations in order to avoid physical discom-
forts, fatigue, mental stress, or injury to the worker (DeChiara and 
Zelnik, 1991). Computer workstations should be of great concern to 
safety professionals in both the layout of new facilities and improve-
ment of existing office and other occupational settings.

Several individuals of varying body size, weight, shape, age, phys-
ical condition, attitude, aptitude, or mental ability may use work-
stations; therefore, adjustability is necessary. The work environment 
should incorporate anthropometric designs to enable all workers to 
function within their capabilities and perform their job tasks opti-
mally and comfortably (Braganza, 1994). Anthropometric data sup-
ply a standard guide of measurements, both static and dynamic, to 
provide an optimal dimensional layout of the workstation (Woodson 
and Conover, 1964).

Other critical factors in the workplace design or redesign process 
are illumination, equipment and control display arrangements, warn-
ing signals, visual displays, control devices, traffic spaces, and storage 
requirements (Woodson, 1981). The nature of the task will determine 
the type of workstation layout appropriate for the setting: seated for 
data entry and telecommunication jobs; standing for assembly and 
machine control jobs; and combination for technical positions and 
computer design work. A combination workstation often meets the 
needs of tasks performed in both office and manufacturing environ-
ments (Grandjean, 1988). The key is having equipment and furnish-
ings easily adjustable to ensure proper fit and conformance to 
anthropometric requirements. Seated workstations should have easily 
adjustable chairs, work surfaces, equipment, and footrests (Eastman 
Kodak, 1983).

A good chair is probably one of the most important workstation 
investments. When a chair is properly adjusted with feet firmly on the 
ground, the hips and knees will assume a horizontal plane position at 
a 90-degree angle and the pan of the seat will measure 15 to 20 inches 
from the floor. In the correct working position, workers’ arms should 
dangle by their sides, and then be raised to a horizontal plane position 
at right angles to their bodies. When the body is assuming its neutral 
position, stress is relieved from the shoulders and back area, resulting 
in a decrease in fatigue and tension (Grandjean, 1988).
To ensure that a chair has as many ergonomic comfort and safety qualities as possible, it should have the following features:

- adjustable backrest and seat (to fit different body sizes)
- good lumbar support (lower back)
- five legs (for better stability)
- wheels (for mobility)
- reclining seat backs and adjustable seat pans (to control the pressure on the back and thighs)
- armrests and footrests (if necessary to the situation) (Barnes, 1994)

Numbness, pain, and fatigue may still occur due to reduced blood circulation if an individual has been seated for an extended amount of time. Therefore, the furniture or equipment being used should have rounded edges to prevent discomfort (Braganza, 1994). In addition, frequent breaks and stretch activities should be incorporated into the employee’s daily activities. Breaks do not necessarily imply coffee breaks. Changing activities can meet the needs of an employee. Filing information while standing constitutes a break from computer data entry activities and will also provide therapeutic benefits.

The work surface area, configuration, and height are additional ergonomic concerns safety professionals should not neglect (Braganza, 1994). Pencils, paper, and other frequently used materials should be located near the body in a range of reach from 16 to 18 inches. Arm movements should also be made in a symmetrical or opposing action while the elbows are slightly bent to prevent unnatural twisting or stretching of the body. Moving one arm by itself can cause static loads on the trunk muscles, whereas, symmetrical movements allow for added control (Panero and Zelnick, 1979). Standard anthropometric data suggest that most desks are 30 inches high—too high for an ergonomically correct computer workstation. Depending on the height of the individual, the adjustability of the work surface should be optimally between 23 and 28½ inches high. This satisfies the seating requirements of 90 percent of seated adults (Barnes, 1994).

Thigh and knee clearance is another key consideration in designing a workstation (Barnes, 1994). A full range of movement and suf-
ficient clearance for the thighs are necessary while one is sitting. Without sufficient clearance, an employee might twist the torso in order to get closer to the workstation. This twisting could cause injury. The minimum thigh clearance (measured from beneath the work surface to the floor) is $20\frac{2}{5}$ inches (Braganza, 1994). If this clearance is not available, a standing workstation might be the layout of choice.

Anthropometric measurements should also be used to determine the proper angle and height of the monitor to reduce fatigue and eye-strain. The top of the monitor should be aligned with the standard sight line, and the center of the screen should be 15 degrees below eye level (DeChiara and Zelnik, 1991). The screen should be tilted back 0 to 7 degrees to eliminate glare, and should be located between 18 and 28 inches from the eyes for ease in focusing (Grandjean, 1988). In addition, document holders should be placed at the same height and plane as the screen to decrease neck and eye fatigue (Barnes, 1994). The monitor, documents, and keyboard should be positioned to best suit the task being performed, reducing twisting and bending while one is using the equipment (Grandjean, 1988).

Purchasing ergonomically adjustable furniture will not necessarily eliminate ergonomic problems. Employees must be instructed as to how to adjust workstations to meet their specific needs. For ergonomic programs to be effective, employees must also know how to ergonomically lay out and design their workstations, as well as adjust their furniture to eliminate stresses. Training is an important part of all ergonomics programs.

**Conclusion**

Ergonomics has become an increasingly important part of the safety professional’s job responsibilities. With an effective implementation plan, ergonomic activities can make a significant difference in the loss-control effectiveness of the organization. Through workplace layout and task analysis activities, the safety professional may identify many ergonomic hazards. Consideration is given to the operator-machine systems, anthropometry, and biomechanics during walk-through inspections.
For some complex ergonomic hazards, organizational ergonomic factors must also be considered. Some of these hazards may be associated with factors such as shift work schedules or product flow problems. Work-rest cycles may also be an issue. Depending on the nature of the work activities, psychological factors such as fatigue and boredom are other issues that can impact worker productivity and efficiency. No matter what the ergonomic problem might be, it is important for safety practitioners to use the operator-equipment system. This will ensure that all of the variables affecting ergonomic performance will be examined.

In 2002, industry-specific guidelines were announced for nursing homes, and their use is expected to spread to the health care industry in general. Management practices, worksite analysis, and control methods are addressed in an attempt to provide solutions for reducing ergonomic-related injuries and illnesses in nursing homes. Although these guidelines were not planned for enforcement purposes, certain features may be enforced under the general duty clause. Ergonomic tools for baggage handling, beverage delivery, computer workstations, grocery warehousing, hospitals, poultry processing, and sewing can be found on the OSHA website at www.osha.gov.

Questions
1. What are the components of the operator-machine system? Provide an example of a work activity using this system.
2. How can the use of the industrial hygiene triad be applied to ergonomic problems?
3. How can videotaping jobs be useful in the evaluation of ergonomic problems?
4. How can anthropometrics and biomechanics be used to solve ergonomic problems?
5. How does anthropometrics use statistical measurements and methods?
6. What are the costs associated with material handling and repetitive motion injuries? Why are these two types of ergonomic problems of concern to the safety professional?
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Chapter 8

FIRE PREVENTION AND PROTECTION

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• List the components of the fire tetrahedron
• Define key fire terms
• Identify the classes of fires and extinguishers
• Explain the purpose of the NFPA and prominent safety-related regulations
• Identify placards and labels
• List the components of the fire program

Case Study

The Imperial Food Products plant, located in Hamlet, North Carolina, was owned and operated by Emmet J. Roe. Its 30,000 square-foot, one-story, brick-and-cinder-block facility had no windows but had nine exits, including loading docks. The 200 employees were predominantly female. Chicken breasts were cooked, frozen, and packaged for resale to restaurant chains and frozen food companies. The facility did not have a buildingwide sprinkler system at the time of the fire, but a carbon dioxide fire extinguishing system had been installed in the cooking area following a fire in the early 1980s. It was not known if the system was operational at the time of the fire (Rives and Mather, 1991, p. 1A).

The incident began when an overhead hydraulic line ruptured and sprayed flammable hydraulic fluid on the floor in the frying area. Natural gas burners, used to heat the large chicken fryers, ignited the vapors of the fluid and caused a fire killing 25 workers and injuring an
additional 49 employees (Hughes, 1991, p. 8). As employees tried to exit the burning building, they found the fire exit doors locked. Management had locked several exits to prevent employees from stealing chickens. One door was kicked down as employees fled from the fire and suffocating smoke. A fire investigator later found a door marked “Fire Exit—Do Not Block” padlocked. Some employees could not find a way out and tried to hide in the freezer; most of them died by suffocation. It was later learned the employees had never received any type of fire evacuation training (Hughes, 1991, p. 8).

The Imperial Food Products plant opened its doors ten years prior to the fire. Over those ten years, the plant never had an inspection by the state, local, or federal inspectors. The town of Hamlet was not required to make inspections, although it was up to the municipality to enforce fire regulations. Ironically, every day the plant was in operation, there was a federal inspector on site to make sure the chicken processed was acceptable for consumption. Locking a fire door is a violation of North Carolina’s fire code, a misdemeanor in this case. The state fire code requires all municipalities to have a fire inspector, even though a minimum number of inspections is not specified. Under the occupational safety regulations, a locked exit door constitutes a serious violation and because management locked fire exits knowingly, it would have been a willful violation (Diamond, 1991, p. 7A).

OSHA’s General Industry Standards contains the Means of Egress regulations for evacuating workplaces during emergencies. The rule requires “a continuous and unobstructed way of exit travel from any point in a building” (Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA], 2003, p. 33). In 1980, OSHA added requirements for employee emergency plans and fire prevention plans to the Means of Egress regulations.

**Employers must:**

- Develop emergency plans
- Create evacuation routes
- Install alarm systems
- Create regular emergency response training programs

For the first time, victims of work-related injuries and deaths could seek prosecution for willful violations causing bodily injuries (Diamond, 1991, p. 1A).
Emmett Roe, the owner of Imperial Food Products, was sentenced to 19 years and 11 months in jail as part of a plea bargain that let his son Brad, the plant’s operations manager, stay out of prison. The sentence was for illegally locking plant doors and not having a sprinkler system, leading to the death of the 25 workers.

The irony of the fire is in the reminiscence of the infamous Triangle Shirtwaist Fire that occurred in New York City in 1911. There were 146 workers killed and another 70 seriously injured when fire broke out in a fireproof building where garments were manufactured. Some exits were only 20 inches wide and the employer locked others to prevent theft. Workers were eventually able to break through a door to reach a fire escape, but it soon collapsed.

Fire Tetrahedron

Four components are necessary to sustain combustion:

1. Fuel
2. Heat
3. Oxygen
4. A chemical reaction

A fire will not burn without the presence of all four. Fuels include numerous materials, both liquid and solid, but all must be in a vapor state before they can burn. Once vaporized, they mix with oxygen to form a combustible mixture capable of burning when exposed to heat. During the burning process, a chemical reaction among the components of fuel, oxygen, and heat causes the chemical components to change form and release other gases. The following example will illustrate this process.

An owner of a boat sales and repair shop inspected a boat his assistant was repairing in the shop. During the inspection, he smelled gasoline vapors, so, before opening several doors to air out the place, he instructed his assistant not to activate a grinder. As the owner turned to enter the showroom, he heard the grinder and spun around to see about a dozen fires burning throughout the repair shop. Both
men escaped with minor burns, but the boat was destroyed and the shop suffered extensive damage. The assistant assumed there were not enough vapors to cause a fire. He may not have considered the electrical grinder might spark when activated, and ignite those vapors. Had the vapors not reached the lower flammable limit, they would not have ignited.

The lower flammable limit, also known as the lower explosive limit, is the lowest concentration of gas or vapor (percentage volume in air) that burns or explodes if an ignition source is present. The upper flammable limit or upper explosive limit is the highest concentration of a gas or vapor that burns or explodes if an ignition source is present. A mixture can have too little of the concentration (and be too lean) or too much of the concentration (and be too rich) to burn. In the case of the boat repair shop, the concentration was between the limits, so it ignited. Incidentally, the closer the mixture gets to the limits, the less complete the combustion. The optimal mixture for complete combustion is near the midpoint between the limits.

Gasoline is hazardous because it has a low flash point, the temperature at which a substance gives off enough vapors to form an ignitable mixture, causing the substance to burn or explode. The source of ignition might be a flame, hot object, spark from a tool, or static electricity. Although it is possible for the mixture to exceed the upper flammable limit where it becomes too rich to burn, any mixture above 10 percent of its lower flammable limit should be considered a hazard and treated accordingly. Fuel, oxygen, and an ignition source combined to cause an explosion when the assistant activated the grinder.

Vapor density becomes a key issue in determining where a vapor might be found in the atmosphere. If the vapor has a low density (below 1.0), it will float in the air. Therefore, ignition of such a fire might occur anywhere in a room or at a point above the source of the vapors. If the vapor has a high density (above 1.0), it will tend to move downward. Vapors released from a gasoline spill will often float downhill. An ignition source below the gasoline may ignite it and cause the fire to spread from the point of ignition to the source of the spill. Police often evacuate a wide area surrounding a gasoline spill on the highway because a nearby car could become a source of ignition.
and cause the remaining contents of the tank to burn, explode, or both.

A liquid having a flash point at or above 100 degrees (Fahrenheit) is *combustible*. If the liquid ignites at a temperature below 100 degrees (Fahrenheit), it is referred to as *flammable*. The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) subcategorizes the categories of combustible and flammable. Knowing these subcategories can be useful in determining how to protect some properties.

### Categories of Fires and Extinguishers

*Fires are categorized according to types of materials involved:*

- **Class A fires** involve ordinary combustible materials such as paper, wood, cloth, and some rubber and plastic materials.
Figure 8-2. Example of fire extinguishers found in the occupational environment. (A) 150-pound wheel fire extinguisher for protection of facilities where larger fires could occur. (B) Handheld fire extinguishers. (Photographs provided by and reprinted with the permission of Ansul, Inc., Marinette, Wisconsin.)
• **Class B fires** involve flammable or combustible liquids, flammable gases, greases and similar materials, and some rubber and plastic materials.

• **Class C fires** involve energized electrical equipment where safety requires the use of electrically nonconductive extinguishing media.

• **Class D fires** involve combustible metals such as magnesium, titanium, zirconium, sodium, lithium, and potassium.

Fire extinguishing agents are categorized by the types of fires they extinguish; that is, Class A, Class B, Class C, or Class D extinguishers are used on corresponding types of fires. Some extinguishers can be used on different classes of fires; therefore, Class A-B and Class A-B-C extinguishers are available.

Each type can be recognized as follows. An extinguisher for Class A fires may be rated as 1-A, 2-A, 3-A, 4-A, 6-A, 10-A, 20-A, 30-A, or 40-A. A 4-A extinguisher will extinguish about twice as much fire as a 2-A extinguisher. Class B extinguishers are rated similarly. Class C extinguishers are tested only for electrical conductivity; however, no extinguisher gets a Class C rating without a Class A and/or Class B rating. Class D extinguishers are tested on metal fires. The agent used depends on the metal for which the extinguisher was designed. The extinguisher faceplate will indicate the effectiveness of the unit on specific metals. Fire extinguishers are distributed in the workplace so that the travel distance for Class A extinguishers is 75 feet or less. Class B and D extinguishers require travel distances of 50 feet or less. Class C travel distances are based on the appropriate pattern for Classes A or B. Extinguishers shall be mounted and located so they are readily accessible. They require a monthly visual check and an annual maintenance check in addition to any applicable hydrostatic testing.

A good example of a typical heavy-duty fire extinguisher can be found in figure 8-2. Employees who use this type of extinguisher are typically members of an onsite fire brigade.

**National Fire Protection Association**

The NFPA was founded in 1896 to design sprinkler systems and develop techniques for their installation and maintenance. Since then,
the organization has evolved to become the preeminent fire prevention group in the United States. Its goal is to safeguard people and their environment from destructive fires through the use of scientific and engineering techniques and education. The NFPA’s activities involve development, publication, and dissemination of codes and consensus standards (Ferry, 1990).

The NFPA’s *Fire Protection Handbook* was first published in 1896 and is now in a current edition consisting of thousands of pages. It has become increasingly large because of the expansion of the body of knowledge and the complexity of industries that have evolved. The NFPA also publishes other literature, including the *Life Safety Code Handbook*, *Automatic Sprinkler Systems Handbook*, *Recommended Practices for Responding to Hazardous Materials Incidents*, and the *National Electrical Code Handbook*. It publishes the *Industrial Fire Hazards Handbook*, which is not specifically a code handbook, but is used as a fire-protection guide for industry. This book focuses on fire hazards and control methods associated with major industries and their processes.

**Standards and Codes**

Since the inception of the association, standards and codes have become more than simple standardization, installation, and maintenance guides for sprinkler systems. There are currently hundreds of codes covering a large range of fire-related topics, with numerous NFPA committees developing standards and codes through a democratic process. All are published in the *National Fire Codes* or can be requested individually in pamphlet form. Some of the most widely used include NFPA 70, *National Electric Code*; NFPA 101, *Life Safety Code*; NFPA 30, *Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code*; NFPA 13, *Automatic Sprinkler Standard*; NFPA 58, *Liquefied Petroleum Gases Standard*; and NFPA 99, *Health Care Facilities Standard*.

**NFPA 70**

The purpose of NFPA 70 is to provide a guide for the practical safeguarding of persons and property from hazards arising from the use of electricity. It covers installation of electrical conductors within
or on public or private structures, the installation of conductors that connect to the supply of electricity, the installation of other outside conductors on the premises, and the installations of optical fiber cable (NFPA, 1988).

**NFPA 101**

NFPA 101 was designed to establish minimum requirements for life safety in buildings and structures. *Life Safety Code* addresses a wide range of topics from fire and similar emergencies: construction, protection, and occupancy features necessary to minimize the dangers from fire, smoke, fumes, or panic. It identifies minimum criteria for design of egress facilities permitting prompt escape of occupants from buildings, or where possible, into safe areas within the building. The *Life Safety Code* also recognizes that fixed locations occupied as buildings such as vehicles, vessels, or other mobile structures shall be treated as buildings. NFPA 101 is published using mandatory language that may be adopted in a given jurisdiction. Usually the state fire marshal determines who has authority for enforcement (NFPA, 2002).

**NFPA 30**

The *Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code*, NFPA 30, was developed with the intent of reducing hazards to a degree consistent with reasonable public safety. It does not seek to eliminate all hazards associated with the use of flammable and combustible liquids because the goal was not to interfere with public convenience. The code sets requirements for the safe storage and use of a great variety of flammables and liquids commonly available. It establishes requirements for the safe storage and use of liquids having unusual burning characteristics or subject to self-ignition (NFPA, 2002). An approved storage cabinet for keeping small containers of flammable and combustible liquids is shown in figure 8-3. Approved containers are shown in figure 8-4.

**NFPA 13**

NFPA 13 covers installation of sprinklers by providing minimum requirements for the design and installation of automatic sprinklers,
including the character and adequacy of water supplies. In addition, it covers selection of sprinklers, piping, valves, and all materials and accessories and specifies which buildings require sprinkler systems (NFPA, 2002).

**NFPA 58**

NFPA 58 pertains to storage and handling of liquefied petroleum gases. It applies to the highway transportation of and the design, construction, installation, and operation of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) systems. It addresses issues such as location of LPG storage tanks, safety analysis, and overfilling protection devices (NFPA, 2002).

**NFPA 99**

The *Health Care Facilities Standard*, NFPA 99, created criteria to minimize the hazards of fire, explosion, and electricity in health care facilities. It specifically addresses electrical system wiring, storage, and use of flammable and combustible liquids in laboratories, emergency and disaster management, oxygen storage, alarms, and design considerations (NFPA, 2002).

**Educational Materials**

In addition to developing codes and standards, the NFPA also produces an abundance of educational materials for all age groups and levels of competence. The aids range from fire safety materials for children to fire ground tactics for professional firefighters. These materials come in filmstrips, pamphlets, slides, movies, videos, posters, and books. There are educational materials for training the general employee population and the plant fire brigade and for employee off-the-job fire safety education (Ashford, 1977). The NFPA website at www.NFPA.org gives insight into the offerings.

**NFPA 704**

NFPA 704 is a system of marking storage containers to communicate the hazards associated with the contents of the container. It utilizes a diamond-shaped symbol broken into four quadrants at the top,
Figure 8-3. (A) and (B) Flammable liquid storage cabinets with examples of different types of safety containers.
(Photographs courtesy of Justrite Mfg. Co. LLC, Des Plaines, Illinois.)
bottom, left, and right of the diamond. The top portion is shaded red and represents the flammability hazard. Health hazards are indicated in the blue portion. Yellow represents the corrosivity hazard. The bottom portion is white; any special hazards will appear here. For example, a $W$ with a line drawn through it means the chemical is water reactive. A radioactive, poison, or other symbol might also appear here. In the top three blocks, the extent of each hazard is represented with a numbering system of 0 to 4. Zero represents a minimal hazard, while four represents the greatest hazard. These are demonstrated in figure 8-5.
**DOT Marking System**

The Department of Transportation (DOT) Hazardous Materials Regulations specify the requirements for placarding and labeling of hazardous materials shipped within the United States. These labels are approximately 4 inches by 4 inches; placards are approximately 10\(\frac{3}{4}\) inches by 10\(\frac{3}{4}\) inches. Labels are attached directly to the container or on tags or cards attached to the containers. Placards are placed on both ends and each side of freight containers, cargo tanks, and portable tank containers. DOT utilizes United Nations Hazard Class numbers appearing on the bottom of the label or placard, as well as a four-digit hazard-class identification number (see figure 8-6). This number can be cross-referenced in the DOT *Emergency Response Guidebook*
SHIPPING DOCUMENTS (PAPERS)*

The shipping document provides vital information when responding to a hazardous materials/dangerous goods** incident. The shipping document contains information needed to identify the materials involved. Use this information to initiate protective actions for your own safety and the safety of the public. The shipping document contains the proper shipping name (see blue-bordered pages), the hazard class or division of the material(s), ID number (see yellow-bordered pages), and, where appropriate, the Packing Group. In addition, there must be information available that describes the hazards of the material which can be used in the mitigation of an incident. The information must be entered on or be with the shipping document. This requirement may be satisfied by attaching a guide from the ERG2000 to the shipping document, or by having the entire guidebook available for ready reference. Shipping documents are required for most dangerous goods in transportation. Shipping documents are kept in
- the cab of the motor vehicle,
- the possession of the train crew member,
- a holder on the bridge of a vessel, or
- an aircraft pilot’s possession.

EXAMPLE OF PLACARD AND PANEL WITH ID NUMBER

The 4-digit ID Number may be shown on the diamond-shaped placard or on an adjacent orange panel displayed on the ends and sides of a cargo tank, vehicle or rail car.

A Numbered Placard or A Placard and an Orange Panel

* For the purposes of this book, the terms shipping document/shipping paper are synonymous.
** For the purposes of this book, the terms hazardous materials/dangerous goods are synonymous.
to identify the material and to learn about protective measures to be taken to either avoid or respond to a spill or leak (see figure 8-7).

**OSHA Regulations**

OSHA deals with fire protection from an employee safety standpoint, and many of the points covered in the OSHA standard are solid management practices for property safety as well. Subpart E, Means of Egress, is taken from NFPA 101-1970, the *Life Safety Code*. The emphasis of this subpart is on protecting the employee once a fire has started. It informs the employer what to do to protect workers during the fire by addressing egress methods, automatic sprinkler systems, fire alarms, emergency action plans, and fire prevention plans.

*Means of egress* refers to a continuous and unobstructed way of exit travel from any point in a building or structure to a public way. *Safe exit travel consists of three separate and distinct parts:*

1. The way of exit access (such as an aisle or hallway)
2. The exit (such as a doorway)
3. The way of exit discharge (such as a sidewalk outside the building)

*In addition, it should be noted that:*

- Exits cannot be disguised or obstructed by mirrors, decorations, or other objects.
- They should be marked by readily visible signs.
- Any door, stairway, or other passage possibly confused with an exit should be marked “Not an Exit” or identified as “Linen Closet,” “Basement,” and so on, so employees can find the way out of the building.

The *Life Safety Code* of the NFPA specifies the numbers and types of different occupancies and the sprinklers and alarms appropriate for each. Sprinklers and alarms are not required in all instances.

Subpart L of the OSHA regulations addresses fire protection. It
contains requirements for fire brigades, all portable and fixed-fire suppression equipment, fire detection systems, and fire and employee alarm systems.

Safety practitioners have the responsibility to ensure management is aware of how to be in compliance with all applicable fire laws and how to protect workers from fire hazards. This implies instructing employees on how to prevent fires and helping ensure workers are protected if a fire begins. Typical approaches to the fire problem include good housekeeping. Conditions should be monitored relative to neatness and cleanliness because fires may result from conditions such as a stack of oily or greasy rags being left in a pile or an open container. As the rags decompose, they become hot and sometimes ignite through a process known as spontaneous combustion. Other materials such as damp hay, grass, or paper products exposed to dampness or combustible liquids can undergo the same process. The fire begins spontaneously with no apparent outside ignition source. Oily rags should be kept in approved safety cans as shown in figure 8-8 to avoid contacts with ignition sources and incidents involving spontaneous combustion. In crowded facilities aisles and doorways are convenient drop points for tools, bicycles, and boxes because shelves and appropriate areas are remote or full. Employees may block means of egress by using these empty spaces as storage areas. These hazardous conditions must be monitored and management advised to take corrective action.

Ensuring fire alarm and suppression systems are in good working order is not only a regulatory requirement, but also a requirement of insurance company contracts. The loss-control representative from the insurance company often provides services to aid in ensuring all fire prevention and protection systems are in good working order. Both he and the safety professional check alarm systems and other methods of alerting workers in the event of a fire or other emergency. Alarm systems typically consist of mechanical or electronic devices such as bells or sirens located throughout the plant. Smaller businesses, when permitted by the regulations, may depend solely on someone yelling, “Fire.” Suppression systems such as fire extinguishers, sprinklers, or standpipes are also inspected.

Sprinklers are the devices, often located on the ceiling, that release
Figure 8-8. Example of oily waste safety can.
water when a fire occurs. Typically, the melting of a fusible link by a hot fire activates the sprinkler head. As each link is activated water is released from it and only it. A dry sprinkler system is used in freezing conditions, so the water is only released into the pipes when a remote sensor opens a valve. Water will rush to all the sprinkler heads and be released through those with melted links. In a deluge system, all sprinkler heads are open so water will be released through all heads. Properly installed and maintained sprinkler systems are nearly 100 percent effective in protecting building occupants from fire.

Standpipes include the piping and hoses located throughout buildings accessible during a fire. Some standpipes are dry, meaning no water is in the pipes until it is pumped in during the emergency. In a tall office building, for example, the hoses and pipes in the system may be for use by the fire department. When firefighters arrive at the scene, they do not want to take the time to drag hoses to the top floors. A dry standpipe system permits them to connect to a water supply at the base of the building and activate the standpipe system on the top floors. Until they connect their water supply, however, no water is available. These systems often employ large-diameter hoses too unwieldy for untrained persons.

Wet standpipes are typically designed for use by properly trained occupants to protect the people and contents of the building. These systems have a constant supply of water and are smaller in diameter for occupants’ use. The loss-control representative of the insurance company attempts to ensure, through the insurance contract, all suppression systems are operating at all times or standby substitute arrangements are in place during periods of shutdown for maintenance and repair.

Some companies depend on fire brigades, consisting of employees trained to respond to fire emergencies. Incipient fire brigades may have been taught to use only fire extinguishers for response to incipient or small, early-stage fires. Structural fire brigades may have been prepared to a level comparable to that of members of a municipal fire department for response to large, structural fires. Structural fire brigades may maintain equipment, including fire trucks and turnout gear, like that found at the fire department. Unless employees have appropriate training and equipment, it is recommended to instruct
them to immediately evacuate and sound an alarm when a fire occurs. Even an incipient fire can present a threat to the lives of employees when untrained personnel attempt to extinguish it. For liability and insurance purposes, many companies are reluctant to assume the risk of utilizing an in-house fire brigade as a defense for fires on their properties.

Fire Case History

This account was submitted by Greg Roberts, a master’s degree student, who had worked for a department store chain.

While safety manager, I received a call from Waldorf, Maryland, notifying me a store had been partially destroyed by fire. The details were at best sketchy, so I boarded our corporate plane immediately to survey the damage and report on the cause.

The store was of a typical design with a 54,000-square-foot interior and an additional 5,000-square-foot garden shop attached outside. It met all building codes in Maryland for fire protection and was less than one year old.

When I arrived, the store manager and the inspector in charge of the investigation met me. What we discovered was the fire began in the garden shop and spread via the cantilever roof to the inside structure. However, the fuel source was a surprise.

As many large retailers do, the buying department purchases boxcar loads of merchandise. The merchandise is then segregated and shipped in large quantities to each store. During the summer months, the store stocked and sold a great deal of peat moss, cow manure, and 10-10-10 fertilizer.

During shipping, unloading, or storage, the plastic bags containing the peat moss were damaged, allowing rain water to accumulate inside them while in our parking lot. The peat moss, usually in 40-pound bags with 40 to 50 bags per pallet, was located in the parking lot until it was needed in the garden shop area. When the restocking took place, an entire pallet (40 to 50 bags) was retrieved from the parking lot and placed under the cantilever roof for customer convenience.

The bags were protected from rain after being placed under the roofline, but they were not protected from direct sunlight during
the afternoon. This allowed the sun to heat the material and, as a result, ignite the peat moss, or as described by the inspector, allowed spontaneous combustion to occur. We had never experienced an event like this, and, fortunately, no one was injured. The vast majority of the merchandise was destroyed by the sprinkler system as the valves were chained in the open position, locked, and blocked by the last shipment of merchandise that was unloaded. These violations prevented the fire department from reaching the shutoff valve and, as a result, the sprinklers operated well after the fire was extinguished.

We learned a great deal from our misfortune in Waldorf, Maryland. We were able to establish a storage policy mandating all spontaneously combustible material storage away from the building in the parking lot or inside to reduce exposure to the elements. Additionally, we reinforced our policy regarding locked and blocked sprinkler valve shutoff and took disciplinary action against the store manager in Waldorf for these violations (personal communication, March 29, 1995).

Managing the Fire Program

All in-plant and out-of-plant systems are carefully evaluated to ensure plant and emergency response personnel are notified in a timely manner. If fire disables the alarm system, backup systems should be available and employees should know to utilize them. An effective fire plan incorporates as many of the emergency systems into the daily plant operations as possible in order to ensure they are in operational order and personnel are aware of how to use them.

Management commitment is critical to the success of the fire program and can be measured in terms of the resources and time that management makes available to the program. There should be clear lines of authority leading from manager of the organization to personnel responsible for ensuring a successful fire program.

Since fire equipment is spread throughout the plant, it may be impractical to have supervisors handle inspections in their respective sections and report to the safety department. A better approach is to work with a member of the maintenance or engineering staff to handle these responsibilities. It is generally good practice to involve as
many personnel in the fire program as possible to encourage awareness and support.

Written Program

29 CFR 1910.38 requires companies to have an emergency action plan in writing covering the following elements:

- Procedures for emergency escape
- Procedures to be followed by employees who remain to operate the plant
- Procedures to account for all evacuees
- Procedures for rescue and medical personnel
- Protocols for alarm systems
- Procedures for training

A written fire prevention plan is also required and should contain the following elements:

- A list of major workplace fire hazards and their proper handling and storage procedures, potential ignition sources and their control procedures, and equipment or systems which can control a fire involving them
- The names or job titles of personnel responsible for maintenance of equipment and systems installed to prevent or control ignitions or fires
- The names or regular job titles of the personnel responsible for control of fuel-source hazards

Training is critical. Employees need awareness of the alarm systems and appropriate response to an alarm. Where the possibility exists for different types of disasters, employees need awareness of the different types of alarms. For example, a continuous bell may indicate an evacuation of the building, as with a fire. An intermittent bell may indicate employees should seek appropriate shelter in the building, as with a tornado.
Employees should also be trained in how and when to use fire extinguishers. Employees are to use extinguishers only if they have been properly trained; to do otherwise would be a violation of OSHA regulations. This is so that employees do not attempt to fight fires beyond their capabilities or the capabilities of the available equipment. If training is not mandated for employees, they have no alternative but to evacuate when a fire occurs.

**Conclusion**

Although most safety practitioners are not required to know the minute details of fire safety, it is imperative they have an awareness of the terminology surrounding fire to effectively communicate with building inspectors, fire marshals, OSHA regulators, fire equipment vendors and maintenance personnel, and insurance representatives. They must also maintain an awareness of the conditions leading to fires and work to help eliminate them throughout the facility. An effective fire program requires constant vigilance and an ongoing partnership with key representatives from industry, government, and the insurance companies. Relationships should be cultivated with as many of them as possible to maintain an effective fire prevention and protection program.

**Questions**

1. What is the NFPA? Contact the NFPA and request a catalog of its products. The NFPA can be reached at (617) 770-3500, or you can write to them at One Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02269. Explain what products are available from the NFPA and how these products would be of help to you in industrial safety.
2. Can you find any reference to NFPA in the OSHA Standards? Where? How are you affected by the NFPA and ANSI standards? How are they the same or different from laws?
3. Why do you think NFPA evolved as it did? Are the issues tackled by the NFPA issues that the federal government should be addressing? Explain your answer.
References


Bibliography

Chapter 9

SYSTEM SAFETY

Celeste A. Winterberger, Ph.D.

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to:

• Describe the different elements that compose system safety
• Explain the development of system safety into a discipline
• Describe the importance of system safety today
• Identify the elements of the system life cycle
• Explain how a system safety program is managed
• List the different tools and techniques in the analysis of system safety

Case Study

It was a cold January morning in Florida. During the night, icicles had formed on most of the structures at the launch pad for the space shuttle Challenger. As the astronauts left for their spacecraft that morning, they all gave the “thumbs-up” signal, including Christa McAuliffe, the first teacher to go into space. Everyone in NASA was excited about this launch because it was further proof of how safe and routine spaceflight had become. The countdown proceeded, the rockets fired, and Challenger lifted from the pad. Seventy-four seconds after launch, the euphoria vanished. As the two solid-rocket boosters careened away from the cloud that was supposed to contain the spacecraft, flight controllers received no more data. Challenger had exploded, and the seven individuals on board were killed.

The ten-member presidential committee that investigated the acci-
dent found that cold temperatures had caused the O-rings in the solid-rocket boosters to become less effective. These O-rings were made of a rubberlike caulking material and were used to prevent the hot gases from escaping the joints of the boosters. The cold caused the O-rings to shrink and become less elastic, so when Challenger throttled up to 110 percent of rated engine output, hot gases escaped. These gases acted like a blowtorch and caused the external tank, filled with hydrogen, to explode. In addition, the committee found that during the assembly of the solid-rocket booster, the O-ring at that critical joint had been pinched, which further diminished its effectiveness.

The committee cited problems with NASA management and quality-control procedures. It took over two years of procedural and material redesign before the shuttle was allowed to fly. In August 1995, the shuttle fleet was once again grounded when hot gases were found to be escaping from the O-rings.

Definitions

To understand system safety, one must know the fundamentals that go into defining the discipline. A system can be defined as a group of interconnected elements united to form a single entity. Systems may include something as simple as a toaster or as complex as a chemical refinery. Perhaps the most important thing about systems is that they can sometimes be and often are further defined into subsystems, assemblies, subassemblies, and components (see figure 9-1). If the subsystems contain interdependent entities, they then also can be defined as systems. For example, a car brake can be defined as either a stand-alone system or a subsystem of an automobile.

“Safety” can be defined as making something free from the likelihood of harm. Roland and Moriarty (1990, p. 7) state that safety in a system is “a quality of a system that allows the system to function under predetermined conditions with an acceptable minimum of accidental loss.”

A hazard is anything that can possibly cause danger or harm to equipment, personnel, property, or the environment. It is a circumstance that has the potential, under the right conditions, to become a loss.

Risk involves the probability that an incident will occur or the
chance of occurrence. The batting average of a baseball player can be described by a pitcher as the risk that the batter will get a hit. If someone has a batting average of .200, it means that if the pitcher throws ten pitches it would be likely that the batter would hit two fair. Obviously, the higher the risk (including probability and cost of loss), the more important it becomes to find and mitigate the hazard. In the case of the *Challenger* accident, the risk was high because failure of the O-rings would likely cause death if it occurred.
An “accident” is a dynamic occurrence caused by the activation of a hazard and consists of a number of interrelated events resulting in a loss. It can cause the injury or death of individuals, as well as property damage to equipment and hardware. A related term that is sometimes used to refer to accidents but is actually a different kind of event is an incident. An “incident” is also an unplanned event but may or may not have an adverse effect. An incident may simply be an occurrence with no losses. These are sometimes referred to as “near misses.”

System safety can be defined as “an optimum degree of safety, established within the constraints of operational effectiveness, time, and cost . . . achievable throughout all phases of the system life cycle” (Malasky, 1982, p. 17). The system-safety concept deals with the before-the-fact identification of hazards as opposed to the after-the-fact approach used for years. Consider the Challenger example; the accident occurred and the problem was found and resolved. Using the system-safety approach would have meant that information concerning the performance of the O-ring material was gathered prior to design and testing and was also gathered throughout implementation. There may have been a number of solutions to this problem. Even if no other material was found, procedures might have been in place to cancel the launch if temperatures dropped below a certain level. Assembly procedures might have been modified so misalignment of the solid-rocket sections and the potential pinching of the O-ring would have been minimized.

History of System Safety

One of the first mentions of the concept of system safety appeared in the technical paper “Engineering for Safety” presented at the Institute for Aeronautical Sciences in 1947. It stressed that safety should be designed into airplanes, and it continued by stating that safety groups should be an important part of the organization.

It wasn’t until the early 1960s and the development of ballistic missile systems that the system-safety concept gained a more formal acceptance. Contractors were given the responsibility for safety,
replacing the practice of shared responsibility by each individual involved in the process. The first system-safety requirements were published by the Air Force in 1962. This was modified in 1963 into the Air Force specification MIL-S-38130. In 1966, the Department of Defense adopted these specifications as MIL-S-381308A. MIL-STD-882 System Safety Program for Systems and Associated Subsystems and Equipment; Requirements for, developed in 1982, contained the specifications for the system-safety program required by all military contractors.

NASA also implemented a system-safety program patterned after the Air Force standards. These programs were instrumental to the successful completion of many NASA projects, including the Apollo moon missions.

The private sector has begun to develop system-safety programs because of the successes of the military and NASA. Leading the way are the nuclear power, refining, and chemical industries. The adoption of system safety in those industries manufacturing consumer products has generated returns in terms of more effective products, fewer accidents, and longer product life.

**Importance of System Safety Today**

As society becomes more technically advanced, its tools become more and more sophisticated. In some cases, the machine has advanced further than the human capacity to control it. Jet fighters are good examples. These machines are capable of performing in g-forces that incapacitate most humans. Safety professionals need to be aware of the limits of human performance, as well as the fallibility of the individual in a mechanized system.

Product liability is also a major concern for many companies. The McDonald’s coffee award is a good example. In this case, a woman placed a cup of McDonald’s coffee between her legs in a car. The coffee spilled and the woman was severely burned. McDonald’s was found liable for the injuries, even though McDonald’s cups contain a warning stating the contents are hot.
System Life Cycle

The system life cycle consists of six phases: concept, definition, development, production, deployment, and disposition. At the end of each phase, a safety review is conducted. A decision is then made whether to continue the project or place it on hold, pending further examination.

During the concept phase, historical data and technical forecasts are developed as a base for a system hazard analysis. A Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) is conducted during this phase. At the gross level, a Risk Analysis (RA) is performed to ascertain the need for hazard control and to develop system-safety criteria. Safety management will be doing the initial work on the System Safety Program Plan (SSPP). Three basic questions must be answered by the time the concept phase is completed:

- “Have the hazards associated with the design concept been discovered and evaluated to establish hazard controls?"
• Have risk analyses been initiated to establish the means for hazard control?
• Are initial safety design requirements established for the concept so that the next phase of system definition can be initiated?” (Roland and Moriarty, 1990, p. 23).

The *definition phase* is used to verify the preliminary design and product engineering. Reports presented at design review meetings typically discuss the technological risks, costs, human engineering, operational and maintenance suitability, and safety aspects. In addition subsystems, assemblies, and subassemblies of the system are defined at this time. The PHA is updated and a Subsystem Hazard Analysis (SSHA) is initiated so it can later be integrated into the System Hazard Analysis (SHA). Safety analysis techniques are used during this phase to identify safety equipment, specification of safety design requirements, initial development of safety test plans and requirements, and prototype testing to verify the type of design selected.

Environmental impact, integrated logistics support, producible engineering, and operational use studies are done during the *development phase*. The SSHA and safety design criteria are also completed during this phase. Interfaces with other engineering disciplines within the organization are fostered. Using the data collected, a go/no-go decision can be made before production begins.

The *production phase* of the system life cycle involves close monitoring by the safety department. In addition, the quality-control department becomes important because of its focus on inspection and testing of the new product. Training begins during this phase. Updating of the analyses started during the definition and development phases continues. Finally, all the information collected during this phase is compiled into the System Safety Engineering Report (SSER). The SSER identifies and documents the hazards of the final product or system.

When the system becomes operational, it is in the *deployment phase*. Data continues to be collected and training is conducted. If any problems occur, individuals responsible for system safety must be available to follow up and decide on possible solutions. The system
safety group in the organization also reviews any design changes made on the system or product.

A sixth phase of the system life cycle, the disposition or termination phase, is the time that a product or system is removed from service. In certain cases, the removal of a product may in itself create a hazardous situation. A good example is asbestos removal from a building or light transformer replacement due to PCBs. Safety professionals monitor these situations so both the worker and the public are protected.

Management of System Safety

Malasky (1982, p. 31) defines “system safety management” as: “…that element of program management which ensures the accomplishment of the system safety tasks, including identification of system safety requirements; planning, organizing, and controlling those efforts which are directed toward achieving the safety goals; coordinating with other (system) program elements; and analyzing, reviewing, and evaluating the program to ensure effective and timely realization of the system safety objectives.”

Using this definition, a block diagram can be drawn to illustrate the system safety function (see figure 9-2).

Figure 9-2. System safety functions.
Organizational Location

The system safety organization should have a position within the company where wide access to all areas of design, maintenance, and operation occurs. It can exist at many different levels, depending on the size of the organization. In large companies, the system-safety role may be found at all functional levels of the organization. In fact, MIL-STD-882B requires that all vendors submit a system-safety plan along with their proposals for federal contracts. Sometimes system-safety councils are used to reinforce corporate policies throughout the different levels of the company. Smaller companies may include the system safety work as part of each functional department. For example, the design department should have an individual whose responsibility is to perform product or system safety analyses during the development phase of the system life cycle. However, it is up to each company to decide on which levels system safety should reside. The bottom line is to ensure that all risks have been determined and those that are unacceptable have been eliminated.

Organizational Interfaces

System safety analysis is not performed in isolation. Input is necessary from nearly all functional disciplines within the organization. During the concept and definition phases, system safety primarily interfaces with the design group. Interfaces with engineering are important during the development phase. Quality assurance, training and development, industrial safety, and manufacturing engineering are important interfaces developed during the production phase. Interfaces with maintenance and product-support disciplines are important during the deployment phase. The termination phase finds system safety interfacing with industrial safety, industrial hygiene, and product support.

Implementation Difficulties

Malasky (1982) discusses some of the difficulties sometimes encountered during implementation. Problem formulation is cited as one issue. This may be caused by the conflicting demands of the vari-
ous functional departments during the design optimization process or because of inadvertent minimization of potential hazards. For example, during the design process for the Ford Pinto, a fuel filter problem was discovered. Management decided the cost of delay would be much higher than the cost of any lawsuits resulting from the hazard and went forward with production. In the long run the cost to alleviate the hazard turned out to be much less than the costs of the lawsuits and loss of consumer confidence.

Organizational interfaces may pose other problems. When management does not take responsibility for decision making in the process, functional and system safety groups may be at odds with each other. Management perception is another difficulty. System-safety concepts are more abstract than those in many other disciplines. Therefore, it is important for the safety professional to structure the program so its impact is clear.

### Elements of a System-Safety Program Plan (SSPP)

According to MIL-STD-882B (Department of Defense, 1984) the SSPP must specify the four elements of an effective system-safety program:

- A planned approach for task accomplishment
- Qualified people to accomplish the tasks
- Authority to implement the tasks through all levels of management
- Appropriate resources for manning and funding to ensure that tasks are completed.

To accomplish these objectives, the SSPP should describe:

- The safety organization
- System safety program milestones
- General system safety requirements and criteria
- Hazard analysis techniques and formats
- System safety data
• Safety verification
• Audit programs
• Training requirements
• Mishap and hazardous malfunction analysis and reporting
• System safety interfaces.

For more detailed information regarding the specifics for each of these areas, the safety professional may refer to the standard.

Tools and Techniques

In item four of the SSPP, a company must identify the types of techniques used in analyzing and evaluating system hazards. The following section will discuss some of the tools commonly used by the safety practitioner.

Preliminary Hazard Analysis

A PHA is the initial effort in identifying hazards which singly or in combination could cause an accident or undesired event. PHA is a system-safety analysis tool used to identify hazard sources, conditions, and potential accidents (Roland and Moriarty, 1990). At the same time, PHA establishes the initial design and procedural safety requirements to eliminate or control these identified hazardous conditions. A PHA is performed in the early stages of the conceptual cycle of system development. It can be performed by engineers, contractors, production line supervisors, or safety professionals. Management must always first look at any risk involved in the operation of the system.

After identifying hazards and their resultant adverse effects, the analyst will rate each according to the Hazard Classification class, which could be one of four categories:

• Class I—Catastrophic: A condition(s) that will cause equipment loss and/or death or multiple injuries to personnel
• Class II—Critical: A condition(s) that will cause severe injury to
personnel and major damage to equipment, or will result in a hazard requiring immediate corrective action

- Class III—**Marginal:** A condition(s) that may cause minor injury to personnel and minor damage to equipment
- Class IV—**Negligible:** A condition(s) that will not result in injury to personnel, and will not result in any equipment damage.

Roland and Moriarty (1990) show how to develop a Hazard Assessment Matrix to determine a Hazard Risk Index using frequency of occurrence and hazard category (see figure 9-3).

**Subsystem Hazard Analysis**

A subsystem hazard analysis is performed to identify hazards in the component systems within a larger system. For example, in the

---

**Figure 9-3. Hazard Assessment Matrix.**

(Adapted from Roland and Moriarty [1990]).
Challenger accident, the solid-rocket boosters could be considered a subsystem. When the hot gases broke through the O-ring, a component of the subsystem, a total system breakdown began as a cascade effect that ultimately destroyed the orbiter. This analysis should be started no later than the definition phase in the system life cycle and continue until the beginning of the system production phase. Analysis techniques include Fault Hazard Analysis (FHA) and Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), discussed in more detail in the next section (Roland and Moriarty, 1990).

Hazard Analysis Techniques

The role of the safety professional is to anticipate, identify, and evaluate hazards; give advice on the avoidance, elimination, or control of hazards; and attain a state for which the risks are judged to be acceptable. To achieve this, they adopt a system-safety concept that includes:

- an understanding of the hazards
- an understanding of the risks
- an identification of the hazards and risks within their system
- an understanding of unwanted releases of energy and unwanted releases of hazardous materials being the causal factors for hazard-related incidents, and
- a knowledge of the principles and techniques used to control hazards and reduce their associated risks to an acceptable level (Manuele, 1993).

A hazard analysis is used to identify any dangers that might be present in a proposed operation, the types and degrees of accidents that might result from the hazards, and the measures that can be taken to avoid or minimize accidents or their consequences (Hammer, 1989).

The SHA is primarily performed during the definition and development phases of the system life cycle (Roland and Moriarty, 1990). However, it should be continuously implemented throughout the life cycle of a system, project, program, and activity to identify and con-
trol hazards. The purpose of performing an analysis during the early stages of the life cycle is to reduce costs. If the analysis is done after the system is in operation, the system may need to be redesigned and consequently withdrawn from service. In addition, if the system is close to the end of its life cycle, it might not be cost-effective to change it (Brauer, 1990).

A hazard analysis should contain the following information:

- Descriptive information
  - System mode
  - Subsystem mode of subsystem of hazard origin
  - Hazard description
  - Hazard effects
  - Likelihood or relative likelihood of each hazard

- Causation events of each hazard
  - Identification of events precisely as to subsystem mode, system mode, and environmental constraints

- Subsystem interface problems of special significance
  - Identification of subsystem involved
  - Identification of system and subsystem modes

- System risk evaluation
  - Severity listing of each hazard
  - Likelihood of each hazard

(If a full quantitative evaluation is conducted, a risk evaluation should be presented for each hazard)

- Risk summary
  - Listing risks of each hazard and for the system as a function of system modes
  - A logical evaluation of acceptability of system risks
  - Recommendations as to system risk control
This analysis can and must begin as soon as the idea for a new system or operation is conceived (Roland and Moriarty, 1990).

**Technic of Operations Review (TOR)**

In 1987, D. A. Weaver developed the Technic of Operations Review (TOR) (Ferry, 1988). It was designed to uncover management oversights and omissions instead of hardware or operator problems. The four steps of a TOR analysis are *state, trace, eliminate, and seek*.

During the *state* portion of the analysis, detailed information about the hazard is gathered. If the hazard is discovered as the result of an accident, a summary of the mishap report is reviewed.

The *trace* portion uses a sheet displaying possible operational errors under eight categories:

- *Training* includes all errors related to inadequate preparation of the employee.
- *Responsibility* considers errors in the organizational requirements which may have contributed to the hazard.
- *Decision and direction* looks at the lack of or inadequacy in the decision-making process which causes errors in the performance of the product or employee.
- *Supervision* refers to errors due to problems with the direction of employee work.
- *Work groups* problems can be traced to the interpersonal relationships within the group.
- *Control* deals with errors related to inadequate safety precautions.
- *Personal traits* can be traced to the individual’s personality and how it affects the individual’s job performance.
- *Management* can be traced to poor managerial control.

Under each category is a list of numbered operational errors in that group. (A complete copy of the TOR analysis materials can be obtained from The FPE Group, 3687 Mt. Diablo Road, Lafayette, CA 94549, who are the sole distributors of this analysis instrument.)
To begin the analysis, a single number or prime error under one of the eight categories is chosen. Using the Challenger mishap, the prime number may be under category five, control: number 61, unsafe condition. Each subcategory number on the TOR sheet then points to other possible factors that may have contributed to the hazard or mishap. Possible factors that could have contributed in the Challenger accident include:

65. Deficient inspection, report, or maintenance (main category: control).
36. Failure to investigate and to apply the lessons of similar mishaps (main category: decision and direction).
43. Unsafe act; failure to correct before the accident occurred (main category: supervision).
86. Accountability; failure to develop appraisal and measurement of key goals and objectives (main category: management).

These factors are then discussed by the group. Those found to have contributed to the accident can be further broken down until all the causative factors have been identified.

When the trace portion of the analysis is finished, the “eliminate” step begins. Sometimes the trace step can identify a large number of contributing factors, a number often overwhelming to the group evaluating the hazard. Therefore, the eliminate step is used to discuss each contributing factor and evaluate its merit to the process.

The final step, “seek,” looks for possible actions that need to be taken to correct the problem. These solutions should then be implemented.

**Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction (THERP)**

Swain and Rook developed Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction (THERP) in 1961 to quantify human error rates due to problems of equipment unreliability, operational procedures, and any other system characteristic that could influence human behavior
THERP is an iterative process consisting of five steps executed in any order:

- Determine system failure modes to be evaluated.
- Identify the significant human operations required and their relationship(s) to system operation and system output.
- Estimate error rates for each human operation or group of operations that are pertinent to the evaluation.
- Determine the effect of human error on the system and its outputs.
- Modify system inputs or the character of the system itself to reduce the failure rate (Malasky, 1982, p. 257).

THERP is usually modeled using a probability tree. Each branch represents a task analysis showing the flow of task behaviors and other associations. A probability is assigned based on the event’s occurrence or nonoccurrence.

**Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)**

A Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a sequential analysis and evaluation of the kinds of failures that could happen and their likely effects, expressed in terms of maximum potential loss. The technique is used as a predictive model and forms part of an overall risk assessment study. This analysis is described completely in the MIL-STD-1629A. The FMEA is most useful in system hazard analysis for highlighting critical components (Ridley, 1994).

In this method of analysis, the constituent major assemblies of the product to be analyzed are listed. Next, each assembly is broken into subassemblies and components. Each component is then studied to determine how it could malfunction and cause downstream effects. Effects might result on other components, and then on higher-level subassemblies, assemblies, and the entire product. Failure rates for each item are determined and listed. The calculations are used to determine how long a piece of hardware is expected to operate for a specific length of time. It is the best and principal means of determining where components and designs
must be improved to increase the operational life of a product. In addition, it is used to analyze how frequently and when parts must be replaced if a failure, possibly related to safety, must be avoided (Hammer, 1989).

The FMEA is limited to determination of all causes and effects, hazardous or not. Furthermore, the FMEA does very little to analyze problems arising from operator errors or hazardous characteristics of equipment created by bad design or adverse environments. However, the FMEA is excellent for determining optimum points for improving and controlling product quality (Hammer, 1989). (An example of an FMEA for a bicycle can be found in figure 9-4.)

Fault Hazard Analysis

A FHA is an inductive method for finding dangers that result from single-fault events (Roland and Moriarty, 1990). It identifies hazards during the design phase. The investigator takes a detailed look at the proposal system to determine hazard modes, causes of hazards, and the adverse effects associated with the hazards.

Figure 9-4. Basic FTA symbology.
Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)

The most widely used analytical technique, FTA, is a symbolic logic diagram graphically depicting the cause-and-effect relationships of a system (Ferry, 1988).

The hierarchy of fault tree events can be classified as follows:

1. Head event (top event): The event at the top of the tree that is to be analyzed
2. Primary event: The main malfunction of the component
3. Secondary event: The effect that is caused on another component, device, or outside condition
4. Basic event: “An event that occurs at the element level and refers

Figure 9-5. A simple FTA.
to the smallest subdivision of the analysis of the system’’ (Ferry, 1988, p. 153)

Using this basic hierarchy, an FTA is conducted using three steps. The first is the determination of the head event. Once the head event is set, primary and secondary events can be determined. The final step is the resolution of the relationship between the casual events and the head event using the terms AND and OR.

An example of a simple FTA may help explain how AND and OR logic is used to define relationships. If an analysis is done on the failure of an electric light bulb, the following fault tree can be developed.

The tree shows two OR gates. After the light fails, there are two possible alternatives. Either the bulb will burn out or there is a power failure. If there is a power failure, there are two possible outcomes: either the power has failed to come into the building or an internal circuit breaker has failed.

On the other hand, if there is an AND gate, both outcomes must occur for the event to happen. If an AND gate was placed after the power failure, both a power company failure and an internal circuit failure must happen for the event to take place.

There are limitations to this type of analysis. A specific knowledge of the design, construction, and the use of the product is necessary for successful completion of the FTA. In addition, FTA logic assumes that all events are either successes or failures. Many times such a concrete decision is not possible.

**Job Safety Analysis (JSA)**

**Reasons for Conducting a JSA**

Job Safety Analysis (JSA) is an analytical tool that can improve a company’s overall performance by identifying and correcting undesirable events that could result in accidents, illnesses, injuries, and reduced quality and production. It is an employer/employee participation program in which job activities are observed; divided into individual steps; discussed; and recorded with the intent to identify, eliminate, or control undesirable events.
JSA effectively accomplishes this goal because it operates at a very basic level. It reviews each job and breaks it down into an orderly series of smaller tasks. After these tasks have been determined, the same routine of observation, discussion, and recording is repeated, this time focusing on events which could have a negative impact on each step in the task. Once potential undesirable events are recognized, the process is repeated for a third time and corrective actions are identified.

Conducting a JSA can be a valuable learning experience for both new and experienced employees. Not only does it help them understand their jobs better, but it also familiarizes them with potential hazards and involves them in developing accident prevention procedures. Workers are more likely to follow procedures if they have a voice in planning. Finally, the JSA process causes employees to think about safety and how it relates to their jobs.

Who Should Conduct JSAs?

The responsibility for the development of a JSA lies with first-line supervision. These individuals have firsthand knowledge of the process, its potential hazards, and the need for instituting corrective actions at each step. This also provides the interaction with hourly employees necessary to complete the JSA. Initially, first-line supervisors must receive training in hazard recognition and procedures necessary to perform a JSA. This training will give them the knowledge necessary to explain the JSA to employees, what it is expected to accomplish, how it is conducted, and what their part will be in the program.

A well-organized and maintained JSA program can have a very beneficial effect on accident prevention, improved production, and product quality. Responsibility for this program, as with any other program, must start at the top and be conveyed to all employees.

Procedures and Various Methods Used to Perform JSAs

A JSA is a procedure used to review job methods and uncover hazards that
may have been overlooked in the layout of the plant or building and in the design of the machinery, equipment, tools, workstations, and processes
• may have developed after production started, or
• may have resulted from changes in work procedures or personnel.

The principal benefits of a JSA include
• giving individual training in safe, efficient procedures
• making employee safety contracts
• instructing the new person on the job
• preparing for planned safety observation
• giving prejob instruction on irregular jobs
• reviewing job procedures after accidents occur, and
• studying jobs for work-methods improvements

A JSA can be performed using three basic steps, but a careful selection of the job to be analyzed is an important preliminary step.

Various Methods for Performing JSAs

There are three basic methods for conducting JSAs. The direct observation method uses observational interviews to determine the job steps and hazards encountered. A second way to perform a JSA is using the discussion method. This method is typically used for jobs or tasks that are performed infrequently. It involves pulling together individuals who have done the job and letting them brainstorm regarding the steps and hazards. The third way to perform a JSA is called the recall-and-check method. This method is typically used when a process is ongoing and people can’t get together. Everyone participating in this process writes down ideas about the steps and hazards involved in the job. Information from these individuals is compiled and a composite list is sent to each participant. Each person can then revise the list until consensus is achieved.

The following list gives the three basic approaches used to determine how to perform a specific JSA.
• By a specific machine or piece of equipment (for example: lathe)
• By a specific type of job (for example: machining)
• By a specific occupation (for example: machinist)

Selecting the Job

A job is a sequence of separate steps or activities that together accomplish a work goal. Jobs suitable for a JSA are those a line supervisor chooses; jobs are not selected at random. Those with a poor work accident history or high associated costs are analyzed first to yield the quickest possible results.

In selecting jobs to be analyzed and establishing the order of analysis, top supervision is guided by the following factors:

• **Frequency of accidents.** A job repeatedly producing accidents is a candidate for a JSA. The greater the number of accidents associated with the job, the greater its priority claim for a JSA.

• **Rate of disabling injuries.** Every job having a history of disabling injuries should have a JSA performed. Subsequent injuries prove that preventive action taken prior to their occurrence has not been successful.

• **Severity potential.** Some jobs may not have a history of accidents but have the potential for causing severe injuries. The more severe the injury, the higher the priority for a JSA.

• **New jobs.** Changed equipment or processes obviously have no history of accidents, but the accident potential may not be understood. A JSA should be conducted for each new job. Analysis should not be delayed until an accident or near miss occurs.

After the job has been selected, the three basic steps in conducting a JSA are

• Breaking the job down into its component steps
• Identifying the hazards and potential accidents
• Developing solutions
1. **Breaking the job down into its component steps.** Before the search for hazards can be started, a job should be broken down into a sequence of steps, each describing what is to be done. There are two common errors to avoid in this process:

   a. making the job breakdown too detailed so that an unnecessarily large number of steps results, and
   b. making the job breakdown so general that the basic steps are not recorded.

   To perform a job breakdown, use the following steps (see figure 9-6).

   • Select the right worker to observe. Select an experienced, capable, and cooperative person who is willing to share ideas.
   • Observe the employee performing the job.
   • Completely describe each step. Each step should tell what is done, not how it is done.
   • Number the job steps consecutively.
   • Watch the operator perform the job a number of times until you are sure that all the steps have been noted.
   • Check the list of steps with the person observed to obtain agreement on how the job is performed and the sequence of the steps.

2. **Identifying hazards and potential accidents.** The purpose of a JSA is to identify all hazards, both those produced by the environment and those connected with the job procedure. Each step must be made safer and more efficient.

   Close observation and knowledge of the particular job are required for the JSA to be effective. The job observation should be repeated until all hazards and potential accidents have been identified.

3. **Developing solutions.** The final step in a JSA is to develop a safe job procedure to prevent the occurrence of accidents. The principal types of solutions are as follows:

   • find a new way of doing the job
Figure 9-6. Job Safety Analysis Worksheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Name</th>
<th>JSA Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Name</td>
<td>Area/Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Title</td>
<td>Last Analysis Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis By</td>
<td>Analysis Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Steps</th>
<th>Potential Hazards</th>
<th>Necessary Safety Procedures</th>
<th>Required Safety Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• change the physical conditions that create the hazards
• change the work procedure and/or
• reduce the frequency of the job

Completing the JSA

After the worksheet is complete, the data compiled is transferred to the actual JSA form. Once it is entered and verified, obtain signature approval for the JSA from an upper-level manager.

Once the JSA is completed, it is discussed with employees performing the job. Any necessary safety procedures or equipment required to perform the job is reviewed with employees. In addition, a copy of the JSA is available for employees to use when they perform the job—particularly for jobs not done on a regular basis. No job is static; JSAs are reviewed on a regular basis and any necessary changes are made.

Effectively Using a JSA in Loss Prevention

The major benefits of a JSA come after its completion. Supervisors can learn more about the jobs they supervise and can use JSAs for training new employees. JSAs provide a list of steps needed to perform the job and identify the procedures and equipment needed to do it safely. Employees can use JSAs for improved safety knowledge and as reviews before performing unfamiliar jobs. Supervisors occasionally observe employees as they perform the jobs for which the analysis was developed. If any procedural deviations are observed, the supervisor alerts the employee and reviews the job operation.

JSAs should be reevaluated following any accident. If the accident is a result of an employee failing to follow JSA procedures, the fact is discussed with everyone doing the job. It can be made clear the accident would not have occurred had the JSA procedures been followed. If the JSA is revised following an accident, these revisions are brought to the employees’ attention. (See figure 9-6 for a sample JSA worksheet.)
An Example

Performing JSAs is a complex process. To better understand the importance of this analysis tool, figure 9-7 shows a completed JSA for hydraulic line replacement. Please note accident categories described in the potential hazards section. These potential hazards will aid in determining what safety measures and personal protective equipment are necessary for a given job.

Accident Investigation

Accident investigation techniques are discussed in chapter 5. However, it is important to note that the accident investigation is a form of system safety analysis. During the deployment phase, accidents may occur and the findings of the investigation may result in a redesign, retrofit, and/or recall of the product or system.

Accident Types

The following discussion of accident types assists in determining the potential hazards for the job safety analysis.

1. **Struck-by.** A person is forcefully struck by an object.
2. **Struck-against.** A person forcefully strikes an object.
3. **Contact-by.** Contact by a substance or material that is by its very nature harmful and causes injury.
4. **Contact-with.** A person comes in contact with a harmful material.
5. **Caught-on.** A person or part of the person’s clothing or equipment is caught on an object that is either moving or stationary.
6. **Caught-in.** A person or part of the person is trapped, stuck, or otherwise caught in an opening or enclosure.
7. **Caught-between.** A person is crushed, pinched, or caught between either a moving object and a stationary object or between two moving objects.
**Figure 9-7. JSA for a hydraulic line replacement.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK STEPS</th>
<th>POTENTIAL HAZARDS</th>
<th>SAFETY PROCEDURE TO FOLLOW</th>
<th>SAFETY EQUIPMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Put on all required safety equipment, then report to job location.</td>
<td>Contact By: Forklifts/Blind Corners</td>
<td>When going anywhere in the mill, always walk to one side of pathways. Look before rounding corners.</td>
<td>Hard hat Safety glasses Steel-toed boots Hearing Protection Gloves Lock-out tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot-Level Fall: Oil, water, or trash on floor.</td>
<td>Avoid wet, oily spots. Pick up or step over trash or bunks. If your shoes/boots get slippery, clean them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Lock-out the system for the hydraulic hose.</td>
<td>Caught in: You may become entangled in the machinery.</td>
<td>All workers must place their Lock-out Tag on all system breakers.</td>
<td>Lock-out tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Bleed off system pressure if needed.</td>
<td>Foot-Level Fall: The floor is slippery from hydraulic fuel.</td>
<td>Walk around spilled fluid if possible. Use Oil Dri to soak up spills.</td>
<td>Oil Dri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Remove the fitting on end of hose.</td>
<td>Contact By: Hydraulic fluid will irritate and damage the eyes and skin. Contact with: Tools may slip and cause you to hurt your hands.</td>
<td>Loosen the fitting to bleed off any remaining pressure in the hose. Keep the hose away from your face and others. Wear safety glasses. Use the right size tool on the coupling. Keep tools clean from oil and grease.</td>
<td>Safety glasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Repeat step #4 on the other hose end.</td>
<td>See Step #4.</td>
<td>See Step #4.</td>
<td>See Step #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK STEPS</td>
<td>POTENTIAL HAZARDS</td>
<td>SAFETY PROCEDURE TO FOLLOW</td>
<td>SAFETY EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Cut a new hose the same size as the old hose.</td>
<td><strong>Contact By:</strong> Your hand may get cut by the saw. <strong>Contact By:</strong> Flying sparks and debris can hurt you.</td>
<td>Keep your hands away from the saw blade while it is turning. Wear safety glasses. Hold the hose with one hand while cutting it.</td>
<td>Safety glasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Press the hose end and the Coupling Press.</td>
<td><strong>Caught In:</strong> Your hand can be crushed if the press starts.</td>
<td>Move hand away from the ram and hose, then start the press.</td>
<td>Hard hat Safety glasses Steel-toed boots Earplugs Lock-out tags See Step #7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Lower the pressing collar onto the coupling.</td>
<td><strong>Caught In:</strong> Your hand can be crushed if the press starts.</td>
<td>Make sure the press is off prior to inserting the hose and coupling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Repeat Step #7 and Step #8 for the other hose end.</td>
<td>See Step #7.</td>
<td>See Step #7.</td>
<td>Lock-out tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Install the new hose onto the machinery.</td>
<td><strong>Caught In:</strong> You may become entangled in the machinery. <strong>Contact By:</strong> Any sharp edges of the coupling will cut your hands. <strong>Contact With:</strong> Tools may slip and cause you to hurt your hands.</td>
<td>Make sure that the system is Locked-out and properly tagged. Make sure there are no burrs on the couplings. If so, grind them off. Use the right tool size on the coupling. Keep tools clean from oil and grease.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued next page)
Figure 9-7. JSA for a hydraulic line replacement. *(continued)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK STEPS</th>
<th>POTENTIAL HAZARDS</th>
<th>SAFETY PROCEDURE TO FOLLOW</th>
<th>SAFETY EQUIPMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11) Remove all Lockout Tags from the system breakers</td>
<td><strong>Caught In:</strong> Anyone still working on the hose may become entangled in the machinery.</td>
<td>Make sure all workers and tools are clear of the machinery. <em>Do not remove another person’s Lock-out Tag</em></td>
<td>Safety glasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) Check for any hydraulic oil leaks.</td>
<td><strong>Contact By:</strong> Hydraulic fluid will irritate and damage the eyes and skin. <strong>Foot-Level Fall:</strong> The floor is slippery from hydraulic fluid.</td>
<td>Stand away from hose while system pressure increases. Wear safety glasses.</td>
<td>Oil Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Tighten any leaky couplings.</td>
<td>See Step #10.</td>
<td>See Step #10.</td>
<td>See Step #10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Return equipment to the golf cart.</td>
<td><strong>Contact By:</strong> Dropping tools may hurt your feet. <strong>Contact With:</strong> Various hazards in the mill.</td>
<td>Carry all tools with a firm grip. If necessary, make several trips to carry all tools. Wear steel-toed boots.</td>
<td>Steel-toed boots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Steel-toed boots*
8. Foot-level-fall. A person slips, trips, and/or falls to the surface the person is standing or walking on.

9. Fall-to-below. A person slips, trips, and/or falls to a level below the one the person was walking or standing on.

10. Overexertion. The person performs a task beyond his physical capabilities, resulting in sprain or strain injuries.

11. Exposure. Employee injury results from her close proximity to harmful environmental conditions in the workplace.

Conclusion

System safety is an important part of the product life cycle. Allowing the individual employee to find the problems associated with work is no longer acceptable. A proactive approach is necessary because of increased product-liability litigation and increased employee awareness of process-safety concerns. Therefore, the safety professional must make every effort to understand the concepts of system safety.

Questions

1. What steps make up the product life cycle? Include examples of the activities that occur during each step.
2. What is the importance of the system safety function to an organization?
3. What are the differences between system safety and industrial safety?
4. Can you develop a fault tree for a simple system? Make sure to include both AND and OR gates.
5. Using the hazard assessment matrix, what is the level of risk for the following activities?

- Getting hit by a vehicle while walking across a busy street
- Having a grease fire in the kitchen
- Being involved in a plane crash.
6. Can you perform a job hazard analysis of a simple system using established criteria?
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Chapter 10

MANAGING THE SAFETY FUNCTION

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Explain the functions of management and how they relate to safety
• Identify the purposes of the line and staff parts of the organization
• Differentiate between audits and inspections
• Identify the role of safety in the staffing process
• Explain the OSHA guidelines for safety management

Case Study

Bob Renee was hired fresh out of college by a small company to handle safety. Because Bob had a degree in occupational safety, the owners believed he would be in a position to handle the safety function for a small facility. Bob felt confident he understood the technical aspects of safety; he even had a handle on management. What he really did not understand, however, was how it all fit together. As a result, Bob left after a few months because he “needed more direction from his superiors.”

Safety, like many other management activities, consists of planning, organizing, controlling, directing, and possibly staffing. Although some may argue that once the organization is staffed, this function may cease, in most companies, staffing is an ongoing problem and its importance is paramount to the success of the enterprise.

Planning

“A job well planned is a job well done.” “A job well planned is a job half finished.” These axioms have been repeated for decades, and they
hold some truth. Planning is essential to the ongoing success of any enterprise and certainly to the components of the enterprise, including safety. A well-planned operation involves a series of deliberate steps. First, the safety practitioner must forecast the needs of the safety department for the coming year. This involves reviewing the records of successes and failures as well as all the resources used in the past. It also means anticipating the obstacles that may be encountered during the coming planning period. Most companies tend to operate on a one- to five-year cycle, with planning for budgets occurring each year. This **forecasting of coming needs** or predicting when they will occur is a result of looking at the past and studying the future. There are a number of texts that can guide the reader as to how to forecast.

Once forecasts are made, the practitioner must anticipate resources needed to meet those needs and make requests accordingly. A proposed safety standard coming into effect may require unusual demands, as will the planning or start-up of a new facility. **This is part of a proactive as opposed to a reactive approach to safety. The practitioner does not wait for incidents to occur, but rather anticipates and plans to deal with problems beforehand.**

Established plans often become standards by which the practitioner can judge the performance of the safety program. They should evolve from the mission and the objectives of the organization. This can occur by carefully reviewing organizational goals with top management and writing safety objectives to complement or aid the organizational goals. Once safety objectives are written and methods by which those objectives can be accomplished are laid out, budgets and timetables are formulated. A careful review of the documents associated with the planning tools is made with management, and agreement is sought. Once this occurs, the organization of resources to accomplish the objectives can begin.

Management supports safety efforts to the extent these efforts support those of the organization. Resources necessary to accomplish the task will be allocated on a basis relative to how well the accomplishment of the task helps the organization achieve its goals. Of course, personalities, personal ambitions, and politics can interfere in the process. It is critical for the efforts of the safety department to be tied to those of the organization.
Organizing

Unlike most management activities, safety usually operates from a purely staff position. The implications of this pervade safety and affect the way it operates in the organization. To understand the management of safety, an understanding of line and staff positions is essential.

Line positions are charged with carrying out the major function or functions of the organization. In an army, the line fights; in a production operation, the line does the producing; in a sales organization, the line sells. First-line supervisors, plant managers, and even company presidents are considered line officers within an organization. Staff positions, on the other hand, are charged with supporting or helping the line positions. Typically, staff positions have no real authority over line activities; staff members only assist and advise the line officers. Any authority staff positions possess beyond that of assisting or advising is only a result of a line manager giving that authority. In some organizations, staff members are given authority over certain functions and any activities that relate to those functions. If a safety member in such an organization recognizes a problem in safety, he or she could be given authority to correct that problem. Even in organizations where no functional authority is given, some members of line management may perceive the authority exists anyway. This could be particularly true of lower-ranking members in line positions who perceive that staff members have authority over them when, in fact, they do not.

Safety managers, safety engineers, safety professionals, and safety technicians are nearly always considered staff personnel and nearly always operate from a staff position. A safety manager’s job is to monitor safety, compare what they find against existing standards, and advise line management as to any corrective actions that need to be taken from a safety standpoint. Their ideal position within the organization is reporting to the chief executive officer, but typically, the safety practitioner reports to personnel or human resources departments. The rationale for reporting to the top is obvious. No person within the organization is exempt from safety. If however, safety is subordinate to personnel, human resources, or some position other
than the chief executive officer, the opportunities for abuse and the potential for conflict of interest are high. Proper monitoring and appropriate advising of the enterprise cannot take place from the middle of the organizational hierarchy.

The full-time safety practitioner monitors safety and advises management on what changes are needed and why. To do otherwise interferes with the job of the line manager. If a conflict occurs between the safety practitioner and the production manager, the production manager’s orders will take precedence. Exceptions to this rule sometimes occur when the staff person is given functional authority or authority over the safety function. He or she has authority over all matters as they relate to safety and can overrule the line manager if his orders contradict those of the safety practitioner relative to safety. Of course, the safety practitioner may also have line authority over any personnel that work for and report to him or her, such as an industrial hygienist, an occupational nurse, or a safety assistant.

Generally, the safety practitioner is in a better position to positively influence the organization if a purely advisory role is maintained. It permits him or her to help line managers perform a better job by becoming part of their team and also helps avoid conflicts. This approach squarely places the responsibility for safety on the shoulders of line management. If an unsafe condition occurs, the line manager cannot reasonably state that he is unaware of the condition. It’s his job to be aware of unsafe conditions and have them corrected.

All members of the organization must buy into safety to establish a safety culture. This only happens with support and continual input from top management. The safety practitioner does not lead the safety effort but rather acts as a member of the different safety teams throughout the enterprise. Teams may be in the form of safety committees, individual crews, or work cells. It is the safety practitioner’s responsibility to help those teams help themselves relative to safety. The safety professional acts as a resource or guide in helping the team establish itself. Management of safety becomes a responsibility of the leader of the work group and the individual members.

Carl Wagner is supervisor of an eight-member production group. The group’s job is to produce a high-quality, pearl-like finish on metal furniture. Carl’s responsibilities are to ensure quality produc-
tion occurs **safely**. When the plant safety manager observes one of Carl’s workers not using the prescribed personal protective equipment, she addresses the problem with Carl. If Carl is not around, the safety manager discusses the problem with the worker. It’s actually Carl’s job to see to it the employees in his crew work safely. If Carl learns the employee is not wearing personal protective equipment because it is uncomfortable, then he discusses the issue with the safety manager. The safety manager’s job is to aid Carl and help him resolve the employee’s problem. Because of this relationship, Carl considers the safety manager not a threat, but a resource he can call on to help him and his crew to do a better job.

On Monday morning, Carl approaches Janet Reeves, the safety manager, and complains that the new respiratory equipment is too hot, and his employees do not like to wear it while working with
lubricants. If Carl believes the safety equipment is simply a nuisance hindering his employees and tries to obstruct the safe-practice methods used in the plant, it is Janet’s job to convince Carl the equipment is necessary to the safety of his workers and help him convince his employees.

Janet discusses the problem with Carl, and they decide to take time during the next “tool box talk” to discuss it with employees. Before going into the meeting, Janet makes Carl aware that OSHA requires respiratory equipment for this operation and working without it may cause employees to develop respiratory problems. After a long discussion, Carl decides to lead the talk himself and to ask his employees if they understand the consequences of not wearing the equipment. After a little verbal sparring, the employees reluctantly agree when they realize the importance of wearing the equipment.

Janet also suggests it is possible to shorten the time employees wear the respirators. After some discussion, all agree that two employees should perform any job demanding more than thirty minutes. After one employee wears the respirator for thirty minutes, he can switch off to permit another employee to finish. No employee will have to wear a respirator for more than thirty minutes at a time. All agree this is a workable idea and they decide to give it a try.

If Carl is unable or unwilling to comply with his safety responsibilities, Janet is in a position to discuss the matter with Carl’s boss, his boss’s boss, or even the chief executive officer of the company. With top management support of the safety effort, Carl’s performance is measured on how well he supervises safe production. If he is unable or unwilling to try to produce safely, then his performance ratings will suffer. Therefore, he is penalized for failing to follow other procedures regarding production or any other critical activity within the company. Not only is Carl held responsible for safe production, but also his direct manager and every other manager in the line production operation. They are held responsible and accountable.

**Controlling**

*Controlling occurs through a number of subfunctions.* It involves looking at what is happening in the organization by monitoring or
comparing the results of the observations to established standards, and taking appropriate corrective actions. This occurs through inspections, audits, records reviews, interviews with employees and supervisors, and a careful watch on what is happening in the organization. The results of monitoring are compared to results from previous years, existing safety regulations, and published or internally developed standards. Any deficiencies are noted and plans are made for correction. Before drastic changes occur, management approval and support are sought. Once this happens, it is up to line management to make any and all appropriate corrections. Of course, management will only make corrections when it perceives they are appropriate and beneficial. This perception is frequently based on line management’s understanding of the need to make corrections and the consequences of not making corrections.

The safety practitioner often finds that being a persuasive salesperson is as important as knowing what to do and what to sell. The safety practitioner soon learns requests for change typically cost money, time, or other resources. In addition, these requests are competing with those from production, marketing, and other branches of the organization whose managers believe they can best utilize the company’s resources.

It becomes apparent that requests by the safety function for company resources are competing with every other worthy cause within the organization. If money is spent on safety, it may not be available for raises for personnel, a new dental benefits plan, research on a breakthrough for a promising new drug, or a marketing effort that could reap millions. The management team will consider the safety request, weigh it against those from the rest of the organization, and respond accordingly.

If the safety professional is unable to make a convincing case for project resources, then the project won’t happen. Management support for safety is a result of its perception of how well safety supports the organization. If the safety department is well aligned with the mission of the organization and the safety professional can build a strong case, usually in terms of the cost benefit to the organization, safety will be supported. However, if management does not perceive that safety supports the organization or believes that it does not provide
an adequate return, it will not support it. To reiterate, the role of the safety professional is to monitor what is occurring in the organization relative to safety, compare findings to existing standards, and advise on necessary changes.

Allegedly, the major tool used by professionals to monitor the state of safety in the organization has been the audit. Audit is a term loosely used by professional and semiprofessional safety practitioners. It can mean anything from a cursory inspection of hand tools by shop personnel to a complete review of the safety program by the safety staff and numerous collaborating personnel. In reality, the audit is a tool that permits the assignment of a quantitative or numerical value to some aspect of the safety program. It is used to determine where that program is relative to where it ought to be. As many as a dozen or more audits might be done on an annual basis in any company. An example will illustrate this point.

Suzy Harris is the safety manager for Atlantic Widgets. She has developed nine safety programs in the following areas:

1. Hazard Recognition and Control
2. Fire Prevention and Protection
3. Industrial Hygiene Monitoring and Control
4. Ergonomics
5. Waste Prevention and Minimization
6. Security
7. Manpower Training and Development
8. Environmental Operations and Emergency Response
9. Technical Standards and Legislative Compliance

Suzy remains open to new ideas for safety programs and plans to initiate more as time permits. Each of the above programs is reviewed annually in a different month by other plant managers and members of the safety committee. Suzy always tries to bring in at least one outside person to get fresh insight into what she is doing.

In January, she audits the Fire Prevention and Protection program. During the audit, Suzy attempts to determine whether the
company is in a state of readiness for any fire eventuality. She does so by evaluating the systems that are in place to ensure continuity of an effective program through interviews, observations, and a thorough records review.

Suzy and two members of her team interview Steve English, the plant manager, and ask him, “How are your supervisors specifically evaluated, for purposes of promotion or salary increases in the performance of their jobs, relative to fire prevention and protection?” She will assign a subjective rating to the following table based upon her evaluation of Steve’s response:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No evaluation exists.</th>
<th>Evaluation occurs but there is a link to salary promotion.</th>
<th>An effective evaluation occurs; there is a marginal impact on salary and promotion.</th>
<th>An effective evaluation occurs and appropriate impact on salary and promotion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suzy continues with similar questions developed to measure the program. She may have developed these questions with the help of others in the plant, or she may have purchased an audit instrument from a firm specializing in safety consulting. After assigning scores to all of the questions, Suzy totals the scores and makes a determination, based on a predetermined scale, as to the strength or weakness of the fire prevention and protection program.

As a side benefit, Suzy is also in a position to make recommendations based on her findings as to what changes need to be made to strengthen the program. These can be prioritized by what the cost of not making the changes might be. The following paragraph provides an example.

Suzy learns through her audit the only protection for an infrequently used warehouse is provided by fire extinguishers. The contents of the warehouse are considered to be museum pieces and the owners are reluctant to part with them. Suzy makes a determination
of the probability of a fire occurring in the warehouse in any given year. She can do this based on the past record of a large company or by estimates provided by her insurance company. The calculations indicate that a fire would likely occur only once in every 200 years with projected losses expected to run about $400,000. This amount assumes that the nearby fire department would be able to extinguish the fire with only 50 percent losses.

Next, Suzy uses the expected value technique and multiplies the probability of the loss occurring in a given year, 1/200 or .005 times the expected loss of $400,000, to estimate the expected value of a loss at $2,000. This value approximates how much the company might be willing to invest in protecting the building from a fire in a given year. Two thousand dollars would be the break-even point in a given year. In other words, the company could only expect to save $2,000 in a given year by protecting the warehouse from fire.

How much would Suzy be willing to invest to save that money? It depends on a number of factors but primarily on how much return Suzy or the company can expect to get on its investments elsewhere. Suzy may decide that there are higher priorities for safety when she determines other findings through using this mathematical process. Even if she decides that this is a high priority, she must still convince management that it’s the best investment it can make with the available funds. Whether she makes that recommendation depends on the return management normally receives on its investments. If management expects a 15 percent return in a given year, then Suzy will use the 15 percent figure as her standard of performance. She won’t make a recommendation that will not result in at least a 15 percent expected return. If Suzy finds that she can come up with a relatively inexpensive system, she will make the recommendation based on her comparison to the standard.

After Suzy has been at the facility for a while, plant personnel begin to understand the expectations management has for them regarding safety. Suzy finds it is necessary to perform the audit only once per year. Obviously, this is not frequently enough to monitor the overall fire program. Suzy has taught the supervisors to use their own employees to make periodic fire inspections. Inspections involve the monthly check of pressurized extinguishers, as well as a comparison of other safety features in the plant to given standards. The differ-
## Safety Audit Steps

1. Determine the area to be audited.
2. Develop or acquire an audit instrument based on the needs of the company. Be aware that no generic audit purchased or acquired from an outside firm will exactly fit the needs of the company.
3. Set up a pre-audit conference with all collaborating personnel. Discuss the purpose and scope of the audit.
4. Perform the audit, based on a predetermined evaluation system. Use interviews, observations, and records reviews.
5. Compare the audit results to the standards of performance established by the organization and the safety department.
6. Report to management based on the existing standards and variances from them. Suggest corrective actions and completion dates. Strong rationales must be prepared for any changes to which management might object. Changes must be tied to the organizational mission and objectives.
7. Follow-up to ensure changes agreed on have been made.
8. Suggest corrective actions and negotiate completion dates with management.

The difference between audits and inspections is that in inspections, no quantitative evaluations are made. Suzy simply asks, “Is this done or not?” The inspection determines adherence to the operating standards. Audits are used to find out if inspections are taking place, as well as the effectiveness of those and other safety tools.

Suzy also makes periodic inspections simply to ascertain supervisors are doing their jobs and ensure compliance with the key safety standards of the organization. The supervisors realize Suzy’s inspections will affect their own future in the company because she reports to management on the supervisors’ compliance with internal safety standards. Unsatisfactory findings are considered to be adverse performance reports for the supervisors and their superiors. Positive
reports are considered along with other performance variables in promotion and salary increases. This is not the only measure of safety that management depends on, but it is one factor in the determination of a given supervisor’s or manager’s future in the company.

One of the keys in the whole process is to take corrective action based on the findings. It sounds so obvious, yet audits and inspections are performed regularly with recommendations reported to management, many of which are never acted on. Typically, following a major disaster that results in loss of lives and property, workers stand around saying they knew it was coming. “We tried to tell management, but no one listened.” Often the problems were identified in the regular audit or inspection procedure, recommendations were made, but no corrective actions took place. The safety practitioner must successfully sell management on the necessary course of action.

Directing

The safety practitioner does not actively direct or lead in the organization, unless he has a staff or allocated resources. This job belongs primarily to line management. Ideally, the safety practitioner is given adequate resources, including a safety budget, to help line management accomplish its objectives. A typical safety budget would be 2 to 3 percent of sales volume.

One day, Carl Wagner approaches Suzy Harris with a safety problem. He believes his employees would be safer if they have hard hats to wear when they are working in “the yard.” The cost of supplying the hard hats for the whole crew is $600. Suzy believes this is a worthwhile project and agrees to pay for the hard hats. The fact that Suzy has control of a budget puts her in the enviable position of having managers come to her to request not only her verbal input, but also financial input. Safety personnel operating from a staff position will only be successful with support, including financial support, from line management.

Staffing

Staffing is an issue organizations should embrace. The opportunity to hire productive, creative people is also conducive to the growth and advancement of the whole organization. In addition, the safety prac-
titioner should be aware the process contains pitfalls. The Civil Rights Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) have requirements prohibiting discrimination against protected populations. If a job requires strength and endurance, the safety practitioner should ensure the company has a clear, written job description. Applicants are only hired contingent on their ability to meet all predetermined physical requirements. A careful review of all affirmative action regulations is necessary to ensure company screening procedures are in compliance with the acts. Well-meaning companies have found themselves subject to lawsuits from state human rights commissions because they were unaware their hiring practices discriminated against protected populations. Specific guidelines should be obtained from the appropriate state human rights commission.

Communications

The ability to communicate effectively is critical to the success of safety practitioners. They must be able to speak in terms that management understands. This requires knowledge of accounting, economics, and modern production and quality theory. Strong human relations skills and related language ability are important to any successful safety effort. The safety practitioner will be working with top management and frontline workers. An effective safety professional needs to have the personality and ability to relate to both groups.

The typical safety practitioner spends significant time in front of groups, often in training activities. Public speaking skills can be useful in these situations. Thoughts need to be well organized and the presentation should always be polished. This requires preparation and practice. Safety professionals who are not properly prepared in public speaking may join professional groups or may take courses to help them prepare. In any case, they must learn to effectively communicate in a variety of situations and with a variety of groups.

Evaluation of the System

Since the safety practitioner is operating from a staff position, any success is a result of his ability to enlist the support of line personnel. This comes about as a result of being well integrated into the organi-
zational structure and culture, and is also a result of being able to enlist the support and cooperation of the line managers. Obviously, to accomplish this, two things are needed. **First, top management must have already endorsed safety as being important to the organization, and it must have already given safety an appropriate level of support.** This occurs because management perceives that safety is a worthwhile and contributing entity within the organization and that its activities are cost-effective. Management will provide support for safety to the level and degree it perceives it is getting a reasonable return from its investment.

Support comes not only in terms of resources, but also in terms of commitment to holding all members of the management team responsible and accountable for safety within their own operations. Each and every manager is responsible, not just for production, but for safe production. The manager’s performance is measured relative to how well he performs in a manner leading to safe production. Within the organization, the results of this measurement are used in evaluating the manager for promotion and salary.

**Second, the safety manager must be perceived as an integral part of the management team.** Line managers can call on the safety manager for advice and help in making their own operations safer. The safety manager’s guidance will help them to create and maintain a safe workplace for their employees. Line managers can make proposals and compete for the safety staff’s time and budget to help them; but, ultimately, the responsibility and accountability for safety rest on their shoulders. Implementing the safety program is difficult because the line manager must believe the safety staff can help him accomplish his objectives. The safety manager and his staff become ex officio members of his team. When he meets with his staff to discuss safety problems, he may request that a member of the safety staff participate in those sessions.

A serious mistake members of some organizations make is believing they can have two separate systems for evaluating production and safety. This occurs when no measurement for safety is built into the normal evaluation system and a safety incentive program is initiated to reward safe performance. The line manager is rewarded in terms of salary and position for having an exemplary production record. At
the same time, he is punished by not receiving the perks associated with having no incidents or no lost-time accidents or whatever else the quota of the month is. The mixed signals do little to encourage safe production, but, instead, give the manager incentive to cover up any information he perceives will inhibit him from receiving the reward or bonus associated with the safety program.

OSHA Guidelines

On January 29, 1989, OSHA published voluntary safety and health guidelines for general industry. OSHA concluded that effective management of worker safety and health protection is a decisive factor in reducing the extent and the severity of work-related injuries and illnesses. Effective management addresses all work-related hazards, including those potential hazards that could result from a change in worksite condition or practices. It addresses hazards whether or not they are regulated by government standards.

In general, OSHA advises employers to maintain a program providing a systematic approach to recognize and protect employees from workplace hazards. This requires the following:

1. Management commitment and employee involvement are complementary. Management should value worker safety and health and commit to its visible pursuit as it would to other organizational goals. A means should be established to encourage workers to develop and/or express their own commitment. This requires clearly stating and communicating safety and health policies and holding managers, supervisors, and employees accountable for meeting their safety responsibilities.

2. Worksite analysis involves examining the workplace for existing and potential hazards. Comprehensive baseline and periodic safety and health surveys should be conducted. Job hazard analysis, accident, and near-miss investigations should also be held. Workers should be able to report unsafe conditions without fear of reprisal. Trends of illness and injury should be studied over time to identify patterns and prevent problems from recurring.

3. Once hazards or potential hazards are recognized, they should be
controlled, prevented, and/or eliminated. This requires engineering controls where appropriate, administrative controls, or personal protective equipment where necessary. Emergency plans, complete with drills and training, should be evaluated. Medical programs should be established.

4. Training should address the safety and health responsibilities of all personnel. Managers, supervisors, and workers should understand their responsibilities and the reasons behind them. This training should be reinforced through performance feedback and enforcement of safe work practices.

Conclusion

Because the safety practitioner is operating from a staff position, any success is a result of his ability to enlist the support of line personnel. This comes about as a result of being well integrated into the organizational structure and culture and as a result of being able to enlist the support and cooperation of the line management. Two things are needed. First, top management must have already blessed safety as being important to the organization, and it must have already given safety an appropriate level of support. This blessing occurs because management perceives that safety is a worthwhile and contributing entity within the organization and its activities are of cost benefit. Management will provide support for safety to the level and degree it perceives a return from the same.

Support comes not only in terms of resources, but also in terms of commitment to holding all members of the management team responsible and accountable for safety within their own operations. Each and every manager is responsible, not just for production, but for safe production. The manager’s performance is measured relative to how well he performs in a manner leading to safe production, and the results of this measurement are used in evaluating the manager for promotion and salary within the organization.

Second, the safety manager must be perceived as an integral part of the management team. Line managers should be able to call on the safety manager for advice and help in making their own operations safer. The safety manager’s guidance will help them create and main-
tain a safe workplace for their employees. Line managers can make proposals for and compete for the safety staff’s time and budget to help them; however, the responsibility and accountability for safety rest on their shoulders. Implementation difficulties arise from the fact that the line manager must have reason to believe the safety staff can help him accomplish his own objectives. The safety manager and his staff become ex officio members of the line manager’s team. When the line manager meets his staff to discuss safety problems, he may request a member of the safety staff’s participation in those sessions.

Questions

1. Do you think safety practitioners should ever be given more than simple staff advisory authority? Why or why not? Do safety practitioners run the risk of assuming others’ safety responsibilities when they do?

2. If there is a conflict between safety goals and production goals, which should take precedence and why? Ask the same question while playing roles of different individuals in the organization, such as different types of managers. Also assume roles of interested parties outside the organization, such as stockholders, bankers lending to the company, and government officials.

3. What steps should management take to ensure employee involvement? Should any of these steps be legal requirements?

4. How do safety practices today compare with those of several decades ago? Talk to some old-timers in industry and ask them if they are aware of any safety cover-ups that occurred in their industry. Discuss your responses.

5. What are the opinions of younger and older working adults about safety on the job, OSHA, and other safety-related issues? Do an informal survey. If a difference of opinion exists between the two groups, why do you suppose that is? As a manager, how would you approach each group to get its involvement?
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Chapter 11

PSYCHOLOGY AND SAFETY: THE HUMAN ELEMENT IN LOSS PREVENTION

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Define the terminology associated with the study of psychology
• Explain the concepts associated with motivation and safety
• Differentiate between behavioral and goal-directed theories of motivation
• Explain the principles associated with behavior modification and safety
• Describe the importance of establishing a positive safety culture
• Identify the pitfalls inherent in safety incentive programs
• Describe the benefits of employee empowerment and job enrichment

Case Studies

An electrical maintenance supervisor with 15 years of experience ordered his assistant to deenergize a machine they were about to service. Before the assistant could “cut the power,” the supervisor reached into the back of the unit, made contact with bare leads, and was electrocuted. The supervisor had been an outstanding employee with no record of accidents or related lost workdays, but he had violated several company safety policies while performing this task. An electrician with his years of experience should never have made the mistakes he made. The results of the accident investigation revealed the
supervisor was having marital problems. In addition his spouse had indicated just days before the incident that the victim had shown her the location of his life insurance policies.

A small Midwestern manufacturing facility reported 23 of their 75 employees had experienced back injuries. A job satisfaction survey indicated very low employee morale and workers who did not trust management. The survey results also indicated management was only concerned with profits and production, not employee safety.

A company with a terrible safety record started a safety bingo program. Every week a department did not report a recordable accident, members of the department could randomly select bingo stickers. Stickers were attached to a card submitted for prizes when a column, row, or diagonal was completed. Safety performance was excellent until the awareness program ended. Injuries increased and production decreased following the termination of the incentive program.

Introduction

It is difficult to be effective in safety without an understanding of human motivation, capabilities, and limitations. Human error may result in as many as 85 to 95 percent of all accidents. There is little doubt people contribute to the hazards resulting in injury or illness.

Traditional approaches to the study of motivation are examined in this chapter to assist safety professionals in recognizing some of the factors that contribute to worker behavior. Information presented also exposes the safety professional to various psychological concepts and theories. The intent is to provide useful strategies for correcting or eliminating adverse psychological safety factors from both the worker and employer perspective.

Basic Terminology

*Psychology is the study of behavior, encompassing clinical, development, educational, experimental, industrial, social, and physiological psychology.*

*Attitudes* are enduring reactions toward people, places, or objects, based on our beliefs and emotional feelings. For example, if a person...
is taught to believe tall people are complainers, when a tall person hurts his back, the reaction is likely to be, “That tall person is exaggerating his injury; he is not really hurt. There was no problem with the job; he should have been able to lift the 180-pound load. If he really wants to work, he has to accept all of the responsibilities of the job.” Notice how this attitude influences the way the injury scenario is viewed. The question of bias is especially important when safety professionals are concerned with hazard identification, incident trend analysis, and accident investigation. Individual attitudes may guide attention and effort in the wrong direction.

Job satisfaction is the specific attitude and emotional feeling that individuals have about their jobs. When workers enjoy what they do, they are said to be receiving satisfaction from the job and this, in turn, may influence employee morale.

Morale is the meeting of individuals’ needs and the extent to which employees recognize this meeting of needs comes from jobs. Some researchers believe if morale is low, employee motivation will also be low.

Motivation is the inner drive, impulse, or need that creates a personal incentive toward behavior.

Motivation

Motivation is an individual’s tendency toward action in a given situation. In other words, people cannot and do not motivate others. It is the individual who acts or behaves in a given situation. Environmental conditions can be established to increase the likelihood of action and performance, but it is up to the individual to respond. How an individual actually responds depends upon personal experiences coming together to form attitudes.

The Goal-Directed and the Behavioral Schools of study represent two of the most popular views of motivation. In the Goal-Directed School of Motivation, the inner drives of individuals are examined to explain why human behavior takes place. Examples of goal-directed theories include the Needs-Hierarchy Theory, the Need-Achievement Theory, and the Motivational Hygiene Theory. Examples of
behavioral theories are Pavlovian theory and Operant Conditioning theory.

**Goal-Directed School**

**Maslow’s Needs-Hierarchy Theory**

The goal-directed study of motivation states that people have various types of needs. Depending on the specific theory of interest, these needs can be physiological (the need for food, clothing, or shelter) or psychological (the need for love, recognition, and affiliation with others). Needs help individuals to establish goals guiding behavior to gain rewards and to satisfy needs (see figure 11-1: the model first developed by Deci that pictorially describes the relationship between needs and behavior).

Dr. Abraham Maslow’s *Needs-Hierarchy Theory* is based on the goal-directed model of motivation (see figure 11-2 for a pictorial representation of Maslow’s model of motivation). According to Maslow’s theory of motivation, people have basic needs—hunger, thirst, and desire for warmth—that must be satisfied before more advanced needs become motivating influences. It is only after these basic physiological needs are satisfied that individuals seek safety and security, the need to be protected and preserved in terms of health and well-being. Living in a location free from turmoil and conflict is an example.

Once the safety and security needs are satisfied, individuals seek to establish close friendships and loving relationships with others. With this social support system in place, they strive to satisfy needs associated with self-esteem and esteem by others. Esteem refers to the

---

**Figure 11-1.** Goal-directed model of motivation based on the research of Deci.
Maslow theorized that the individual, having satisfied all the lower levels of needs, now has the potential for **self-actualization**, a concern for the well-being of others or the state of society. Examples of self-actualized individuals include Eleanor Roosevelt and Mother Teresa.

There is little evidence to justify the accuracy of this theory in explaining human motivation; Maslow’s work is based on post hoc studies of selected individuals. Researchers have found, however, that certain needs must be satisfied before individuals can or will pursue other needs. Any of Maslow’s needs could serve as motivators for individuals, depending on their psychological state.
People or employees are motivated by unsatisfied needs only. Once needs are met in a given category and the future appears to hold the same, individuals will move to a higher level of need. In the United States, most employees in an industrial or construction setting have their basic needs met through wages and benefits. Unmet needs are going to likely be of a psychological rather than of a physiological nature. Motivation toward safety should likely focus on something other than meeting physical needs.

**McClelland’s Need-Achievement Theory**

A goal-directed theory that has acquired significant attention due to tangible research supporting its premise is McClelland’s *Need-Achievement Theory*.

According to McClelland, there are three essential motives that drive human behavior:

1. Achievement
2. Affiliation
3. Power

*Achievement* is the accomplishment of tasks and activities. Individuals having a high need for achievement are very comfortable working alone. They tend to be very creative and can develop unique solutions to the challenges presented them.

Those with a need for *affiliation* seek the company of others and find satisfaction in close relationships. They may gain satisfaction from knowing or meeting famous people. The enjoyment of being a part of a certain work crew and talking with coworkers may satisfy this need. Pride associated with the wearing of team or company clothing is an example of outward display of affiliation.

The need for *power* is probably the most misinterpreted of McClelland’s three needs. Power, in this context, does not necessarily mean insidious and tyrannical control over people but rather the ability to positively influence others. Managers often have high power and achievement profiles. They have a need to get tasks accomplished through the use of and influence over subordinates in the organiza-
tion. According to this theory, many teachers and trainers have a high need for power. They gain satisfaction from students’ successes and accomplishments.

While some individuals may have a predisposition toward one of the three needs, it is more likely they will possess two or all three of them. Frequently, we attempt to influence the behavior of others by using rewards that seem important to us. It is possible to influence the behavior of others only when you know what is important to them. By considering all three of McClelland’s motives and analyzing individuals to determine what needs each has, it becomes theoretically possible to structure rewards in such a way that individuals’ needs are met through higher safety performance.

**Herzberg’s Motivation Hygiene Theory**

Frederick Herzberg, in his *Motivation Hygiene Theory*, states that employee motivation depends on the characteristics of the job referred to as intrinsic job factors. When Herzberg performed his study, he asked workers what about their job motivated them. The responses included achievement, activity, authority, creativity, importance, independence, interest, knowledge, personal growth and development, promotion opportunity, recognition, responsibility, service to others, utilization, and variety. He learned that some of the factors not directly related to the job, such as a clean work environment, had no effect on motivation. These external factors can be addressed, and although the workplace may be clean or hygienic—having nothing to make the worker dissatisfied—it does nothing to satisfy or motivate the worker. A few of the less-obvious intrinsic job factors or motivators deserve exploration.

**Activity** refers to a steady and physically acceptable level of performance. Physical and mental workloads are balanced and reasonable. When workloads become either excessive or minimal, occupational stress resulting from fatigue or boredom can become a safety problem.

**Authority** refers to the amount of power inherent in a job to influence or control the work activities of others. Here again, occupational stress is often overlooked. When individuals have extensive responsibilities but little control over the accomplishment of tasks,
stress may result. Job satisfaction is closely tied to the appropriate balance of responsibility (accountability for performance, decisions, and outcomes) and authority.

Creativity reflects the opportunity for individuals to apply inventiveness, resourcefulness, and personal talents to the work situation. Many individuals enjoy the process of developing new and innovative solutions to problems in the workplace. Jobs that tap into an individual’s creativity often seem more enjoyable because they tend to be less routine.

Importance refers to the perceived value of the work performed. When employees believe they make a difference in the organization, job satisfaction increases. When coupled with interest, the chance to perform activities compatible with the individual’s personal preferences, importance makes employee motivation tend to soar. Employers may also affect employee motivation by offering opportunities for promotion, recognition, paths for advancement, and appreciation for strong performance. Intrinsic job factors are only motivational if the individual considers them as such. Not everyone desires advancement or promotion, so they may not be motivating to an individual who desires to remain a member of a crew or team.

The more intrinsic job factors there are, the greater the job satisfaction. Job satisfaction describes the positive feeling workers have about their jobs. It implies employees are meeting their needs through their work. Several studies indicate a negative correlation between job satisfaction and accidents; that is, the greater the job satisfaction of employees, the fewer the accidents. If the intrinsic job factors are removed, the worker is no longer motivated to perform. Factors not intrinsic to the job, external factors, are more related to the environment and are not considered motivators. A dirty workplace would be external. A clean environment might be pleasing, but it does not contribute to motivation. By making a dirty workplace clean we make it less undesirable but we do not make the worker more motivated. We can remove all of the undesirable external factors and will still not motivate the worker; all we have is a clean or hygienic work environment.

From a safety application perspective, truly effective motivators are relatively inexpensive since they have to do with the job itself.
Enhancing the job, by making it more interesting or by empowering the worker to make more decisions, provides a more efficient work environment and tends to be motivational.

**Behavioral School**

The Behavioral School examines environmental factors influencing human performance. Two of the most widely known behavioral theories are the *Pavlovian, also known as the Classical Conditioning Theory, and Operant Conditioning or the Skinnerian theory.*

**Pavlov**

*Pavlovian conditioning explains behavior as reflexive in nature.* The traditional example is of dogs salivating when a stimulus, a bell, is paired with the presentation of food. After repeated pairings, Ivan Pavlov found the conditioned or learned stimulus produces the same response as the unconditioned or natural stimulus. An example of this type of motivation is the fear and anxiety people feel when they enter a location where they have been injured. The location becomes a conditioned stimulus or cue producing the natural emotional response.

People involved in serious automobile accidents often experience anxiety when they attempt to drive again. One industrial example is the near-fatal shock of an electrician at a high-voltage substation. When he returned to work after a six-month absence, the employee could not pass through the gates of the power substation without experiencing debilitating anxiety. The power substation became his conditioned stimulus associated with severe and intense pain.

**Skinner**

*B. F. Skinner proposed operant conditioning, a process in which the frequency of occurrence of behavior is modified by the consequences of the behavior; that is, the consequences of people’s actions will determine future actions.* The external consequences, referred to as the environment or environmental stimuli, may increase, decrease, or have little effect on behavior. When the likelihood of a response increases following the presentation of an event or stimulus, the process is referred to as *positive reinforcement.* Praise, recognition, and
financial rewards are just a few possible examples of positive reinforcers. By definition, a stimulus is not a positive reinforcer unless it increases the likelihood of the behavior reoccurring in the future.

When the likelihood of a response decreases following the presentation of an event or stimulus, the process is referred to as punishment. Figure 11-3 shows the relationship between the presentation and removal of environmental events and their effect on behavior. When workers associate safety with pleasant results or positive reinforcement, their safe behavior is likely to continue. When safe behavior is associated with unpleasantness, it is likely to discontinue. If a worker equates PPE (personal protective equipment) with discomfort, he is less likely to wear it. If, on the other hand, he associates it with being able to spend more quality time with his family, being in line for a raise, or positive feedback from someone he respects, he is likely to utilize it. Supervisor praise for employee compliance with a company safety hard hat policy is an environmental stimulus considered positive reinforcement if it increases the likelihood of the employee wearing the hard hat in the future. If the supervisor is disliked and he has no authority to discipline the employee, his praise may be seen as negative reinforcement and it could even decrease the likelihood of employee use. If employees are suspended every time they are observed performing unsafe behaviors and, over time, the unsafe behaviors decrease in frequency, this process would be considered punishment. If an employee only wears safety gear when the

Figure 11-3. Operant Conditioning model of environmental processes and their effect on behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive Reinforcement</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Punishment</td>
<td>Extinction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
supervisor is in his work area, this behavior would be considered *avoidance*, being maintained by negative reinforcement.

*Extinction* is the removal of a positive stimulus, reducing the likelihood of a behavior recurring in the future. Incentive programs are often used to improve safe performance. Frequently, when incentive programs are eliminated, safe performance will decline. Behaviorists could explain the reduction in desired safe performance a result of the process of extinction. Before providing substantial safety awards, prizes for safety competitions, or other expensive incentives, one must consider how long the company is prepared to maintain them. How much is the company willing to spend? What happens when the incentives are discontinued? Once rewards are given, employees view them as entitlements. Remove the entitlements and the company can face significant anger and disappointment from its workers.

**The Rational Employee: Applying Motivation Theories**

Employees are rational; they always do the rational thing—in their own minds. They have specific reasons for behaving the way they do. The challenge is to determine the factors supporting undesirable performance and develop strategies to modify behavior.

There are several possible factors leading to undesirable safety performance, including employee background, peer influence, and company environment. Although no two employees will necessarily respond in a like manner to the same stimulus, motivational theories attempt to apply what has been learned about a few to the whole population. What works for one won’t necessarily work for another, because each person has different needs; therefore, it is important to understand each employee and his or her needs.

Suppose a new employee must work near a vat of acid. The employee has been trained in recognizing hazards in the workplace but still does not wear PPE. Is it irrational not to wear eye protection near acid? Most people would probably say “Yes!” This undesirable behavior seems to be irrational, but is it? If coworkers heckle the new employee for talking about safety and wearing PPE, is the worker being irrational for not wearing it? The new employee may have a
strong need for affiliation and may choose to follow the norms established. This scenario can also be examined from the perspective of the Operant Conditioning Theory. Coworker verbal abuse might be considered as a punishing stimulus. If the new employee is punished every time he is observed wearing eye protection, he is likely to choose not to wear it.

The safety professional must also look at the organizational environment maintaining poor performance. In the above safety scenario, the new employee will compare the importance of the social reinforcer of going along with what the crew wants with the likelihood of punishment from the employer. The selection of the social reinforcer is especially likely if inconsistent enforcement prevails or if the discipline is only a slap on the wrist. Resulting employee behavior of choosing not to wear eye protection is far more rational to the employee than working safely.

Organizational Environment and the Safety Culture

From a behavioral perspective, organizational actions are just as important as organizational directives. In a Fortune 500 company, for example, senior management decided it was good for employee relations to emphasize safety. Safety slogans were visibly posted throughout company facilities and weekly tool box safety meetings were mandated for company work crews. Safety messages were included in all employee payroll envelopes. The company spent freely to promote safety awareness.

During programmed maintenance shutdowns at this same company, however, employees were instructed to get equipment running again quickly. Employees were informed that approximately $1 million was lost every day this particular plant was not operating. The underlying message to the employees was to get production moving no matter what the cost. Several mechanics and utility workers were seriously injured during the maintenance shutdown because employees took shortcuts and failed to use the correct tools and procedures to perform many of the tasks. This example is not unique. An organization’s actions and the types of statistics it measures clearly commu-
nicate what is important. Several authors have pointed out that when safety performance is measured in terms of injury statistics, there is a tendency for employees not to report incidents. A company may look good on paper at the same time fat/cats (fatalities and catastrophic accidents) are lurking in the corner.

Another example would be responding to the fears associated with OSHA inspections and citations or to pressure from an insurance company to fix hazards or reduce costs. Short-term, Band-Aid approaches will not build a safety culture supported by employees. Workers recognize when budgets are provided for quick fixes and behave accordingly. Company motives are more transparent to employees than some managers believe.

The term safety culture has been mentioned in several recent articles, but what does it really mean? It has several possible definitions, but the most appropriate one is this: education, training, balance of intellect, and judgment. When considered from a safety management perspective, establishing a safety culture should be viewed as nurturing and cultivating an organizational system that values low risk in guiding all its actions.

In his best-seller, The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People, Stephen Covey discusses the relationship among paradigm, values, principles, and success. As Covey points out, a paradigm is a model or frame of reference. It is used to understand what is happening at any given point in time, as well as where we want to go. Organizations need a culture or paradigm to help them interpret events. They also need a culture with clearly specified goals of what the organization wishes to achieve. An organizational paradigm and a clear conceptualization of values, principles, and goals are the foundations of a culture that will promote the right actions to achieve excellence and success.

A value system is a set of convictions a person holds about a specific mode of conduct and the relative importance of those convictions. Value systems and principles serve the same purpose for organizations. Success depends on what is important to the organization. What an organization considers important is dependent upon what corporate management considers important. For both individuals and organizations, these are values.

An example of the influence of organizational paradigms, values,
and safety cultures on management decision making was observed when a textile manufacturer was constructing a new facility. Upper-level management, including the safety and operations managers, agreed that every contractor would have to comply with all company health and safety rules during the project. In all of the written contracts, this was clearly noted. If the textile manufacturer observed a violation, the employee of the subcontractor would be temporarily removed from the job site. A repeat violation meant termination of the subcontractor’s contract.

Six months into the two-year project, one of the major subcontractors responsible for multistory steel-beam erection had employees working over 30 feet in the air without fall protection. After the second observation of this violation, the plant safety manager ordered the workers down, informed the contractor of the repeat violation, and initiated the process to terminate the contract. The contractor was removed from the project and replaced by a firm that accomplished all the goals initially established by the textile manufacturer. The plant manager in this scenario did not compromise. As a result, the project was completed on time with only one OSHA-recordable injury.

The other extreme occurred when a large plant, operating in a corporate environment renowned for safety, had a worker injured on the job and sent to the hospital. That night the plant manager phoned the attending physician and requested the injured employee be placed in an ambulance the next day, brought to the plant property so he could be counted at work, and returned to the hospital right away. The manager’s financial incentive for not having a worker out due to injury was so strong, he was willing to put the worker at risk to have an unblemished record.

Values influence behavior. They impact the way people work, the way people treat others, or the way people react to figures of authority. This paradigm also holds true for organizational cultures. Values are not part of the paradigm or guidelines that magically appear overnight. For most people their parents, brothers and sisters, sports heroes, teachers, friends, and religious leaders are just a few of the many individuals who influence their values. For organizations, it is primarily managers’ values that mold the organizational values and
establish, whether consciously or unconsciously, the safety culture and how it defines success.

It is critical for the safety professional to work within the organization and its management to establish the safety paradigm and safety culture. The safety professional must cultivate the knowledge of those in influential positions, taking advantage of every opportunity to educate management on the benefits of a safety culture. Gathering necessary data, knowing the regulations, and presenting positive examples of what other organizations are doing are part of the job of safety. Sometimes actions must be taken because they are the right things to do. Proper actions will be possible only if the organization has clearly established safety values and principles in place. The safety professional’s goal must be to assist in the development of those values and principles.

**Incentives versus Inherent Reinforcement**

The premise behind the use of incentives is that employees require added encouragement to work safely. Many incentive programs use statistical measures such as recordable accidents on the OSHA 300 Log or days without a lost-time accident to determine the winners. Awards have taken a variety of forms. They can be anything from baseball caps, T-shirts, or jackets to automobiles and trucks.

It is an unfortunate fact that incentive programs frequently create more problems than they solve. Research repeatedly demonstrates incentive programs improve safety numbers while they are in place, but once the incentive program ends, performance returns to previous levels at best; in some instances safety performance is much worse. Employees may view incentive programs as an entitlement and come to expect them as bonuses. According to the operant conditioning theory of motivation, **extinction** occurs when a reinforcer is removed and behavior terminates. Employees will sometimes sandbag reports of accidents and injuries until the end of the incentive program, at which time the incident is reported as if it has just happened.

Incentive programs create unhealthy competition when individuals, crews, departments, or plants undermine the activities of their competitors to gain the awards. At one company, the department
with the cleanest work area during the previous month was rewarded with a steak dinner. Trash dumping in competing departments caused the incentive program to backfire.

Incentive programs based on statistics may produce the unwanted side effect of inaccurate recordkeeping. At another company, employees had worked over eleven months without a lost-time accident, presumably because of a new safety award program initiated earlier that year. Word circulated around the facility that the company CEO planned to fly to the facility and present the award for going one year without a recordable accident. Approximately two weeks before the big day an employee slipped while using a prybar. The prybar struck him in the face, shattering his safety glasses. While the employee avoided a serious eye injury, he received a facial laceration requiring stitches. Under normal circumstances he would have been sent home after a visit to the emergency room, but supervisors and coworkers pressured the employee to return to work.

The incentive program motivated the employee to return to work; however, the message sent was that it is OK to have an accident if a lost-time workday is avoided.

Positive reinforcement by management may not be positive to the participants of the incentive program. Coal miners at one facility had the opportunity to be in a drawing for a new pickup truck if they went one year without a recordable injury. When asked why the incentive program failed, interviewed miners reported they received workers’ compensation benefits matching approximately 70 percent of their normal salary. In addition, their homes, cars, boats, and other loans were automatically paid for by the loan insurance that went into effect when they could not work as a result of an injury. A positive reinforcer increases the likelihood of behavior. Winning a pickup truck was not a reinforcer; sustaining an injury and collecting benefits was a reinforcer.

Incentive programs are sometimes used in place of effective safety programs and positive safety cultures. Safety incentive programs are not magic. They may have a negative effect on safety efforts.

**Employee Empowerment and Job Enrichment**

With the current emphasis on safety culture and Total Quality Management (TQM), progressive companies are recognizing the value of
employee-driven safety programs. Terms like “employee empowerment” and “job enrichment” reflect the importance of intrinsic job factors for the promotion of safety.

Employees of today are generally not receptive to authoritarian styles of management. As discussed in the motivational hygiene section of this chapter, intrinsic job factors that are inherently reinforcing are more likely to motivate employees. Some authors view all external rewards as punishers. This is understandable based on the discussion of incentive programs. Incentive programs are extrinsic reinforcers “artificially” incorporated into the organization to increase the likelihood of desired performance. Once the artificial reinforcer is removed, natural behavioral activities return to the work environment.

Intrinsic reinforcements are those positive aspects of our behavior and environment that are self-perpetuating. Watching television is an example. It is entertaining, relaxing, enjoyable, and has intrinsic reinforcement. Intrinsic reinforcers can exist in all activities. In an organization, job enrichment and empowerment refer to the incorporation of intrinsic job factors into the work. Job enrichment involves adding more tasks to the job to make it more interesting and enjoyable. For example, an employee who formerly called upon someone else to maintain his equipment is taught how to maintain it and given time to do so when the equipment needs maintenance. Taking time off from routine tasks to perform this needed function may make the job more interesting and more enjoyable to the employee, thereby enriching it. Some individuals desire jobs with responsibility and authority. Delegating the role of decision making to an employee by giving him more autonomy over the decisions governing his workday may make him feel his job is more interesting and important. Both of these are examples of permitting the employee to participate in the organization by giving him more autonomy over his job and future. The employee participates in the success of his job and that of the organization. By sharing responsibility for success, including success in safety, everyone becomes a player with a vested interest.

Conclusion

This chapter included a brief discussion of the theories of motivation and examined the importance of the organizational environment and
the safety culture, along with the strengths and weaknesses of incentive programs. Job enrichment and employee empowerment were discussed as methods to increase employee buy-in to the safety process. References at the end of this chapter can assist the safety professional in learning more about psychology and safety.

Questions

1. How does job satisfaction influence an individual’s safety? What role does worker attitude play in accident prevention?
2. Why is knowledge of worker motivation important to a safety professional?
3. How are goal-directed theories different from the behavioral theories of motivation?
4. How can the motivational hygiene theory of motivation be used to improve a safety program?
5. What is meant by the term safety culture? How do you establish a positive safety culture?
6. How can positive reinforcement and punishment be used in a behavior modification-oriented safety program? Give examples.
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Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Define related behavioral safety terminology
• Explain how to implement behavioral safety processes
• Describe techniques and benefits of safety coaching
• Identify best measures to track behavioral safety performance
• Discuss success factors for improving safety performance
• Explain how to use behavioral approaches for continuous improvement

Introduction

Behavior-based safety is commonly called behavioral safety. Behavioral safety is not an alternative to traditional safety programs; it is one component of a comprehensive effort.

When organizations demonstrate a thorough understanding and proper application of behavioral safety, safety performance is typically enhanced. Behavioral safety is not meant to replace other safety initiatives, but rather to enhance and complement them.

There are a wide variety of theories and techniques under the name of behavior-based safety. Many of these approaches are not based on sound research or experience. On the other hand, this is not intended to imply there is only one correct way to implement and
proceed with behavioral safety programs. Once basic behavioral principles and techniques are understood, flexibility is recommended. The behavioral process should be customized for each organization and site. This chapter presents basic principles, proven strategies, and practical implementation steps that have worked well for many organizations.

A common distinction is to view behavioral safety as a process rather than a program. This is not to belittle or diminish the importance of programs. *Rather, a process approach provides certain desirable benefits:*

1. It encourages everyone to recognize the daily importance of safety.
2. It views safety performance as a long-term process—a process that can be continuously improved.

McSween observes that an organization may initiate a series of programs under the umbrella of an ongoing safety process (1995, p. 34). Krause emphasizes that continuous improvement is a factor that distinguishes a genuine process from a program (1997, p. 73). Ultimately, the purpose of behavioral safety is not simply to improve individual behaviors, but to positively impact the overall safety culture and related safety systems. As these goals are accomplished, safety performance will continually improve.

**Misconceptions about Behavioral Safety**

There are a number of misconceptions about behavioral safety that can impede progress. *The following is a brief list of common misconceptions about behavioral safety followed by the author’s comments:*

- *Behavioral safety fails to address system causes of injuries.* Many organizations can demonstrate that behavioral safety actually provides an excellent tool for addressing system causes of injuries. An organization is not using behavioral safety to its potential if system improvements do not result from the behavioral effort. We will discuss this further later in the chapter.
• **Behavioral safety is used to blame employees.** Behavioral experts agree that if behavioral safety is used to blame employees it will not work. Blaming employees is the opposite to a proper approach to behavioral safety. Proper applications of behavioral safety generally include a “blame-free” or “no-fault” understanding as part of the process itself.

• **Behavioral interventions are the least effective intervention.** Behavioral interventions are not the first intervention priority. Elimination of hazards, substitution of less hazardous materials, and engineering solutions are all higher-priority interventions than behavioral effort. However, these higher-level solutions are not always possible or fully effective (nor “foolproof”). Once the appropriate engineering safety interventions have been implemented, behavioral initiatives are often the most effective supplemental interventions that are capable of dramatically improving safety performance. As an example, think of engineering solutions for automobiles, combined with behavioral interventions targeting sober driving. The engineering solutions and behavioral solutions work in concert; neither engineering nor behavioral efforts work effectively alone, but rather both kinds of interventions supplement and complement the other.

• **Behavioral safety allows management to abdicate responsibility for safety.** Management’s behavior is without a doubt the most important behavior in behavioral safety. Unfortunately, there are some behavioral implementations that target specifically hourly employees’ behavior and don’t address the behavior of management and professional staff. This kind of implementation results in a number of negative consequences, including lack of effort and apathy on the part of members of management, reduced morale and interest in safety on the part of hourly workers, and most likely, poor safety performance. Everyone’s behavior is important to safety performance; appropriate safety-related behaviors should be defined, measured, and reinforced for managers and all levels of employees.

• **Behavioral safety is a magic bullet.** There is no magic bullet. Improving safety performance requires a thorough understanding of related areas of psychology, leadership, and culture. Addition-
ally, there must be a strong commitment to safety, effective imple-
mentation, and a willingness to work both smart and hard.

For additional review of behavioral safety misconceptions, see

**Basic Definitions and Terminology**

**At-risk Behavior:** Actions increasing the potential consequence of
injury or illness. The term was coined by Deborah Pivaronas as a way
of describing critical, safety-related behaviors without the associated
negative connotation (Krause, 1997, p. 10). The term is more neutral
and less evaluative than *unsafe behavior*.

**Attitudes:** Enduring reactions toward people, places, or objects
based on beliefs and emotional feelings. Attitudes include thoughts,
feelings, and predispositions, and are difficult to change.

**Behavior-based Safety:** The use of applied behavior analysis
methods to achieve continuous improvement in safety performance
(Krause, 1997, p. 3). See additional definitions in this chapter.

**Culture:** Involves the things people do and say in an organization,
and why they say or do those things. Organizational cultures develop
over time and consist of traditions, beliefs, values, and the way things
are done in the organization. The culture consists of the internal
atmosphere or climate and commonly includes subcultures and
countercultures.

**Intervention:** An action taken in an effort to correct or improve a
practice or process. In safety, an intervention usually involves an
attempt to eliminate or reduce a hazard or risk.

**Motivation:** An inner drive, impulse, or need that creates a per-
sonal incentive toward behavior. An individual’s tendency toward
action in a given situation.

**Process:** A number of steps/actions taken in order to accomplish
a goal (McSween, 1995, p. 45).

**Psychology:** The study of human behavior. The *New Merriam-
Webster Dictionary* defines psychology as “The science of mind and
behavior” and “The mental and behavioral characteristics of an individual or group” (p. 587).

Values: Deep-seated beliefs that influence behavior. Core values are commitments individuals hold without compromise.

Principles and Strategies of Behavioral Safety

Behavioral approaches are based on years of research in the field of Applied Behavior Analysis. Geller (2001, p. 21) notes, “Behaviorism has effectively solved environmental, safety, and health problems in organizations and communities; first, define the problem in terms of relevant observable behavior, then design and implement an intervention process to decrease behaviors causing the problem and/or increase behaviors that can alleviate the problem. The behavior-based approach is reflected in research and scholarship. . . .”

Applied behavior analysis methods are useful to achieve continuous improvement in safety. Krause (1997, p. 3) explains, “These methods include identifying and operationally defining critical safety-related behaviors, observing to gather data on the frequency of those behaviors, providing feedback, and using the gathered data for continuous improvement.”

Research in behavioral safety was conducted in the United States in the 1970s and 1980s with documented (and often dramatic) reductions in injuries (Komaki, Barwick, and Scott, 1978; Sulzer-Azeroff and de Santamaria, 1980). Despite these successful studies, behavioral safety did not catch on and become common in the United States until the 1990s, when it became largely mainstream practice in many organizations.

Common Problems with Safety Efforts

McSween notes four common problems that seriously hinder safety efforts:

1. Severe Consequences for Reporting Injuries.

There cannot be any pressure to hide injuries and incidents in successful safety efforts. It is important for organizations to learn
from their incidents and prevent additional or more serious injuries. Reasons for underreporting include (1) the organization responding to reporting with punishment and (2) peer pressure when incentive programs are based on injury outcomes.

2. **Safety Awards Not Related to Behavior.**

Safety award programs typically do not reinforce safe behavior on the job. As noted above, awards and incentives tend to influence employees not to report injuries. Many organizations base their awards on outcome measures (injury statistics) rather than process measures—people’s compliance with safety procedures on the job (McSween, 1995, p. 15).

3. **Dependence on Management or Staff for Planning and Decision Making.**

Many companies expect managers to enforce safety rules and procedures. Consequently, employees tend to rely on managers to ensure safe behavior rather than look out for each other. Employees are not adequately challenged to improve safety, and many of them tend to look to someone else for primary responsibility for their safety.

4. **Reliance on Punishment to Reduce Risky Behavior.**

Many safety programs are based primarily on punishment. Punishment is reactive and focuses on unsafe acts rather than emphasizing safe behavior. McSween notes there are numerous additional problems with punishment that are not readily apparent. For example:

- Punishment is effective only in the presence of the punisher
- Punishment tends to teach the wrong lesson (i.e., don’t get caught)
- Punishment damages relationships and curtails involvement
- Punishment is difficult to maintain (1995, p. 17)

Cooper adds two important points:

- The effectiveness of punishment depends on its consistency. It only works if given immediately and every time an unsafe behavior occurs.
• Punishment serves more to suppress existing behavior than to encourage new behavior patterns. This . . . can cause anxiety and resentment that surface negatively in other areas of work activity (1998, p. 271).

The point about punishment is not that it is never appropriate, but that it must be balanced. Punishment should be considered a last resort, not a first response.

Unfortunately, overcoming these four common problems does not ensure effective safety efforts. Solving these problems is a step in the right direction and helps establish a solid foundation to build on.

**A Process Focusing on Improving Safety Behavior**

A brief definition of behavioral safety was provided under the Definitions and Terminology section. More detailed definitions describe activities involved in the behavioral safety process:

According to Petersen,

Behavior-based safety is, in fact, one of the primary answers to future improvement, but only if and when it does the following:

• Defines the behaviors needed at each level of the organization from bottom to top
• Ensures that each person clearly understands the required behaviors
• Measures whether the behaviors are, in fact, there
• Rewards (reinforces) the behaviors on a regular basis

Behavior-based safety systems that are real management systems do not turn safety over to any one level of the organization. It is great to get worker involvement—in fact, it is crucial. But to eliminate and isolate management from the process is dangerous to both sides. Management has the legal accountability for safety. (2001, p. 38)

Krause’s definitions state:

With so many different initiatives being referred to as “behavior-based safety” it is important to discuss criteria for the definition of
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the activity . . . the most effective way to approach BBS is as an integrated, interdisciplinary activity, drawing not only from applied behavior analysis, but from quality management, organization development, and safety and risk management. The four key activities are 1) identify critical behaviors; 2) gather data on those behaviors; 3) provide ongoing, two-way feedback, and 4) remove barriers to safe behavior. Although this list may seem familiar, the fourth activity is the least understood and the most important for long-term success. Companies must understand the significance of using data gathered during observations to continuously improve the facility and the work process. (2002, p. 27)

The long-term goal of the behavioral safety process is to establish a strong and lasting safety culture. Erickson states, “It is the organization itself, through its culture, that determines the level of safety performance that will be achieved. There is overwhelming scientific evidence supporting this statement” (2001, p. 142). She continues by advising safety professionals that no matter how advanced their knowledge and skills are for building a strong safety program, they cannot, by themselves, effectively provide a safe and healthful working environment.

Manuele confirms the notion that behaviors are determined by the culture. He states, “An organization’s culture consists of the values, beliefs, legends, rituals, mission, goals and performance measures, and the sense of responsibility to the employees, customers and community, all of which are translated into a system of expected behavior” (1997, p. 18). He further believes, “a company must have established—as part of its culture—that employees are expected to, and have responsibility to, report risky behavioral situations to management. Furthermore, management must have established through its actions, that such references will receive proper consideration. If this is not the case, the behavioral problem is principally one of culture, for which action at the first-line level of employment will have limited success” (1998, p. 33).

Behavior Sampling for Proactive Measures

Obviously, it is unrealistic to think that every behavior or even a majority of behaviors can be observed. Organizations simply do not
have the resources to observe all behaviors. The strategy then is to observe a sample of behaviors. The behaviors that are observed are the ones that have been identified as critical to safety performance.

One of the main purposes of behavioral observation is regular sampling of the safety process (Krause, 1997, p. 195). Selectively sampling safety-related behaviors is a powerful tool. First, conduct of critical behaviors is a predictor of future safety performance. In this respect, behavior sampling is a leading indicator, rather than a lagging indicator such as injury rates. Trends can be analyzed and an organization can know precisely what needs to be addressed to be proactive. Second, behavior sampling focuses primarily on the safety process, not outcomes. By specifically focusing on key process activities the outcomes can be improved.

**Employee-Driven Processes and Partial Empowerment**

Another strategy used in most behavioral safety processes is the employee-driven or bottom-up approach. This does not mean that management abdicates responsibility and fails to be actively and visibly committed to and involved in the safety effort. Rather, employees (including management) drive the behavioral safety process, with support and resources provided by management. This is contrary to many traditional approaches to safety that are driven from the top down, or from outside influences such as OSHA. Safety scholars believe in the employee-driven process strongly enough to write books about it: Krause wrote *Employee-Driven Systems for Safe Behavior*, Petersen recently wrote a book titled *Authentic Involvement*, and Geller wrote *The Participation Factor: How to Increase Involvement in Occupational Safety*.

Krause presents the following formula in *Employee-Driven Systems for Safe Behavior*: “Employee Involvement + Scientific Method = Continuous Improvement.” Furthermore, he states that the proper use of methods to manage safety hinges on two factors:

1. scientific measurement and management of all levels of employee workplace behaviors
2. the involvement of all employees in this ongoing feedback and problem-solving process

Petersen discusses the concept of limited empowerment versus total empowerment. Limited empowerment suggests we live within some ground rules. We all play with the same rules that make the game fair and safe. Most football rules are, in fact, safety rules, and most teams find them easy to follow. Limited empowerment means management establishes some ground rules for everyone to follow (Petersen, 2001, p. 63). Employees are empowered within this framework to participate in decision making for improving safety performance.

Implementing Behavioral Approaches

How to Implement Behavioral Safety—Common Steps

Cooper recommends a nine-step implementation process (1998). The following is an abbreviated adaptation of the recommended steps, plus the important step of solving system problems and pursuing continuous improvement:

1. Seek/gain workforce buy-in to the behavioral process prior to implementation. Conduct briefings and educational awareness sessions so everyone is informed and knows what behavioral safety entails as well as its potential benefits.

2. Select a project team or steering team to implement and run the system.

3. Identify the critical safety behaviors that should be addressed. This may be accomplished similar to the way priorities are chosen for Job Hazard Analysis: review the past three years’ injury records to determine behaviors and system factors contributing to injuries.

4. Develop specific behavioral checklists that cover the critical behaviors identified.
5. Train personnel from each workgroup how to conduct behavioral observations and how to provide feedback to others.

6. Establish a baseline of safe behavior by monitoring behavior for four to six weeks. Determine the average safe behavior levels during this baseline period.

7. Ask each workgroup to set a safety improvement target, using their baseline average as the starting point for comparison.

8. Monitor progress on a daily basis and provide detailed feedback to each workgroup on a weekly basis.


10. Concentrate on continuous improvement of the system and behavior at all levels by solving any problems in the management system that encourage risky behavior or create/allow hazards to exist.

Organizations may be unsuccessful in implementing behavioral safety if they omit recommended steps. For example, if an organization fails to gain personnel buy-in (step #1), there will likely be a high level of resistance and possibly widespread efforts to defeat the process. If organizations omit step #10, they will not realize the full benefit that behavioral safety approaches can provide. Applying all these steps increases the probability of a successful behavioral effort as well as the sustainability of the process.

How to Conduct a Safety Assessment

Assessments are commonly conducted prior to the implementation of behavioral safety processes. Assessments may be conducted internally, or one of numerous safety consultants who perform safety assessments may be hired for this purpose. The purpose of safety assessments is to determine an organization’s current level of safety performance and provide recommendations for improvement.

McSween (1995, 64–82) notes that making an assessment is somewhat analogous to completing a puzzle and recommends the following steps to conducting an assessment:
Step 1. Review the organization’s safety data, including injury statistics and actual accident reports. Determine if the organization is above or below industry average. Identify groups of workers with the highest and lowest incidence rates.

Step 2. Conduct interviews with people from a diagonal slice of the organization. The interviewer should get very precise answers to questions such as how often area safety meetings are held, whether leadership regularly talks to employees about safety, and whether safety data is graphed and reviewed. McSween notes, “Constructing a well-designed survey requires experience or training in survey construction” (1995, p. 74).

Step 3. Observe safety meetings, safety audits, and safety practices in work areas. The observer should ask himself or herself if meetings are well run and if employees participate in meetings, and watch for safe and unsafe work practices.

Step 4. Analyze information and develop an improvement plan. McSween (1995, p. 79) recommends that ideal areas for pilot testing recommendations are those areas that are representative of the organization and have high incidence rates and management personnel willing to support the behavioral process.

Step 5. Make a final report and presentation. This is essentially a sales presentation, as one of the main purposes of assessment is to create management support. Stress the realistic benefits of systematic implementation prior to discussing the details of your recommendations.

For additional details about this five-step assessment process (such as recommended survey questions and an outline for your assessment report), refer to McSween’s book *The Values-Based Safety Process: Improving Your Safety Culture with a Behavioral Approach* (1995).

An alternative assessment approach for determining organizational readiness is suggested by Cooper. This approach is based on a *Praxis Six Cell Analysis Model* that allows companies to conduct their readiness assessment at minimal cost.

The *Praxis Six Cell Analysis Model* is based on a performance equation:

\[ \text{Motivation} \times \text{Ability} = \text{Performance} \]
The Praxis Model asks six questions at three organizational levels as follows:

To Individuals:
1. Am I happy to behave safely?
2. Do I know how to behave safely?

To Workgroups:
1. How will others respond if I behave safely?
2. Will others provide the help, authority, information, and resources I need to behave safely?

To the Workgroups from an Organizational Perspective:
1. What rewards will we get for behaving safely?
2. Do our structures, systems, and environment facilitate or block us from behaving safely?

Truthful and positive responses to all six questions suggest readiness for a behavioral safety approach. If one or more of the questions is answered negatively, it is in the organization’s interest to address the issues involved prior to implementation of a behavioral approach. For additional details, including how to use the readiness survey, visit www.behavioral-safety.com.

Developing an Inventory of Critical Safety-Related Behaviors

Behavioral safety involves measuring safety-related behaviors. Krause notes that the behavioral inventory is how we measure behavioral safety performance. Benefits of using a Critical Safety Behavior inventory for continuous safety improvement include:

- Problem solving driven by data accumulated is accurate instead of superstitious.
- Performance feedback based on these data is true, rather than false feedback that impairs people’s judgment about the causes of accidents.
- Safety training and incident analysis based on the behavioral inven-
What Are Critical Behaviors and Why Develop an Inventory of Critical Behaviors?

Critical behaviors are those actions that contribute to good safety performance or, conversely, that lead to injuries. Krause observes, “In most accidents at-risk behavior is the final common pathway. Each facility, characterized by particular production processes, products, and workforce, has a characteristic cluster of these final common pathways that are responsible for a highly significant percentage of its safety incidents” (1995, p. 113).

The challenge is to (1) identify the specific safety-related behaviors for a particular site, (2) establish an inventory of operational definitions for these behaviors, and (3) prepare a checklist based on these critical behaviors for observers to use. Developing this critical behavior inventory serves the following purposes:

• Directs the steering team where to focus its efforts for maximum impact
• Writes operational definitions that provide detailed descriptions of the safe way to perform critical behaviors
• Establishes the criteria for behavioral observations and checklists
• Provides an upstream measurement for safety performance

How Can We Identify Critical Behaviors?

Krause (1997, p. 180) suggests four ways to identify critical behaviors at a site:

1. Make a behavioral analysis of incidence reports
2. Interview workers
3. Observe workers while they work
4. Review work rules, job safety analyses, and procedure manuals

These four approaches are rather self-explanatory, but the reader interested in learning more detail is referred to The Behavior-Based
Safety Process: Managing Involvement for an Injury-free Culture (Krause, 1997). Ultimately each site should develop their own inventory of critical behaviors, and the list is usually not that long—perhaps 15 to 25 behaviors that are genuinely crucial to safety performance. Earnest advises that the list be kept to five or ten critical behaviors (1994, p. 3).

It is worth noting again that developing job-specific behaviors is very similar to performing a job safety analysis (JSA) or job hazard analysis (JHA). JHAs and JSAs, however, are not normally written as operational definitions of behavior. It is suggested that organizations upgrade their JSAs to behavioral standards (Krause, 1997, p. 185).

Steps of the Observation Process

There is no one best way to perform observations—techniques and methods depend on the organization and the existing safety culture. It is recommended each site tailor the observation process to its particular needs. If a site benchmarks to another site, the suggested strategy is to use the benchmark sites’ approach as a guide but develop specific procedures to accommodate the process locally.

The following steps are provided as a broad guide for observations:

1. **Select specific behaviors to observe as derived from the Critical Behavior Inventory.** The strategy for this step is to pinpoint safe practices (McSween, 1995, p. 106). These behaviors should be very clear and specific so that everyone trained in the observation process can agree on the safe way to perform a task. If the organization has developed a critical behavior inventory, and established operational definitions as part of the inventory, then critical safe practices have already been identified.

2. **Develop behavioral checklists for particular jobs and departments.** When checklists are developed, they are not cast in stone. First draft checklists, then revise and improve them as necessary to aid the observation process. In general, checklists should be developed for each area. Keep the list of checklists as small as practical. A good practice is to keep the checklists short and manageable—ensure checklists focus on the critical behaviors. Don’t forget to
include management and leadership levels in the mix for critical behavior checklists.

3. **Develop specific procedures for the observation process.** Determine who will observe and who will be observed, when observations will take place, and how long observations should take and other details of the process. In general, it is recommended that behavioral observations be conducted at least once per shift (Earnest, 1994, p. 4). Various organizations follow different schedules depending on the size of the workforce and the level of risks existing at the site. A problem with infrequent sampling is that it is not as effective. Some organizations conduct weekly, daily, or various other schedules of observations.

4. **Determine procedures for data processing and feedback.** Who will collect the data? Who will analyze the data? How will the data be shared with workgroups and the site as a whole? How often will the data be shared? What will be the criteria for the data to be tracked and shared?

**Steps for Continuous Improvement**

Establish a system to continuously improve the observation process. **Items to consider for inclusion in this system:**

- Perform trial runs and fine-tune the observation checklist and process (McSween, 1995, p. 134).
- Conduct a management review of the process to encourage management input.
- Analyze data to identify areas for follow-up. Examples include trend analysis and Pareto charts to determine priorities.
- Follow-up on targeted items. This is an opportunity for problem solving so that the same problems do not occur over and over.

Organizations may use continuous improvement tools, such as Geller’s *DO IT* process. **This tool presents behavioral safety as a continuous four-step process as follows:**

**D:** Define the critical target behavior(s) to increase or decrease.
O: Observe the target behaviors during a preintervention baseline period to set behavior-change goals.

I: Intervene to change target behavior(s) in the desired directions.

T: Test the impact of the intervention by continuing to observe and record the target behavior(s) during the intervention program (Geller, 2001, p. 131).

In addition to or in place of DO IT, organizations are encouraged to use their existing continuous improvement systems, such as Deming’s Plan, Do, Study, Act—PDSA, to improve their behavioral safety process (Deming, 1986). This helps to integrate the behavioral safety process into the management system and culture. Two important related points to keep in mind:

- Behavioral safety is about integrating behavioral management into the management of safety in your company.
- Behavioral safety is a process to improve behaviors at all levels, not just a sequence of activities, meetings, and observations (Pounds 1997, p. 7).

**Safety Coaching**

Geller defines coaching as “essentially a process of one-on-one observation and feedback” (2001, p. 239). One-on-one coaching is a high-level intervention and involves imparting both direction and motivation. Behavioral safety coaching today commonly involves peer-to-peer coaching, although supervisor-to-worker coaching is not uncommon.

Sports teams need good coaches to perform at their best. Similarly, workgroups and organizations need good coaches to meet the objective of improving behavior and reducing injuries.

In sports, athletic coaches normally have a higher status than their players. In the workplace, safety coaches are more similar to Player-Coaches: they are at the same level as their coworkers whom they observe and provide feedback to. An employee does not become an expert or superior just because he or she functions as a safety coach. It is normally a peer-to-peer process.
The long-term effectiveness of behavioral observations is contingent on appropriate feedback or coaching following an observation. Feedback is a powerful way to influence future behaviors.

**Steps in the Coaching Process**

Sarkus reveals “Seven Cs” for coaching in his book *The Safety Coach*. The book is divided into three sections illustrating (1) the power of reinforcement, (2) the power of relationships, and (3) the power of information.

**The Power of Reinforcement.** Positive feedback tends to reinforce safe behavior. This feedback should be confirming, or socially rewarding, between coaches and coworkers. Sarkus notes that confirming feedback should be immediate, frequent, and favorable to be most effective (2001, p. 32). Sarkus recommends consistently confirming in favor of correcting to help coworkers become more committed to safety and less fixated on mere compliance.

Correcting feedback may be needed when employees are working at risk. Sarkus suggests starting by asking questions to determine if there are obstacles to working safely. Correcting feedback must be delivered in a constructive manner, or it may be perceived as punishment. The safety coach should skillfully open the door for safer performance by asking questions, encouraging two-way conversation, and redirecting behavior as appropriate.

There are usually many more opportunities for confirming feedback than for correcting feedback. In either case the feedback should be very specific. Vague feedback, such as “Good job” or “Be more careful,” has no place in safety coaching.

**The Power of Relationships.** Good coaches build positive relationships. Sarkus discusses four Cs that help safety coaches develop their relationships:

- **Caring:** Caring leaders develop a sense of community where people support each other. Caring is a foundation that provides meaning to the actions safety coaches take to prevent injuries.

- **Collaborating:** Collaborating means involving workers in meaningful ways to improve safety. Survival in today’s competitive soci-
ety, much less great safety performance, requires collaborating employees.

- **Coaching:** Coaching is about modeling the way and setting a great example. Great coaches set high standards, and they have a strong desire to bring out the best in others.

- **Conciliating:** To conciliate means to resolve disputes or conflicts so that relationships can be repaired. Some tips Sarkus gives for conciliating include focusing on the issue, listening up, and sticking to the facts (2001, p. 90).

The Power of Information. The final “C” Sarkus discusses is clarifying. Safety coaches and leaders influence behavior by clarifying safety values. Values in particular, and feedback in general, may be imparted through one-to-one communication or in group settings.

**How to Provide Meaningful Feedback**

Safety coaches observe and then give feedback. Feedback must be meaningful and meet certain criteria to be effective. The following are characteristics of meaningful feedback, whether the feedback is confirming, constructive, or a combination of the two:

- **Feedback should be specific.** Vague feedback is essentially useless, and potentially counterproductive or harmful.

- **Feedback should be immediate or quick.** In general, the sooner feedback is provided, the more effective it is. Sports coaches have a good opportunity to help players improve by providing immediate feedback during practice and games.

- **Individual feedback should be given privately.** There may be occasional exceptions to this, but the outcome or intent of feedback, even if inadvertent, should not embarrass others.

- **Coaches should listen actively.** Allow your coworker to finish what he/she says without interrupting. Maintain eye contact and ask open-ended questions to clarify the meaning of statements. As Covey (1989) advises, “Seek first to understand, then to be understood.”
Common Performance Metrics for Behavioral Safety

Most organizations measure safety performance based on injuries and injury rates. Measuring behaviors adds another dimension—upstream or leading metrics—to the existing trailing metrics of injuries. Probably the most common behavioral measure is percent safe, a measurement of the critical behavior inventory calculating the percentage of safe behaviors from the total behaviors observed (or sampled). Although the percent safe measure is unlikely to be absolutely accurate, it’s usually close enough to give a good idea of actual behaviors.

Safe behavior results should be tracked, graphed, and posted regularly for everyone to see. *There are at least two benefits expected from posting safe behavior percentages:*

1. Posting provides everyone with knowledge of results. No one knows what the percent safe behavior is in various areas without behavioral sampling, tracking, and posting. This awareness of risky behavior and trends is a beginning step toward improvement.
2. Tracking and posting safe behavior scores can be motivational. If behavior scores are tracked on a regular basis, everyone can see if performance is improving, remaining steady, or declining. People recognize they have an opportunity to contribute to the safety process.

It should be noted that percent safe should not be overly emphasized as there can be a temptation to falsify the numbers.

*Other behavioral measures include, but are not limited to,*

- Recording the number of behavioral observations performed over a period of time. Organizations may choose to establish goals for the number of behavioral observations to conduct per week or per month. Too much emphasis should not be placed on the number of observations conducted, as the quality may suffer in order to produce a large quantity of observations.
- Another positive measure is the percentage of employees participat-
ing in the behavioral process over a period of time. Each site can establish its own criteria for participation.

- Other behavior/activity measures include participation in safety meetings, submission of safety suggestions that are implemented, number of near-hit reports over a period of time, number of JSA s performed or updated, and number of safety corrections made from Work Orders or similar avenues.

As noted earlier, these measures add a proactive dimension to traditional safety measures, which tend to be based on injuries, failures, and mistakes. These behavioral measures are all positive and measure what an organization and individuals achieve and accomplish, rather than their failures.

**Potential Barriers to Successful Implementation of Behavioral Safety**

Although the concepts and principles of behavioral safety are simple and straightforward, implementation issues can be difficult to handle and complex to understand. It only takes one persistent problem to undermine a behavioral safety effort. *The following list gives common examples of problems that may be encountered:*

- Failing to adequately plan and train prior to implementation. Employees must know what to observe and how to effectively intervene (Petersen, 2001, p. 53).
- Failing to provide planned, ongoing feedback to measure the effectiveness of the behavioral approach.
- Treating behavioral safety as a separate program rather than integrating it into existing management systems.
- Overemphasizing results (injury measurements). In numbers-oriented companies, when the results are not achieved the tendency is to find someone to blame (McSween, 1998, p. 47).
- Looking at behavior only without looking at the cause of the behavior. There is a void in the worker-focused model of behavioral safety when evidence of root-causal analysis of behavior is missing.
Manuele stresses that “analysis be performed to identify root-causal factors of at-risk behavior. It should also be made clear that, for systemic causal factors, engineering and work method revisions must be the first considerations” (Manuele, 1998, p. 37).

- Overemphasizing the process (clarifying requirements, standardizing procedures, and establishing measures for key steps). Many of the problems in organizations are not in a particular process but in relationships between employees and managers. In many cases the cause of problems is managerial practices that destroy interpersonal relationships, creating distrust and discouraging employees from bringing safety matters to the attention of management. Most current problem-solving tools are useful for addressing process issues, but they are often inadequate for addressing behavioral issues (McSween, 1998, p. 48).

- Failing to get workforce buy-in. This may come about because the management team, without consultation, has imposed the system on the workforce (Cooper, 1999).

- Failing to target behaviors leading to injuries with observation checklists, and not defining critical behaviors with sufficient precision.

- Failing to enlist workforce participation in developing behavioral checklists, and targeting the behaviors not acceptable to the workforce.

- Using observation checklists to focus on unsafe conditions instead of risky behaviors. Behavioral safety should not be used as an audit of unsafe conditions, although sometimes behaviors lead to unsafe conditions.

- Trying to convey an optimistic picture of safety in their area in the use of percent safe scores that do not reflect the reality of the workplace.

- Punishing for failure to behave safely as indicated on the behavioral checklist. Punishment will undo everything the behavioral safety system tries to accomplish.

- Inadequately dealing with problems in safety improvement target-setting meetings. Common problems include insufficient prepara-
tion, insufficient time to discuss the issues, inconvenient meeting times (everyone cannot attend), and one or two individuals hijacking the sessions to air their grievances concerning what management has traditionally done or not done about safety.

- Performing observations at the same time every day. Observation sampling should be performed at random times throughout the week so it is unpredictable.

- Failing to institute a standardized procedure for people to hand in their completed observation checklists. This may result in the data for feedback becoming lost or mislaid.

- Having no computerized means to calculate and analyze the observation scores. It is cumbersome to process the data by hand and the quality of feedback suffers.

- Failing to conduct regular weekly feedback sessions. People are busy and stretched for time. The resulting perception is that management does not value the behavioral safety process, creating lack of buy-in.

- Failure to obtain ongoing management support. Managers do not see themselves as part of the problem and conclude they do not have anything to offer (Cooper, 1999).

The last twelve bullets above are adapted from an article by Sulzer-Azzeroff and Lischeid (1999) and may be viewed in more detail on Professor Cooper’s website at www.behavioral-safety.com. Behavioral safety efforts must anticipate and overcome these barriers to succeed. The next section gives advice on specific success factors.

**Success Factors for Behavioral Safety**

For a successful behavioral safety effort, numerous factors must work together in harmony. Ultimately, the key to safety success lies with management. *The following are essential features of the behavioral safety process:*

- Management must be visibly committed to the process. Examples of support include allowing people time to conduct observations,
encouraging everyone to behave safely, setting a safe example personally, facilitating target-setting and feedback sessions, providing needed resources for the effort, and being willing and able to handle any barriers that arise. Krause notes, “The ability of leadership to demonstrate its willingness to address issues is probably the single most important (success) factor” (Krause, 1998, p. 41).

- There must be a significant level of workforce participation in and understanding of the behavioral safety process. Workers must be partially empowered in meaningful ways. They must clearly understand specifics of the behavioral process, such as how observation data will be used, what their own role in the process is, and how strongly management is committed to the process. Workers must possess a strong technical knowledge of how behavioral safety works. “Profound knowledge drives success, not buzzwords” (Hansen, 2001, p. 114).

- Selection, training, and guidance of the Implementation Team are predictors of success (Krause, 1998, p. 41).

- Data must be collected and used for decision making and for continuous improvement. The collection, analysis, and publication of data are integral components of the process. All employees need to be aware of the progress or lack of progress in safe behaviors.

- The process must be well planned in advance. It is better to make sound decisions at the outset rather than to be blindsided by unexpected crises once the process has begun. Behavioral safety initiatives are major undertakings requiring thorough planning and understanding prior to their beginning.

- Training and communication must be adequate for all levels to teach the necessary skills to identify critical behaviors, conduct observations, provide feedback, and perform problem-solving activities.

- All levels of personnel must be involved in the process.

- The behavioral process must be designed to meet the specific needs and peculiar circumstances of the organization. “One size does not fit all . . .” (Hansen, 2001).

- The basic premise and key objective of a behavioral safety initiative
must be clearly established. “It’s about process improvement . . . not finding and fixing careless employees” (Hansen, 2001, p. 114).

- A high level of trust is ordinarily a prerequisite to successful implementation.

- Leadership must address the safety issues (hazards) existing in the environment and risks that occur in working situations. Leadership must deal with management system inadequacies.

- Safety management systems must be aligned with behavioral safety principles. When there is a lack of alignment the likelihood of success is reduced.

- There must be an emphasis on long-term continuous improvement of behaviors and performance rather than short-term gains.

- There must be systematic procedures for structured problem solving and resolution/follow-up for process improvement.

- Safety champions must be carefully selected and groomed. Individuals with leadership skills, even if not in positions of authority, should be considered for roles as safety champions. These individuals are enthusiastic about safety, well respected by their peers, considered team players, and carry considerable influence by their words and actions. Safety champions are needed at every level of an organization.

- The superior approach is to focus on positive achievements rather than lack of failures. Emphasis should be placed on safe rather than unsafe and achievements should be celebrated. Failures should be viewed as temporary obstacles or challenges that will be overcome during the long-term process of continuous improvement.

- Recognition for safe behavior and safety-related accomplishments should be integrated into the daily work culture. Safe behaviors may cease if individuals are not recognized and encouraged to continue working safely. Peer recognition and feedback are powerful tools to improve safety performance.

- Patience and persistence are required. Organizations must allow time for trust to evolve and allow the process to work. “Behavior
change in an organization is a long-term process that needs continuing investment over time” (Hansen, 2001, p. 115).

• Finally, as noted under “Barriers to Successful Implementation,” there must be a systematic analysis of why behaviors occur. This requires looking for root causes in the system and in the culture. This is a leadership issue—leadership is responsible for establishing the safety culture. Pounds explains how this is ultimately a leadership issue: “A culture is, at its core, the sum total of those behaviors that leadership rewards and punishes . . . consequently, the behaviors and results that a front-line supervisor rewards or punishes (values) reflect the behaviors, results and values demonstrated by the top of the organizational hierarchy. For the behaviors, changing a culture, therefore, means systematically determining the behaviors that are wanted by an organization, then systematically rewarding those behaviors when they occur” (1997, 13).

Conclusion

Experience and research verify the potency of behavioral safety. According to McSween, research findings demonstrate the value of behavioral safety:

The only empirical approach to improving safety that has proven to be effective is a behavioral safety process. Behavioral safety is the only approach that has routinely produced significant reductions in incidents in well-designed research studies. The approach involves employees using a systematically developed checklist as the basis for feedback on critical safety practices observed in work areas (1998, p. 49).

Questions

1. Why should people view safety as a process rather than a program?
2. Describe some ways to get employees to develop ownership in workplace safety.
3. What is involved in effective safety coaching?
4. Discuss the use of specific behavioral metrics in safety. Why
should behavioral measures be considered in addition to traditional measures?
5. What barriers do you think are the greatest impediment to behavioral safety success? How would you propose to overcome these barriers?
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Chapter 13

WORKPLACE VIOLENCE

J. Brett Carruthers, CSP, and Mark A. Friend, Ed.D., CSP

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Describe the extent of the workplace violence problem in the United States
• Compare the frequency of workplace violence fatalities to other causes of death on the job
• Identify the high-risk work environments experiencing workplace violence
• List several occupations where workplace violence statistics indicate a problem of concern for the safety professional
• List some of the factors that contribute to workplace violence
• Explain the importance of establishing a workplace violence prevention program
• Describe some of the strategies that companies can use to prevent or minimize the effects of workplace violence

Case Study

Jane Doe had walked to work on a January evening because she could not afford to own a car. Minimal skills and education left her vulnerable in a number of ways. Jane worked as a clerk in a combination gas station–convenience store. At approximately 1:30 a.m., an unknown assailant walked into the store, ostensibly to make a purchase, but in reality to rob the store. Maybe Jane knew the assailant, or
possibly he was afraid that she would recognize him later. In either case, before he left, Jane was dead, the victim of multiple stab wounds to the chest and neck. A few hundred dollars were missing from the cash drawer. Jane’s body was discovered when the next customer came in for a purchase; her assailant has yet to be found.

Introduction

Robbery and criminal acts are the primary motives for homicide at work, accounting for 60 percent of these deaths (Chavez, 1999). Approximately half of all homicide victims work in retail establishments such as grocery stores, restaurants, bars, and small gas stations. These traditional nighttime retailers are the most vulnerable (Toscano and Windau, 1994).

Managers and small business owners who have done little or nothing in the past to protect their property and, more importantly, their employees from robbery find themselves being attacked on all fronts. Not only are they more vulnerable to losses from criminal activity, but they will also find themselves increasingly subject to litigation and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) penalties. OSHA has developed several different guidelines and recommendations to reduce worker exposure to violence, but it has not yet initiated rulemaking. These various guidelines are available at OSHA’s website www.osha.gov. The guidelines developed are being enforced via the Occupational Safety and Health Act’s general duty clause.

Nighttime Retailing

The reasons for establishing operating guidelines are obvious and are based on the dangers faced by employees of nighttime retail operations. Employees like Jane can and must be protected. Here’s how it can be done.

As in any other area of safety, a written plan outlining policies designed to deal with the anticipated problem is written. The plan includes procedures for cash management, handling customers, and generally minimizing the likelihood of robbery. More importantly,
employees are trained, educated, and drilled on the steps to take should a robbery occur.

Planning includes acquiring and installing certain basic equipment. *Many nighttime retailers, particularly convenience stores and gas stations, benefit from the following:*

- Installing security camera(s) capable of recording robberies or criminal activities. This acts not only as a deterrent, but also as a means to prevent a perpetrator from returning to the establishment or other operations if apprehended. As a complement to the camera(s), height markers displaying measurements from the floor are placed adjacent to the entrance. The camera and the clerk can use these to help identify assailants.

- Installing a drop safe or other cash management device to limit the amount of accessible cash. Conspicuous notices stating the cash register contains small amounts of money, such as $50 or less, are placed near the entrances and cash registers. Employees are carefully instructed in cash management procedures—particularly to keep cash on hand low (OSHA National News Release, USDL 98-179, April 28, 1998).

- When feasible, installing a silent or personal alarm system to notify police or private security forces when a crime is in progress (OSHA National News Release, USDL 98-179, April 28, 1998). Prompt notification and response by law enforcement may save a life.

- Ensuring the store and parking lot are well illuminated during all operating hours. Limit the number of access doors that are open. Install mirrors to observe areas not observable from the checkout area. Avoid permitting employees to exit into poorly lit, unmonitored areas or isolated spots such as garbage areas and outdoor freezers. Employees might be assaulted leaving the premises and the assailant may use the door as a means of entrance (OSHA National News Release, USDL 98-179, April 28, 1998).

- Having at least two employees working at night to help protect each other. Many times a clerk is the victim of two would-be shoppers. One will distract the clerk while the other pulls a weapon, attacks, and disables the victim. If a second employee is not an economically
feasible alternative, then protective enclosures for the lone attendant are a must (OSHA National News Release, USDL 98-179, April 28, 1998).

In Florida, where the state statutes require one of the two alternatives, storeowners are turning to companies such as Pro Tec of Greenville, North Carolina, to help protect employees (Florida Department of Legal Affairs, Division of Victim Services, 1993, p. 13). All monies and the worker stay behind an open counter during the day and early evening. After traffic thins out and the store becomes more vulnerable, a laminated Plexiglas shield made of Lexan is dropped into place from overhead. With plate steel behind the counter and a clear shield between the employee and would-be assailant above the counter, a high level of protection is afforded against robbery and violence. In some cases, the unit is placed at the door of the store, with a transparent turnstile permitting the employee to pass goods to and receive money from customers. If the owner believes this will inhibit the sale of merchandise from the store, then the enclosure can be placed around the employee inside the store.

Shields convert the nighttime retail establishment into a veritable fortress in short order. They can stop the penetration of clubs, knives, and small-caliber firearms. Under the Florida Law, the enclosure must meet Underwriters Laboratory Standard UL 752 for Medium-Power Small Arms, Bullet-Resisting Equipment; or the American Society for Testing and Materials Standard D3935 (Classification PC110 B 3 0800700) (Florida Department of Legal Affairs, Division of Victim Services, 1993, p. 1567).

The Gas Mart where Jane was killed had advertising signs plastered all over the windows. Automobile and pedestrian traffic were unable to see into the store or to observe any crime in progress, permitting the murderer to commit his crime in relative privacy. His only concern was that a random shopper might enter the store before he left. Most convenience stores have large expanses of windows, and if they do not, they should. These windows should be kept open to the street with signage removed. A would-be thief is more likely to avoid a well-lit, windowed store because a crime in progress can be more easily spotted by a passerby or police patrol in the area.
Jane was wearing street clothes when the crime in her store took place. All store personnel should wear distinctive smocks or uniforms. Many stores engage in this practice for image purposes, but it is also a sound safety practice. In the event the police are summoned to a crime in progress, it will be easier for them to distinguish the perpetrator from the victim(s).

In spite of the above precautions, if a robbery does occur, store personnel should be instructed to fully cooperate with the robber. The silent alarm should be activated only if it can be done so discreetly. Employees are instructed to speak only in direct response to the perpetrator’s questions and not to volunteer additional information. They should be as observant as possible and attempt to avoid confrontation (Butterworth, 1993).

Once the robber leaves the store, employees should immediately lock the doors and call the police. They should ask any witnesses to stay until police arrive and to not discuss the incident until that time. Everyone should avoid touching any surfaces the perpetrator may have touched (Butterworth, 1993).

Anticipating and preparing for events such as robbery should be an important part of any employer’s plans—especially for nighttime retailers. The costs of formalizing and executing these plans is minimal compared to the potential saving of the lives and well-being of employees. Although legislation has not forced action in every state, it is forthcoming. Relatively inexpensive steps to protect the establishment’s business investment and employees against robbery and associated violence can save in terms of criminal losses and litigation.

**Workplace Epidemic of Violence**

Dennis Johnson, clinical psychologist and president of Behavior Analysts & Consultants, a management firm base that closely tracks workplace violence, stated, “Workplace violence is the new poison of corporate America. It is not just a reflection of a violent society, but of that violent society interacting with workplace dynamics that have significantly changed from 10 or 15 years ago” (Dunkel, 1994, p. 40). Since that time, the numbers have increased, especially when terrorist actions are considered forms of workplace violence.
Background

Although job-related homicides have dropped in recent years, homicide remains a leading cause of job-related deaths, with many violent crimes committed while the victim is working or on duty. The motive behind many of these homicides is disputes among coworkers, customers, or domestic partners. These statistics summarize the vastness of the workplace violence epidemic:

- There are now nearly two million violent victimizations per year in the workplace.
- Occupational homicide has been a leading cause of death by injury in the workplace for women.
- One in four U.S. workers will be attacked, threatened, or harassed during their work career.

High-Risk Workplaces

All workplaces are vulnerable to violence: family-owned businesses, government, major corporations, manufacturers, the military, nonprofit, private, and public organizations, and retail, service, and other small businesses. Among U.S. workplaces, retail trades have some of the highest number of occupational homicides. Parking lots and garages on work premises account for a large percentage of violent crime locations.

High-Risk Occupations

The occupation with the highest rate of occupational homicide has been taxicab drivers/chauffeurs. “Taxi drivers are 60 times more likely than other workers to be murdered on the job” according to then Secretary of Labor Alexis Herman (OSHA National News Release, May 9, 2000). Other high-risk occupations include law enforcement officers, hotel clerks, and gas station workers. Although law enforcement officers are more likely to suffer the effects of workplace violence, taxi drivers are more likely to die on the job. Refer to table 13-2 for further details (Warchol, 1998).
Workplace violence has a staggering impact on the emotional and fiscal health of an organization. Direct and indirect costs include direct legal and medical costs, out-of-court settlements, death benefits, employee assistance programs, security-related services and products, business disruption, negative public and media relations, diverted resources, emotional scarring, extended litigation, fear, lost personnel, name/product tainting, facility repairs, turnover, and wasted knee-jerk reaction costs.

Cost to Business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location where victimization occurred</th>
<th>Percent of victimization occurring at location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant, bar, or nightclub</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office, factory, or warehouse</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other commercial establishment</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On school property</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking lot/garage</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On public property</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13-2. High-risk occupations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Risk Rate Per 1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Officers</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Security Guards</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Drivers</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison Guards</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartenders</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Professionals</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Station Attendants</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience, Liquor Store Clerks</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior High/Middle School Teachers</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Drivers</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One incident of workplace violence can decimate a smaller business and ravage a larger one. A recent survey completed by the Workplace Violence Research Institute indicates the estimates of the costs generally associated with workplace violence are conservative at best. The survey revealed the following:

- Workplace violence costs business over $13.5 billion per year in medical costs.
- Lost wages and productivity, legal expenses, property damage, and increased security costs add additional billions (Workplace Violence, 2002).
- A single incident of workplace violence loses 1,750,000 workdays per year (Workplace Violence, 2002).
- Victims of workplace assault lost an average of five workdays.
- Estimates of the cost of workplace violence run as high as $1 million per incident.
- Rape/sexual assault of women is the number one source of security liability claims. The average settlement award for these claims is well into the hundreds of thousands of dollars, whereas the average verdict award is in the millions.

**Victimization of the American Workforce**

**Severity of Victimization**

Approximately two million employees become victims of violent crime while performing their jobs each year (Workplace Violence, 2002). The victims range from store clerks and taxi drivers to supervisors and university professors.

_The National Crime Victimization Survey, conducted from 1993 to 1999, highlighted several key points:_

- Men are more likely than women to experience a violent crime. However, women were as likely as men to become victims of theft while working.
- Over 30 percent of victims faced an armed aggressor.
• It has been estimated that over half of all workplace victimizations are not reported to the police (Bachman, 1994, p. 1).

When victims were questioned as to why they did not report attacks, 40 percent stated they believed the event was minor or a private matter. An additional 27 percent did not report the incident to the police because they reported it internally to company representatives. These representatives included security officers, human resource department personnel, or their manager (Bachman, 1994).

Profile of Victims

The victims of workplace violence can be anybody, and no one is immune. Examples of certain activities that tend to put workers at risk are

• Working with the public
• Handling money, valuables, or prescription drugs (e.g., cashiers, pharmacists)
• Carrying out inspection or enforcement duties (e.g., government employees)
• Providing service, care, advice, or education (e.g., health care staff, teachers)
• Working with unstable or volatile persons (e.g., social services or criminal justice system employees)
• Working in premises where alcohol is served (e.g., food and beverage staff)
• Working alone, in small numbers (e.g., store clerks, real estate agents), or in isolated or low-traffic areas (e.g., washrooms, storage areas, utility rooms)
• Working in community-based settings (e.g., nurses, social workers, and other home visitors)
• Having a mobile workplace (e.g., taxicab)
• Working during periods of intense organizational change (e.g., strikes, downsizing)
(http://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/psychosocial/violence.html)
Formula for Failure

The Ingredients List

_Fear and violence in the workplace can be ascribed to various factors:_

- Robbery—predominant motive in occupational homicides
- Technological innovations
- Prevalence of violence in society
- Diversity and change in the workplace
- Shifts of responsibility at home
- Job loss or demotion

Historically, researchers have attempted to profile perpetrators of workplace violence. They have considered such characteristics as having a fascination with guns. This could apply to hunters, military officers, or members of police departments. Many of the other characteristics are even less useful. Knowing someone is from a broken home is no reason not to hire that individual or to use him or her in a sensitive position. The same could be said about aggressiveness. On one hand, a person with a history of violent behavior is more likely to exhibit the same characteristics in the future. However, otherwise docile employees can be pushed to the breaking point under high-pressure conditions. Descriptions by neighbors of murderers from their neighborhoods bear this out. “He was a likeable individual. He pretty much kept to himself and didn’t bother anyone.”

Economic demands put considerable pressure on otherwise stable employees. Displacement or wage loss through company relocation, downsizing, or market changes creates extremely adverse conditions for workers. Domestic disturbances and problems are being carried into the workplace at an alarming rate. With schedules and demands on American workers pushing them to the breaking point, some will and do suffer breakdowns. For the typical wage earner with a mortgage, car payments, and other debts, even small changes in the monthly paycheck can create considerable frustration and devastation.
Baking the Ingredients

A highly stressed workplace is the most susceptible to violence. The following factors add considerably to the problem:

- Management does not talk with or delegate control to employees.
- Employees are micromanaged by doting managers.
- Employee work is fast paced and performed in poor environmental conditions.
- Overtime is frequent and mandatory.
- Employee benefits have recently been cut (Joyner and McDade, 1994, p. A8).

If workplace downsizing is coupled with a combination of any or all of the above, an extremely stressful situation has been created. As stress from the workplace and family builds, the displaced worker, who may already be on the edge, becomes more desperate and isolated. This combination can become lethal—making the time ripe for acts of violence to occur.

Recognizing the Potential Aggressor

Aggressors can be anyone: clients (current and former), competitors, criminals, current and former employees, current and former relationship partners, customers, drug addicts, gangs, or terrorists. Whoever the aggressor may be, there are usually numerous red flags present.

Disgruntled Employee Red Flags

Employees exhibiting marked changes in their demeanor and performance—for example, those who are normally quiet suddenly becoming loud, boisterous, or disgruntled—may signal the beginning of a volatile situation. During the satellite video conference on workplace violence presented by the George Washington National Satellite Network (1994), FBI Special Agent Eugene A. Rugala tendered the
following list of red flags of human behavior that should be closely monitored:

- History of exhibiting violent behavior
- Obsessing with weapons, and collecting and compulsively reading gun magazines
- Carrying a concealed weapon
- Making direct or veiled threats
- Using intimidation or instilling fear in others
- Maintaining obsessive involvement with job
- Acting as a loner
- Exhibiting unwanted romantic interest in coworker
- Sexually harassing opposite gender coworkers
- Exhibiting paranoid behavior
- Exhibiting a lack of willingness to accept criticism
- Carrying a grudge
- Exhibiting recent family, financial, and/or personal problems
- Undue interest in publicized violent events
- Testing limits of acceptable behavior
- Suffering from stress in the workplace such as layoffs, reduction in forces, and labor disputes
- Showing extreme changes in behavior or stated beliefs (Rugala, 1994).

Special Agent Rugala emphasized one key point: “An employee can manifest one or all of these traits and never act out violently! This is where the importance of having solid employee/supervisor relationship cannot be underscored!” (Rugala, 1994).

Employee Disenchantment

Employee disenchantment can be a major source of stress, and through time, stress can build to the point of the person “snapping,”
according to Special Agent Rugala. The following list highlights causes of employee disenchantment:

- Confusion
- Lack of trust
- Not being listened to
- No time to solve problems
- Office politics
- Someone solving problems for you
- Not knowing whether you are succeeding
- Indiscriminate application of rules
- Boss takes credit for others’ ideas and work
- Believing you cannot make a difference
- Meaningless job (Rugala, 1994).

The response to stress may be an act of violence, often exhibited as revenge:

**Revenge**

Revenge comes in a variety of forms. Carefully plotted revenge can destroy a company. The following are different types of revenge:

- Product tampering
- Rumormongering
- Theft of property
- Theft of secrets
- Stalking
- Kidnapping executives
- Threats
- Harassment
The following are examples of revenge:

- The Tylenol tampering incident in the 1970s had a profound effect on Johnson & Johnson, as well as every other manufacturer of consumer medical products, and on how these products were sealed and packaged.

- *Encyclopedia Britannica* experienced a serious product-tampering incident when a discharged employee changed all references of Allah to Jesus. Thousands of copies were printed before the change was discovered.

- A terminated employee on his way out of the company’s headquarters building lobby gouged several priceless *Van Gogh* paintings, destroying them.

- A discharged telephone company employee tapped the telephones of several prominent citizens in the community and then attempted to extort money from them to keep the information he acquired quiet.

- An employee of a fast-food restaurant who was fired changed the slogan on the cash register receipt to a racial epithet, insulting numerous customers.

These are only a few examples of thousands of acts of revenge that take place in the workplace annually. A malicious employee wanting to pay back the company because he was discharged can cause significant damage to an organization’s image and reputation.

**Violence**

*Unfortunately, some individuals resort to violent acts, including*

- Intimidation
- Assault
- Rape
• Robbery
• Property destruction

Proactive intervention is required on the part of the employer.

**Defusing a Time Bomb: The Violent Employee**

While there is no cure for workplace violence, *there are proactive, preventive steps that can be implemented to provide a realistic approach to defusing workplace violence before it occurs:*

1. Establish a clear nonharassment policy
2. Perform pre-employment screening
3. Establish a drug testing program
4. Conduct employee and management training in stress management and communications
5. Plan for crisis management
6. Establish proper security measures
7. Foster a working liaison with local law enforcement (Branch Walton, personal communication, June 23, 1994)

**Nonharassment Policy**

Senior management must make it crystal clear to its employees that harassment and threats will not be tolerated. Garry Mathiason, a San Francisco lawyer who specializes in workplace liability law, stated, “If you have a policy or plan in place, then what is tolerated and what is not become part of the culture” (Dunkel, 1994, p. 70). This is especially important with verbal threats. Company policy must be firm that verbal threats will not be tolerated. Mathiason goes on to say, “If you doubt me (ask yourself) when was the last time you made a joke going through an airport metal detector?” (Dunkel, 1994, p. 70).
Pre-Employment Screening

The significance of this tool cannot be understated. How involved your organization gets with pre-employment screening will be a function of the nature of your company’s business, its culture, and the sensitivity of the position being filled.

Before any pre-employment screening is done, consult with your organization’s legal counsel on the proposed pre-employment screening to be conducted. This is vitally important and can save your organization significant expense should a pre-employment screening tool be considered to discriminate in your state (Lynn C. Outwater, personal communication, February 15, 1995).

Target Stores in Oakland, California, asked prospective security guards to take the Rodgers Condensed CPIMMPI written prescreen (a psychological screening tool). An attorney for the plaintiffs, in a class-action lawsuit, hinted that a number of the questions on the long-used exam were “extremely invasive on matters of sexuality, religion, bodily functions, and the like” (Albrecht and Mantell, 1994, p. 50). Under the settlement agreement, Target Stores paid $1.3 million to 2,500 awardees and agreed to ban the test in its 113 California stores.

What pre-employment screening tools should be used? The following are a minimum:

1. Work history verification
2. Military history verification
3. Credit history
4. Driving record check
5. Criminal history check

Before using any of the above screening tools, check with legal counsel because all the above may not be legal in every state. Red flags are unexplained gaps in employment of greater than 30 days; scant details of disciplinary matters in military service; dire financial circumstances (high debt, home foreclosure, etc.) in the credit history; and numerous accidents or traffic violations on the driving record. Obviously, one must evaluate these from a big-picture perspective; one
negative report may not be too bad, but taken as part of the whole it may be significant (Branch Walton, personal communication, February 15, 1995).

**Drug Testing**

As with the pre-employment screening, company legal counsel and personnel experienced with Employee Assistance Programs and workplace drug testing must be consulted before a drug-testing program is started (Bruce Wilkinson, personal communication, January 9, 2003).

Drug testing is conducted in three ways: pre-placement, random, and for-cause or reasonable suspicion. The total testing procedures are typically handled by an outside firm to avoid casting any suspicion on the process, including the selection of employees for random testing. Employment offers are conditional upon negative results from candidates’ drug tests.

**Employee and Management Training**

Employee and management training are critical to successfully handling a potentially explosive situation. Areas include stress management, conflict resolution, negotiating, and interpersonal communications. The training must be tailored to the organization’s philosophy and culture.

Training should be presented at two levels. First, it should be presented at the employee level to establish a baseline—a common foundation. Second, it must be presented at supervisory and managerial levels to establish a consistent manner in which various situations will be handled. Additionally, the training should include role playing to provide participants the opportunity to experience a variety of situations in a controlled learning atmosphere (Branch Walton, personal communication, June 23, 1994).

**Crisis Management Planning**

Planning for an incident involving workplace violence should be no different than planning for a fire or chemical spill. As a result of
the terrorist events on September 11, 2001, in this post-9/11 world, preplanning for an incident of workplace violence is mission critical. Having a plan of action in place that is easily executable will provide structure to an otherwise chaotic situation.

What should be in a crisis management plan for handling a workplace violence incident? In their book *Ticking Bombs: Defusing Workplace Violence in the Workplace*, Steve Albrecht and Michael Mantell provide the following list:

- Telephone numbers of local law enforcement and emergency services
- Notification of key company personnel (This list must include the company’s legal counsel.)
- Procedure for protecting the scene of a workplace violence incident for investigators
- Procedure for checking the integrity of your company’s data and computer systems
- Arrangements and retainer contracts for on-scene employee counseling immediately following a significant event (24-hour coverage)
- Training of senior site management in dealing with the media
- Designating an official company spokesperson and media relations procedure
- Procedure for providing grief- and trauma-recovery time for victims and related witnesses, bystanders, and employees
- Procedure for company sanctions and/or punishment for the instigators in lesser cases
- Arrangements with company Employee Assistance Program and psychological counseling programs to help employees cope with postincident stress management
- Procedure for handling family notification(s) of the victim(s) and the company’s aid package
- Procedure for handling cleanup and scene restoration (1994, pp. 221–242)
While the steps above deal specifically with handling an incident of workplace violence, another important procedure that must be developed is an effective termination procedure.

The termination procedure should include details on how to handle the termination process and must include at a minimum

• Handling the individual’s personal effects
• Preventing facility and information system access
• Collecting company property (credit cards, keys, access cards, identification badges, proprietary information, etc.)
• Handling outstanding expense reports
• Handling severance, vacation pay, and other continued benefits
• Handling difficult employee terminations (Branch Walton, personal communication, June 23, 1994).

The above steps are not all-inclusive and may not apply in all situations. They must be tailored to each company’s structure and uniqueness.

Proper Security Measures

Sound security measures begin with the most basic and yet the most difficult measure—controlling access to the facility. Each entrance to a building should have some type of access control device. These devices can be as simple as keyed entrances or as complex as bar code or magnetic card readers or closed circuit cameras with intercoms and buzzers. Diligent access control will be the most daunting element an organization must overcome when implementing revised security measures.

Another access control measure is controlling the visitor entrance to the facility by having specific procedures for handling visitors. Visitors are required to sign in at specified point(s) and must be accompanied by an authorized escort at all times. They are never to be given the freedom to roam at will within a facility.

One final means of controlling access to a facility is to ensure
that devices installed to control facility access are not compromised. Compromising security includes purposefully leaving doors open or revealing access codes, duplicating keys, and leaving fence gates unlocked. *Access control is only as good as management’s commitment to facility security management.*

Another basic security measure is to issue color photo identification cards. The following information should be on the identification badge:

- Employee’s name and signature
- Employee’s identification badge serial number
- The signature of the person authorized to authenticate and issue identification badges

There are numerous other security measures that can be undertaken. The key is to customize the measures necessary for your organization’s needs. Finally, whether your company takes a low-profile approach (access control, identification badges) to security or a highly visible approach (closed circuit television cameras, private security officers), a facility’s security management program should be given the same management attention as safety, operations, sales, and marketing (Branch Walton, personal communication, June 23, 1995).

**Liaison with Local Law Enforcement**

During a crisis situation, it is helpful to know the key personnel in the local law enforcement organization and their commitment to assisting your organization. This is not the time to be exchanging initial introductions (Branch Walton, personal communication, June 23, 1995).

This plan does not guarantee workplace violence will not occur; however, it will better prepare the organization should an event occur.

**Conclusion**

This chapter reviewed the occupational epidemic sweeping the United States commonly referred to as “workplace violence.” It is a problem
many safety professionals address in an attempt to reduce the risk of serious injury to thousands of working men and women across America. The workplace aggressor can be anyone from a disgruntled employee to a psychologically disturbed competitor. Workplace violence can be as traumatic as a seriously deranged man shooting an ex-boss and coworkers, as insidious as product tampering, or as horrendous as a terrorist attack. As with any other loss in the occupational environment, the prevention of workplace violence requires a comprehensive approach that includes everything from pre-employment screening to crisis management planning. No workplace is immune. With workplace homicide being the number one cause of occupational death for women and the third leading cause of death for all workers, safety professionals’ responsibilities include workplace security.

Questions

1. What is workplace violence? How far-reaching is this problem in the United States?
2. Why is knowledge of workplace violence important to a safety professional?
3. What are some of the factors that contribute to workplace violence?
4. List and describe some of the red flags that could indicate a disgruntled employee might become violent.
5. What are some of the acts of violence associated with workplace violence?
6. List and briefly describe the components of a comprehensive workplace violence program.
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Chapter 14

TERRORISM PREPAREDNESS

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Understand the compelling reasons for preparing for terrorist attack in business

• Identify some of the key historical events causing the United States and its citizens to prepare for terrorism

• List critical areas of concern that need to be addressed in terrorism preparation

• Understand the role of the safety and health professional in company terrorism planning activities

Case Study

Steve Smith is sitting at his desk on Monday morning when the call comes through. A bomb has been placed in the pesticide plant where he works. A quick mental review of chemicals stored on-site brings to mind vessels of materials that have IDLHs (Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health) as low as 1 part per million. Steve closes his eyes for five seconds before dialing 911. His panicked voice has more questions for the dispatcher than the dispatcher has answers. Steve is quickly transferred to a police official with little knowledge of pesticides or their potential for damage to the environment and the surrounding community. Steve is searching for answers as to what to do next but he is having trouble finding those answers.

Historic Information

February 26, 1993: An explosion roars through the underground parking garage at the World Trade Center in New York City. Smoke
rises and begins filling corridors and some escape routes. Six people are killed and over a thousand are injured, primarily due to the effects of smoke inhalation. The device—made primarily of urea nitrate, a fertilizer-based explosive—also included three large metal cylinders of compressed hydrogen gas. All of this was placed inside a rental truck and detonated by fuse. The explosion punched a hole approximately 150 feet in diameter and 50 feet deep. This was the first major attack to bring the reality of terrorism home to all U.S. citizens.

**April 19, 1995:** An explosion rips through the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. It completely tears off the north wall and leaves 168 dead, including 19 children. The terrorists had purchased ammonium nitrate from a farm cooperative and nitromethane racing fuel at a racetrack for materials to construct the bomb. It was rigged in a rental truck and parked in front of the building. A detonation-delay mechanism allowed the bomber to escape prior to the explosion. This was the most lethal terrorist attack to ever occur in the United States.

**September 11, 2001:** Four commercial airplanes are hijacked on the east coast. American Airlines Flight 11 out of Boston crashes into the North Tower of the World Trade Center with 92 people on board. United Airlines Flight 172, also out of Boston, crashes into the South Tower of the World Trade Center with 65 passengers on board. American Airlines Flight 77 out of Washington Dulles crashes into the Pentagon with 64 on board. Flight 93 out of Newark, New Jersey, crashes into a rural western Pennsylvania field with 44 people on board. An estimated additional 2,666 people on the ground die in the World Trade Center and another 125 are dead in the Pentagon. Over 3,000 people die in one day as a result of terrorist attacks (U.S. Department of State, 2002).

**Overview**

Nearly every American resident is touched, appalled, and angered by the tragedies mentioned above. The common thread is terrorism. The constant theme is increased violence, destruction of property, and loss of life. Each attack has taken terrorism to a new level in terms of
audacity, mayhem, cost, and human suffering. The problem of terrorism is a difficult one. The terrorist lives among us. He socializes, works, and moves around with the same freedoms as nearly every American citizen. Terrorists have access to as much information and know as much about our vulnerabilities as nearly any one of us—more than most because they have been studying our weaknesses. They pose as tourists, students, employees, and concerned Americans. The September 11 terrorists were living and moving among us and we, as Americans, were accepting of them and their behaviors.

Terrorists also come in domestic forms. Disgruntled employees, estranged spouses, extortionists, and others can terrorize our employees, our enterprise. A determined terrorist can wreak havoc on the most organized and otherwise safe business or government enterprise. Two-thirds as many Americans were lost in one day on September 11 as were killed in the workplace in a whole year. Most of those Americans were at work. The attacks in Oklahoma City, New York, and Washington were similar in that each was aimed at an American institution. Our government and the capitalist system were attacked. Targets of terrorist attacks are frequently symbolic of a philosophy, system, or group the terrorist opposes. The more noted the symbol, the more satisfying the target may appear to the terrorist. Depending on the motive, future terrorist attacks may continue to target major U.S. symbols. These might include centers of the U.S. philosophy, including large buildings, tourist attractions, and centers of activity. Of course, military bases, factories, and even schools and hospitals—any institutions supporting the infrastructure of the United States—face the risk of terrorist attack. Schools have suffered in recent years, with students turning on their employers, their teachers, and their peers with acts of mayhem and violence. From sniper attacks to confrontational shootings, enterprises are faced with the problem of protecting their employees as they work and move about performing their business and that of the enterprise.

**Responsibilities**

Although no written terrorism program is required by law, it could easily be included in any comprehensive safety or loss control pro-
gram. At minimum it should be attached to the emergency preparedness program. Many companies address bomb threats or even worker unrest, as in strikes or walkouts. Companies are also expanding their programs to incorporate terrorism and acts of subversion within the company. New architecture, building plans, and company activities are viewed in light of potential adverse effects of terrorist activities.

Planning

The approach to terrorism is similar to that of other safety problems. First is consideration of vulnerabilities and probabilities of attack. Similar industries in the United States and abroad are considered along with problems they may face. Industry associations may be able to help. Sometimes companies attempt to suppress adverse publicity, but a thorough search may turn up information not readily available. Determine whether your company or other similar companies have faced terrorist problems in the past. History may repeat itself.

In the initial stages of evaluation of your facility, carefully consider each of the following points to assess the facility for target potential.

Activities

What activities take place that might be attractive to a terrorist group? Ask if your company manufactures or maintains inventories of any products that could conceivably be turned into weapons or agents of destruction. From the activities in Oklahoma City and New York, it is obvious that seemingly innocuous items intended for useful activities can be turned against the company or others for terrorist purposes. Chemicals in large quantities—explosives, fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, fuels, energy sources, poisons—or mechanical devices are just a few of the items requiring careful guarding. Follow processes from beginning to end and identify easy or high-risk targets. Work toward protecting them first.
Production

Manufacturers of food products, drugs, cosmetics, or other items of mass consumption are potential targets. Carefully assess vulnerability to determine how the product could be contaminated or altered to pose a threat to a large portion of the population. If a company hosts events or maintains facilities with large gatherings of customers or even employees, it could be considered a target. Even the name of the company could be considered a drawing card. It was more than a coincidence that United and American Airlines were targeted for attacks on September 11.

Terrorist networks like Al Qaeda seek spectacular attacks that cause mass casualties and do severe damage to the U.S. economy. They attempt to produce maximum psychological trauma. Prime targets may be the air transportation industry, significant landmarks, or the energy industry, especially including nuclear power plants. Of course, one of the approaches of terrorism is to strike where it isn’t expected. Special precautions should be taken by the unsuspecting, such as hospitals, universities, and schools, and other areas where vulnerabilities exist and people’s guards may be down.

Bottlenecks

Look at the areas of operation in your facility that might act as bottlenecks in the event of attack. Consider any chemicals, products, or items in your facility that might be used against your company or others. Once you’ve looked at how you may be vulnerable, your next step is to find where. Assess your weakest points. Remember that it is much easier to control the perimeter of your property and keep would-be terrorists outside than it is to control them once they are on the inside.

Location of Your Property

Obviously, companies in populated areas with high traffic are less likely to notice unusual activity near the facility than those located in rural areas with one road in and one road out of the plant. Terrorists
planning to affect large numbers of people may well prefer to be in a more populated area for maximum effect. New properties should be carefully examined from a security standpoint, with special consideration given to the difficulty of protecting real estate. Although much of the decision may be governed by marketing or logistics, there are a few points that should be evaluated.

Are you in a high-profile area likely to be targeted? Downtown, airport, and tourist destinations have been targeted in the past. Is your location easily accessible by vehicular or even pedestrian traffic? Can you keep intruders at a distance from your facilities via fences or other barriers? Remote locations are less likely to have police patrol and they can be easier for terrorists to spot from the air. On the other hand, they can be less expensive to protect from ground intruders.

Fences and Entrances

Protecting the perimeter is relatively easy and inexpensive. Once the perpetrator is inside the facility, the capacity to inflict harm increases dramatically. Consider the likelihood a perpetrator would want to come into the facility by crashing through or climbing a fence and plan accordingly. Fences can be made as secure as you desire. Detectors are available to virtually eliminate the possibility of a perpetrator entering without security forces being made aware.

Clear Area around the Premises

Shrubbery, walls, and sculptures may all become potential hiding places for intruders or explosives. Depending on your desire to maintain a field of view, consider keeping a clear area extending to a specific distance around each building. Don’t give intruders a place to hide themselves or explosives.

Doors, Windows, and Other Openings

Doors, windows, and other openings into the property should be secured and possibly alarmed. Technology permits us to know any- time a building is penetrated. This is critical when no one is on the premises. If there is a way into your property it is likely to be through
an existing opening. Check them all, including those on the roof. Guard or alarm them to repel access by outsiders.

**Ventilation and HVAC Systems**

Ventilation and HVAC systems can be used as points of penetration or as delivery mechanisms for chemicals, including gases. All parts of these systems should be located behind fences or out of reach and secured.

**Lighting Systems**

Lighting by itself is a deterrent to some intruders. Poor planning with lighting can cause shaded areas that provide more cover for intruders than no lighting at all. Consider removing or lowering obstructions that cast enough shade for intruders to hide. If they cannot be removed or lowered, add lighting to illuminate the shaded areas they provide. Parking lots provide a special problem with lighting so consider isolating them behind fencing with secure entrances to and from the premises.

**Communication Systems**

Any communication systems used should be tamperproof to the extent possible. Backup systems and secondary backup systems help ensure continuity of operations during an emergency. Discuss communications with outside emergency responders to help them meet their needs during an adverse event. Utilize all communication systems in day-to-day activities to maximize their effectiveness, ensure systems will operate in times of emergency, and familiarize employees with their use.

**Security Systems**

Technology enables unguarded areas to become virtually impossible to enter undetected. Even moderately sophisticated security systems cannot be disabled or disarmed without sending out an alarm. All openings to the property can be protected. Even fences can be
enabled to send an alert if any intruder attempts to get close to, cut, climb, or get through them in any way. Pressure systems determine an intruder’s presence and detectors prevent unnoticed cutting or climbing.

**Surveillance Systems**

When combined with an appropriate security system, surveillance systems can be triggered anytime there is movement at any specified level. Sensitivity settings can be adjusted to ignore dogs or other small creatures but to detect human entrance. Cameras record all movements of people on the premises and can easily be connected to a server for 24-hour Internet access.

**Guards and Sentries**

Guards and sentries are needed when mechanical or electronic surveillance devices are inadequate. Of course, this may require 24-hour, 7-day-per-week coverage or a minimum of four full-time personnel. Depending on needs, animals may provide an adequate level of security, and systems can be adjusted so animals do not trigger them. Guards must be continually trained and notified of specific threats.

**Entry and Movement of Vehicles and Visitors**

A pass system may be initiated to check all entrants to the premises. Visitors can be screened by checking identification cards or prearranged passes. Employees may also be required to carry identification cards or to wear badges. Electronic badges or biometric identification points are used to monitor movement inside the premises and to limit access to certain locations.

**Entry and Movement of Employees**

Carefully check the credentials and backgrounds of all new employees. This is standard practice under any circumstances but even more so if the facility is subject to terrorist attack. Background
and criminal checks where legal minimize the possibility of employing individuals who propose to destroy the organization. Carefully review records and references. Long, unaccounted-for time periods are especially important, so find out why potential employees have large gaps in their employment history and work to verify their accounts of them.

Computers and Networks

Sensitive data, vulnerabilities, access points, and other critical information are frequently stored on accessible computers. Consider implementing the use of a firewall to help prevent outsiders from roaming through your system. If that isn’t feasible, computers with sensitive information can be physically and electronically isolated from others in the operation. When not in use they can be made more inaccessible by disconnecting them from any Internet or intranet system.

Threats

Terrorist threats may come indirectly via alerts received in the news media as disseminated by the FBI or Homeland Security. They may also come directly as bomb threats or other threatened hostilities aimed at disrupting company operations. The goal is often to disrupt operations whether or not a bomb exists or other hostilities are planned. Threats need to be taken seriously, but decisions concerning their handling should be made in advance. Cool thinking prevails as you play the “What if?” game. In the heat of a terrorist disaster or even a threat, it may be difficult to make wise decisions. Consider the possibilities that may be faced and, as with any other potential emergency, develop standard operating procedures (SOPs) for each. Once the threat of disaster no longer fits the mold around which the SOPs were developed, then make the necessary subsequent decisions. By following SOPs, the whole organization operates in a more rational, cohesive, and likely intelligent manner.

Terrorist threats are often designed to disrupt the organization. A threat of a bomb or some other terrorist action may only be designed
to slow or halt production. Yielding to such demands may be the
only response the terrorist seeks and may encourage the action to be
repeated. Attempting to identify the terrorist or the person delivering
the threat is helpful. Receptionists and others receiving the call are
instructed to learn all they can about the caller. It is important not
only to ask questions about the type of bomb and where it may be
hidden, but also to ask the caller for name and address. They may
respond to the question without thinking and you learn the source of
the call. Their responses may also give insight into the true nature of
the threat.

Whether or not a threat is perceived to be legitimate, decisions
must be made as to evacuate or protect-in-place. It may be safer to
stay inside than to move outside the building. A threat may, in fact,
be designed to lure workers or a particular employee outside the
building for malevolent reasons.

Mail and Packages

Procedures for handling of mail and packages help lessen the pos-
sibility that bombs or toxic substances can be delivered to the mail-
room and disseminated. Hand-addressed envelopes and packages are
automatically suspect, as are those of unknown origin or with no
return address. Mailrooms should always be located on the perimeter
of the building so, in the unlikely event of an explosion or contamina-
tion, damage can be limited.

Your Role

Once you have considered the possibilities, advise management of the
risks your company faces. Prepare a plan to deal with threats and acts
of terrorism. Consider employees at every level and their appropriate
responses. In most cases they are instructed to evacuate. Thought
must be given as to how and where they will move.

Employees with loved ones will likely want to unite with them.
In major cities evacuation routes may be jammed and chaos will pre-
vail if companies and municipalities have not worked together to
determine routes and destinations. A written plan to be implemented
in conjunction with municipal plans is essential. Following the disas-
ter at the World Trade Center, bridges and tunnels were closed for
security purposes. Many employees were trapped in Manhattan and
unable to communicate with loved ones. In some cases days or
months passed before relatives learned whether loved ones had been
injured or killed. Appropriate preplanning with governmental
authorities can help minimize the effects of transportation and com-
munication problems.

Insurance

The last approach to any loss control problem is insurance. Compa-
nies purchase insurance in case all efforts at loss prevention or control
fail. Historically, insurance companies have refused to pay losses
resulting from acts of war. Some major insurance companies are also
excluding acts of terrorism. The potential for loss from such acts can
be catastrophic for an individual carrier and it may not be willing to
run the risk. The federal government has promised to help but it has
capped its own liability. Companies may be forced to negotiate with
insurance carriers in agreeing to take certain precautionary measures
resulting in higher standards of prevention efforts in return for cover-
age. The alternative may be no coverage or very limited coverage.

Conclusion

There is no way to absolutely eliminate the risks faced with terrorism.
As with all forms of safety, the goal is to minimize exposure. Huge
sums of funds can be spent in pursuit of this task; however, one
thwarted terrorist attempt may make this expenditure a worthwhile
investment.

Questions

1. Talk to representatives from your local fire and police depart-
ments. Ask what preparations for terrorism they are helping local
companies to make.
2. Why has the safety and health professional become the primary
individual responsible for corporate terrorism response preparation? What is his or her role relative to that of line management?

3. From an insurance perspective, why would carriers be unwilling to underwrite companies against terrorist losses? What alternatives are available to the companies?

4. What companies are vulnerable to terrorist attack in your community? Why? Do they appear to be taking efforts to thwart those attacks? What efforts are they taking?

5. What steps can the government take that companies are unable to take in order to lessen the probability of terrorist attack?
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Chapter 15

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Outline basic recent environmental legal history
• Understand the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
• Identify the types of hazardous waste
• Determine hazardous waste generator status
• Know how to obtain an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identification number
• Understand the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)
• Understand the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA)
• Explain the Hazard Communications Standard (HAZCOM)

Case Study

Two employees of a North Carolina company were helping to unload a truck on a warm sunny day when they inadvertently punctured a container of an unknown liquid chemical. A quick check of the contents revealed they had spilled a concentrated pesticide, intended to be mixed with water before application. Their first response was to grab a garden hose and use the water pressure to push it to one side. In a short time, they began to feel faint and short of breath, so they decided to ask for help. Since no one at the facility had specialized training to deal with the spill, the manager on duty called the local fire department.
When the fire department arrived, it soon became evident their personnel lacked training to deal with spills of hazardous chemicals. They formulated a plan to wash the remainder into the storm drain and use a vacuum truck to intercept the waste as it flowed through the system. A few minutes after the flushing began, the crew at the spill site received a call from the group at the truck asking how soon the flushing would begin. As it turns out, the truck was stationed at the wrong storm sewer opening and it missed capturing the contents. By the time all the mistakes were remedied, cleanup costs amounted to over $50,000.

Introduction

The increase in environmental regulation is probably the most significant legal development in America in the last 50 years. Safety practitioners do not need a detailed knowledge of the fine points of these laws and regulations. They do, however, need a basic understanding of the structure, scope, and framework of these laws in order to effectively measure and evaluate the nature of the compliance task faced.

Many of the environmental problems faced by the safety practitioner are covered or touched on by the RCRA. When this act was passed in 1976, Congress was attempting to complete a series of legislative acts designed to protect the environment. A brief overview of some of the legislation that led to the passage of RCRA will be useful.

The Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (HMTA) was passed in 1975 to give the Department of Transportation (DOT) the authority to regulate the transportation of hazardous materials by air, waterways, rail, or highway. To avoid exposing large populated areas to significant risks from exposure to hazardous materials, the DOT was given authority to establish routes for hazardous materials shipping.

In 1976, Congress passed the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), giving broad powers to the EPA to regulate the production and use of potentially hazardous chemicals and to ensure that new chemicals do not pose unreasonable hazards. Under the TSCA, the EPA may require a company to submit test data on specified chemi-
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cals. Anyone who intends to manufacture or import a chemical not listed on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory must submit a premanufacture notice (PMN) at least 90 days in advance of the manufacture or import of a new chemical. The EPA may then prohibit the manufacture, process, or distribution of the chemical. It may also place limits on the chemical’s production or ban its production.

After the passage of the HMTA and the TSCA, Congress put the finishing touches on its hazardous material legislation with the passage of RCRA. RCRA controls the chemicals at their source during transportation and disposal. The RCRA requirements often fall within the scope of the safety practitioner’s responsibilities, not only because of the natural relationship that management perceives between environmental and safety responsibilities, but also because of subsequent legislation which will be discussed later.

Background

The RCRA was passed by Congress to direct the EPA to implement a program that would “protect human health and the environment from improper hazardous waste management” (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [U.S. EPA], 1991b). RCRA can be administered by the EPA or under state EPA plans. State-administered EPA programs must meet and/or exceed the standards set by the federal EPA. The first set of RCRA regulations was published in the Code of Federal Regulations under Title 40 that deals with Protection of the Environment.

Early regulations focused on large companies producing more than 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste per month. Subsequent amendments directed the EPA to also include producers of between 100 kilograms and 1,000 kilograms per month of hazardous waste.

What Is a Hazardous Waste?

A waste is something unwanted, usually a solid, liquid, or a contained gas. It is the responsibility of the generator to determine if the waste is nonhazardous, hazardous, or acutely hazardous. The EPA classifies
a waste as hazardous if, through improper handling, it can cause injury or death or can damage or pollute the environment (U.S. EPA, 1991). To determine if a waste is hazardous, it may be necessary to refer to the Code of Federal Regulations at 40 CFR Part 261, “Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste.” In this section, a waste is considered hazardous if it is (1) a listed waste or (2) a characteristic waste.

The regulations include four lists identified by the letters F, K, P, and U that together describe about 400 hazardous wastes. The F-list includes hazardous waste from nonspecific sources or generic waste streams, whereas the K-list covers hazardous wastes from specific sources. F-list wastes are more general in nature and K-list wastes are generated by a specific manufacturing process. Those on the P-list are considered acutely toxic hazardous wastes. The U-list identifies commercial chemical products, chemical intermediates, and off-specification chemical products. Some states may include additional lists in this section, such as Kentucky’s N-list, which covers nerve and blister agents (State of Kentucky, 1992, chap. 31). Wastes that appear on any of these sections are referred to as listed wastes.

If a waste cannot be found on one of the RCRA lists, this does not mean it is not hazardous. Unlisted waste must still be tested to determine if it has certain properties or characteristics that render it hazardous. A waste is hazardous if it has one or more of the following properties: ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity.

Ignitable wastes have a flash point lower than 140 degrees Fahrenheit. Corrosive wastes are those that are acidic (at or below a pH of 2) or caustic (at or above 12.5 pH). Reactive wastes produce violent results when mixed with water, air, or other chemicals. Results include explosions and the generation of toxic gases. Toxic characteristic wastes contain specified percentages of specific metals, pesticides, or organic chemicals. They are discovered by testing the waste stream with the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP). This test is used to determine the amount of chemical that would leach out into the groundwater under specific conditions. Wastes that exhibit any one of the above characteristics are referred to as characteristic wastes or TCLP wastes and are coded as D wastes.
Determining Generator Status

Once it has been determined the waste produced by a plant is hazardous, the facility becomes a hazardous waste generator. To determine the generator status of a facility, the total hazardous waste it generates per calendar month must be determined. The correct generator status is important because different regulations apply to each different status.

A facility is a limited-quantity generator if it produces less than 100 kilograms of hazardous waste per month. If, however, a limited-quantity generator stores more than 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste on-site at any time, it becomes a small-quantity generator. A limited-quantity generator is not required to obtain an EPA identification number, but most licensed waste haulers will require an EPA identification number to ship the waste off-site.

A facility is a small-quantity generator if it produces between 100 kilograms and 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste per month. Small-quantity generators are required to obtain an EPA identification number. They can accumulate up to 6,000 kilograms of hazardous waste in any 180-day period. If, however, the hazardous waste is to be transported more than 200 miles, the generator is allowed to accumulate hazardous waste up to 270 days.

A large-quantity generator is a facility that produces 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste or one kilogram of acutely hazardous waste per month. Large-quantity generators are allowed to accumulate hazardous waste for 90 days. If the hazardous waste must be transported more than 200 miles, the generator is allowed to accumulate hazardous waste for 180 days (U.S. EPA, 1991).

Only limited-quantity generators are exempt from most RCRA regulations. Other generators must have an EPA identification number. Some states may have regulations more stringent than those of the federal government. The practitioner should check with the state EPA if there are any questions about a given facility.

Obtaining an EPA Identification Number

To obtain an EPA identification (ID) number, a Notification of Hazardous Waste Activity (Form 8700-12) must be requested from the
U.S. EPA or state EPA. If the facility is a treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facility, additional forms must be completed. Once the EPA has reviewed the form, a 12-character EPA ID number will be assigned to the facility (Kaufman, 1990). This form must be modified each time additional waste streams are generated or deleted or the generator status changes.

**Managing Hazardous Waste On Site**

Hazardous waste can be stored on-site in containers or tanks. Proper storage during the accumulation period is necessary to prevent accidents and/or spills. Some of the basic requirements for temporary storage include the label *Hazardous Waste*, proper identification of the waste, and the accumulation start dates for each container or tank. Containers or tanks must be kept closed except when filled or emptied, and operational logbooks must be kept to record any activity. Containers must be inspected weekly for leaks. Safety equipment must also be inspected weekly. Inspection reports and a written emergency plan must be kept on file. Incompatible chemicals must be segregated and 3 feet of aisle space must be maintained in the accumulation area. Signs must be posted identifying the area as a hazardous materials storage area.

**CERCLA**

Once RCRA was passed in 1976, Congress no doubt believed most of the environmental problems with hazardous chemicals had been addressed. It wasn’t until the discovery of Love Canal and other similar problems in the late 1970s that Congress realized there was a significant hole in its plan.

Love Canal was built near Niagara Falls, New York, in the 1800s to link waterways. Although it was never completed, the canal, in the form of a half-mile ditch, remained until the Hooker Chemical Company purchased it in the early part of the twentieth century as a dumpsite for hazardous waste. Once full, the canal was covered and eventually wound up as the building site for a school with residential properties nearby.
When this and other abandoned sites were exposed as significant threats to the environment and residents, Congress realized it had not addressed the problems associated with existing waste sites. Thus, in 1980, the CERCLA was passed. CERCLA, or Superfund, as it is sometimes called, gives the government authority to pursue potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for past and future cleanup costs at abandoned waste dumps and other places where hazardous substances have been released. PRPs include existing owners and operators, former owners and operators, transporters to the site, generators of the waste, and others. Any person found to be even partially responsible for creating the hazardous waste site could be held completely responsible for its cleanup. This law affects sites that were in existence before the legislation was passed, as well as sites that may have been legal at the time of their creation. EPA cast a wide net to encompass as many potentially responsible parties as possible under this regulation. Unknowing parties could be held responsible for thousands or even millions of dollars of cleanup costs through CERCLA.

**SARA**

One of the most prodigious tasks an individual who is responsible for environmental regulations must do is gather data, file it, and in some cases, submit it to the government. These responsibilities were increased with the passage of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) in 1986.

SARA was proposed as a more stringent response to hazardous waste releases. SARA and CERCLA address hazardous substance releases into the environment and the cleanup of inactive hazardous waste disposal sites (Noll et al., 1995, p. 5).

*SARA is broken down into five titles:*

I. Provisions Relating Primarily To Response and Liability
II. Miscellaneous Provisions
III. Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know
IV. Radon Gas and Indoor Air Quality Research

V. Amendments of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986

Title I and II feature the major changes to sections of CERCLA, while Title V discusses Superfund and its revenues. Under SARA, any company with threshold quantities of extremely hazardous sub-
Figure 15-2. Example of a single-drum hazardous material collection station.
(Photograph courtesy of Justrite Manufacturing Co., L.L.C., Des Plaines, IL.)
stances (EHSs) must contact state and local emergency planners and the fire department. Threshold quantities refer to specified amounts of certain chemicals. If the company has these chemicals, it must also submit copies of its Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) to the fire department and emergency planners.

If a company exceeds certain thresholds, it will have to submit chemical inventory forms to state and local firefighters. EPA refers to these forms as Tier I and Tier II. Tier I forms are submitted by March 1 each year and Tier II forms are submitted when requested by emergency response officials.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) sets requirements for the health and safety of hazardous waste workers, RCRA/TSD site workers, and emergency responders. These requirements are found in the Code of Federal Regulations at 29 CFR
Figure 15-4. (A) Example of hazardous material perforated absorption mat. (B) Example of spill containment "sock."

(Photograph courtesy of New Pig Corporation, Tipton, PA.)
1910.120, Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, which is an outgrowth of Title I of SARA. This regulation is also known as HAZWOPER (Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response).

Worker Protection Standards

Employees who work in hazardous waste operations want to be able to perform their duties safely and be assured their health is not at risk. The law proposed standards that facilities must follow to protect the health and safety of their employees in a hazardous waste operation. Regulations were issued on these standards that include but are not limited to the following provisions:

- **Site Analysis.** Requirements for a formal hazard analysis of the site and development of a site-specific plan for worker protection

- **Training Requirements.** Requirements for contractors to provide initial and routine training of workers before they are permitted to engage in hazardous waste operations that could expose them to toxic substances

- **Medical Surveillance.** Requirements for a program of regular medical examination, monitoring, and surveillance of workers engaged in hazardous waste operations which could expose them to toxic substances

- **Protective Equipment.** Requirements for appropriate personal protective equipment, clothing, and respirators for individuals working in hazardous waste operations

- **Engineering Controls.** Requirements for engineering controls concerning the use of equipment and exposure of workers engaged in hazardous waste operations

- **Maximum Exposure Limits.** Requirements for maximum exposure limitations for workers engaged in hazardous waste operations, including necessary monitoring and assessment procedures

- **Informational Programs.** Requirements for a program to inform
workers engaged in hazardous waste operations of the nature and degree of toxic exposure likely as a result of such hazardous waste operations

- **Handling.** Requirements for the handling, transporting, labeling, and disposing of hazardous wastes

- **New Technology Program.** Requirements for a program that introduces new equipment or technologies that will maintain worker protection

- **Decontamination Procedures.** Requirements for decontamination procedures

- **Emergency Response.** Requirements for emergency response and protection of workers engaged in hazardous waste operations.

HAZWOPER sets standards on the amount of training that employees at hazardous waste sites must have in order to protect their health and safety. Everyone who is going to be involved with the hazardous waste operations must be trained for a minimum of 40 hours of initial instruction off-site and three days of actual field experience under trained supervision. The employees must have additional training if the hazards they are working with are unique or special. Supervisors must have the same training as the other employees, plus an additional eight hours of specialized training on managing a hazardous waste operation.

Workers at TSDs facilities and emergency responders must have a minimum of 24 hours of initial training. Workers in all categories must complete an eight-hour refresher course annually.

Workers at points where hazardous waste is generated must also be trained in 29 CFR 1910.1200, the Hazard Communication Standard (also referred to as HAZCOM). Although MSDSs and some other parts of the standard are not required for hazardous waste generation, they must be covered relative to the chemicals that may turn into hazardous waste. HAZCOM requires companies maintain a file of all MSDSs, label all hazardous chemicals, and train workers in the handling of hazardous chemicals.

OSHA estimates tens of millions of U.S. workers are potentially exposed to one or more hazardous chemicals in their workplaces. There are hundreds of thousands of hazardous chemicals found in the workplace, with numerous new ones being introduced each year. The chemicals can be either health hazards, physical hazards, or in some cases, both. Simple rashes or more serious conditions such as burns, sterility, lung damage, heart ailments, kidney damage, or cancer can be associated with exposures to hazardous chemicals (State of Kentucky, 1992, p. 1).

Protecting the employee from the harmful effects of hazardous chemical exposures is a formidable task for the employer. In order for a chemical to harm an individual’s health, it must come in contact with or enter the body. The four routes of entry or pathways by which a chemical can enter the body are

1. Inhalation
2. Absorption
3. Ingestion
4. Injection

For the employer to develop and implement control measures to block these routes of entry, all pertinent information about a chemical is essential.

In 1983, the OSHA enacted the HAZCOM to confront the seriousness of this health and safety problem. The purpose is to ensure that the hazards from all chemicals produced or imported are evaluated and the hazard information transmitted downstream to all affected parties. The rule also guarantees the workers’ right to know about the hazardous chemicals in their workplaces; therefore, it was often referred to as the right-to-know standard. After the passage of SARA, it has been typically referred to as the HAZCOM Standard.

While there is no all-inclusive list of chemicals covered by the HAZCOM Standard, the regulation refers to “any chemical which is
a physical or health hazard.” The following are specifically deemed hazardous:

- Chemicals included in the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists’ (ACGIH) latest edition of Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents in the Work Environment
- Chemicals found to be suspected or confirmed carcinogens by the National Toxicology Program in the Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances published by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) or in the latest edition of the Annual Report on Carcinogens, or by the International Agency for Research on Cancer in the latest edition of its IARC Monographs

The following chemicals are excluded from the provisions of the standard:

- Hazardous waste as defined by the RCRA
- Hazardous waste as defined by CERCLA when it is the focus of remedial or removal action under CERCLA
- Tobacco and tobacco products
- Wood or wood products
- Articles that do not release or otherwise result in exposure to a hazardous chemical under normal conditions of use
- Food or alcoholic beverages in a retail establishment
- Any drug in solid, final form for patient administration or packaged by the manufacturer for sale in a retail establishment, as well as drugs for personal consumption
- Cosmetics packaged for sale in a retail operation or for personal consumption by employees
Nuisance particulates established by manufacturer or importer not to pose any physical or health hazard
• Ionizing and nonionizing radiation
• Biological hazards

There are four basic requirements under the HCS:

1. Written hazard communication program
2. Labeling
3. MSDSs
4. Employee training

Written Program

The employer must develop, implement, and maintain at the workplace a written hazard communication program that contains at least the following information:

• Information explaining labels and other forms of warning
• Information on location and availability of MSDSs
• Details of how the employee training requirements are to be satisfied
• A list of all the hazardous chemicals known to be present in the workplace
• The methods the employer will use to inform employees of the hazards involved in nonroutine tasks and the hazards associated with chemicals contained in unlabeled pipes in their workplace areas

Organizations requiring contracted services in locations of their facility where hazardous materials are used or stored must inform those contracted employees of all hazards present. This must be part of the written program. This program should include methods and procedures the employer will use to provide all contractors with MSDSs, information on labeling and other forms of warning, and
information concerning precautions needed to protect their employees while on-site.

The employer shall make the written program available, on request, to any employee or his designated representatives. OSHA, the director of NIOSH or their designees may also request the program.

Labeling

*Chemical manufacturers, distributors, or importers must ensure that each container of hazardous chemicals leaving their locale is labeled, tagged, or marked with the following information:*

- Identity of the hazardous chemical
- Appropriate hazard warnings
- Name and address of the manufacturer, distributor, importer, or other responsible party

It should be noted that other information is often required to comply with other regulations and standards beyond the scope of HAZCOM.

The employer is not required to affix new labels, providing that the existing labels convey the required information.

*The employer is required to*

- Ensure that all incoming shipments are properly labeled and the information is in English
- Ensure that all labels on existing stock are in place, legible, and are not removed or defaced
- Ensure that all portable containers are labeled unless it is for the immediate use of the employee that makes the transfer

Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs)

Chemical manufacturers shall obtain or develop an MSDS for each hazardous chemical. These MSDSs should be sent to the distributor or user prior to or with the initial chemical shipment. An MSDS
must be sent with each initial shipment after each subsequent MSDS update. The employer shall maintain a current MSDS for each hazardous chemical present in the workplace. These MSDSs must be readily accessible to all affected employees on all shifts without the employees having to leave the work area.

The MSDSs may differ considerably depending on the manufacturer but must contain basically the same information. They must be in English and contain no blank spaces. Any MSDS that contains blank spaces is considered unacceptable and should be returned to the vendor, or the missing information can be requisitioned from that vendor. *The complete MSDS contains the following information in English (the employer may also maintain copies in other languages):*

- The identity used on the label
- The chemical and common names of all ingredients known to be health hazards, physical hazards, or carcinogens. The act specifies certain percentages of composition.
- Physical and chemical characteristics of the hazardous chemical such as vapor pressure or flashpoint
- Physical hazards of the hazardous chemical
- Health hazards of the hazardous chemical
- Primary routes of entry
- PELs, TLVs, and other recommended exposure limits
- Whether the chemical is listed as a carcinogen or potential carcinogen
- Precautions for safe handling and use
- Control measures such as work practices or PPE
- Emergency and first aid procedures
- Date the MSDS was prepared or last changed
- Name, address, and phone number of the manufacturer, importer, or other responsible party

The above list is abbreviated. There are many exceptions and guidelines in the regulation itself.
Training

Employee training must be conducted during the initial assignment to a work area containing one or more hazardous chemicals and each time a new hazard is introduced into the workplace. The employee training shall include the following:

- The requirements of 1910.1200
- Any operations in their work area where hazardous chemicals are present
- The location and availability of the written program, including lists of hazardous chemicals and MSDSs
- Methods and observations used to detect the presence or release of hazardous chemicals in the work area
- The physical hazards and health hazards of the chemicals present in the employees’ work areas
- How employees can protect themselves from the chemical hazards
- Details of the hazard communication program developed by the employer, including an explanation of the labeling system, the MSDSs, and how employees can obtain and use the appropriate hazard information

Contingency Plans

Contingency plans must be drawn to include emergency procedures, emergency phone numbers, the name of the emergency coordinator, and containment measures available. Training records for all emergency responders must also be maintained.

Hazardous Waste Disposal

Hazardous waste may not be disposed of on-site unless a disposal permit has been obtained. Obtaining a permit to store, treat, or dispose of hazardous waste on site (40 CFR Part 270) can be costly and time consuming.

The first step in the proper disposal of hazardous waste off-site
is to select a licensed hazardous waste hauler and disposal facility. Companies must ship waste with haulers and to facilities with EPA ID numbers. Either the waste generator or the hauler must package and label wastes for shipping and prepare a hazardous waste manifest (Kaufman, 1990).

When hazardous waste is shipped off-site, it is still the responsibility of the generator. Incineration of the waste, by a licensed treatment facility, is usually the selection of choice due to the fact that the waste is destroyed and no future liability can be incurred. Land disposal requires land ban exemption forms to be filed.

Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest and DOT Regulations

The DOT regulations of 49 CFR Part 172 require hazardous waste to be properly packaged in containers that are acceptable for transportation on public roads. Proper labeling of containers includes the identification of the waste, the words “Hazardous Waste,” and the DOT waste codes and placards. The DOT also requires a uniform hazardous waste manifest. This is a six-part shipping document that must accompany all hazardous waste shipments. It is designed so that hazardous waste can be tracked from the generator to the final destination or disposal, or cradle-to-grave. The waste in the shipment must be the same as the waste listed on the manifest.

Additional Reporting Requirements

Hazardous Waste Annual Report Forms must be submitted annually to the USEPA or state EPA (State of Kentucky, 1992, chap. 31). The annual reporting form includes information on all the hazardous waste generated and shipped during the report year. All facilities that treat, dispose, or recycle hazardous waste on-site must complete the form. Waste minimization activities must be identified on the form.

EPA Wears Many Hats

The EPA plays several roles. Acting like a miniature Congress, it develops and issues its own regulations. These regulations can be, and
usually are, much more comprehensive than the original legislation. The EPA is divided into three different divisions: Administration Division, Division of Compliance, and Division of Enforcement. The Administration Division provides technical assistance to hazardous waste generators and TSD operators. This division is especially helpful with recycling and waste minimization efforts (Freeman, 1990).

The EPA also acts as a policeman conducting inspections and investigating incidents. The Division of Compliance conducts inspections of hazardous waste generation facilities and TSDs. The compliance officer will inspect the facility and fill out an inspection report. During the inspection, the compliance officer will do a walk-through tour of the facility, take pictures of any violations, and review the necessary paperwork. The compliance officer will have a closing interview with the operator of the facility and point out any violations. A notice of violation (NOV) will be sent by certified mail. Any violations must be corrected within a time period specified on the NOV. The compliance officer will conduct a follow-up inspection to make sure all the violations are corrected.

At a certain level, the EPA acts as judge and jury. The Division of Enforcement is contacted when a violation is not corrected within the given time period or when penalties for a violation are assessed. Enforcement actions can include civil penalties or criminal charges, which can lead to fines and/or imprisonment. If an EPA inspection does result in a citation, the facility may choose to contest the citation through an appeals process within the EPA instead of paying the fine. The facility has 30 days after receiving the NOV to contest the citation.

The vast majority of federal environmental issues are handled at the EPA level. There are, however, some critical cases that do wind up in the federal courts. Many environmental statutes contain provisions for criminal penalties. Violations of the criminal provisions are prosecuted in the courts rather than through administrative actions. Under certain, rather narrow circumstances companies may appeal adverse EPA decisions on regulatory violations to the courts.

**Conclusion**

Compliance with regulations that govern the handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of hazardous materials is a critical component
of any safety practitioner’s job. The mishandling of hazardous materials can be costly in a number of ways. Monetary losses and human suffering can result from poorly planned actions on the part of untrained personnel. Violators can find themselves at the mercy of the EPA, OSHA, and the courts. The proactive safety practitioner should become familiar with existing regulations at both the federal and state levels, and make every effort to stay apprised of changes in the law as they affect the workforce.

Questions

1. What hazardous chemicals are located in your area? Contact your local fire department and tell them you wish to learn about hazardous chemicals in your area. Ask them if copies of MSDSs are available and where they can be found.

2. What are the responsibilities of environmental managers? Find someone who has environmental responsibilities for his company and ask what his major responsibilities are. Ask specifically about filing MSDSs with the fire department and emergency planning officials. Also ask about what training is required for workers to respond to spills of any hazardous chemicals on the property. Ask this person which CFRs are available on-site and if you can take a look at them. Some companies now purchase these on CD-ROM, so it’s hard to get a feel for the scope of the regulations.

3. Why do you think many companies complain about the difficult legal framework and red tape they face in trying to comply with all applicable regulations? Take a look at some of the individual CFRs in your library.
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CONSTRUCTION SAFETY AND THE MULTIEMPLOYER WORKSITE DOCTRINE

Mark A. Friend and Dan Nelson with additions by William Walker

Chapter Objectives

After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• List the major hazards typically found on a construction worksite
• Know how to deal with the major hazards found on construction worksites
• Explain the doctrine of a multiemployer worksite as viewed by Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)
• List the components of a company’s effective multiemployer worksite policy

Case Study

An 18-year-old male worker contacted electrical energy when he kneeled to plug a portable appliance into a 100–120 V/20 amp floor outlet. After a scream was heard, the victim was found convulsing on the damp floor, with one hand on the plug and the other on the receptacle box. A supervisor went to the electrical panel but was unable to locate the appropriate circuit breaker. A coworker attempted to take the victim’s pulse and received an electric shock, but was uninjured. After contacting medical help, the supervisor returned to the panel and deenergized all circuits (three to five minutes after the worker contacted the electrical energy). After five minutes, another call was placed to the emergency squad, and the supervisor yelled for another
employee who came and performed CPR. It was over six minutes from the time the employee was injured. Emergency services were on the scene within 10 minutes of the first call and began treating the employee. He was pronounced dead at the hospital.

Introduction

Although many safety practitioners in general industry have few direct responsibilities regarding construction safety, they often find themselves in situations where knowledge of construction and safe practices is useful, if not imperative. The federal regulations regarding construction safety are found in 29 CFR 1926. They provide essential guidance relative to safety for professionals desiring to steer clear of government-imposed sanctions. Knowledge of those regulations can also help in the management of engineering problems on a construction site. Even though your company may not be in the business of construction, it is important to know whether others engaged in construction practices on your site are following appropriate safety procedures in their work.

OSHA’s regulations only provide a starting point for a quality safety program, but its experiences have caused the government to approach the construction problem in a manner that is important to discuss here. OSHA learned that their compliance officers at construction sites were spending too much time on issues of little importance and too little time inspecting for the hazards most likely to cause fatalities. This resulted in a change in inspection policy. When OSHA visits a construction site today, the compliance officer (CO) determines whether there is project coordination by the general contractor and whether that contractor has an adequate safety and health program. COs use OSHA guidelines to determine whether the program is adequate. The inspector also considers whether a designated competent person responsible for and capable of implementing the program plan is on-site. If so, the CO will proceed with a focused inspection, which zeroes in on a few key areas. Otherwise, the employer can expect a general inspection.

The CO determines adequacy of a safety and health program by looking at the comprehensiveness of the plan, its degree of implemen-
tation, whether there is a competent person designated (if required by standards relevant to that particular jobsite), and how the plan is enforced. Plan enforcement looks at management policies and activities, employee involvement, and training. During the course of a focused inspection, citations will be issued for violations of the four leading hazards and any other serious hazards observed. The four leading hazards include:

- Falls from elevations
- Struck by
- Caught in-between
- Electrical shock

If a worksite has multiple employers, citations are issued to any employers whose employees are exposed to hazards. In addition, the employer creating the hazard, the employer responsible for jobsite safety and health conditions, and the employer who has responsibility for correcting the hazard are also cited.

The conditions that employers typically expose their employees to include not only the above, but also those commonly found in general industry violations. The key concerns of OSHA are those mentioned above.

**Construction Safety Recommendations**

Prevention is the primary goal of any occupational safety program. Prevention isn’t always possible, so workers on construction sites should never be alone. In the event of an incident, someone needs to be able to call for help. All workers should be trained in the hazards they face on a construction site, and they should also be equipped with the appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE). When hazards can be engineered out, they should be. The safety professional needs an in-depth knowledge of potential construction hazards so that he or she knows how to take an engineering approach. Worker attitude and behavior must be addressed. Horseplay, shortcuts, and lack of attention can and do kill on a construction site. New workers
and experienced employees lulled into a routine after months or even years on the job need reminders to stay alert while working around construction sites.

**Falls from Elevations**

Construction employees often work from heights, which can be hazardous. In observing a worker at height, ask yourself if you would be comfortable in that same job. If your answer is no, you may have a problem. The employer should ensure that any worker performing his or her job on any walking/working surface at a height of 6 feet or more above a lower level is adequately protected from falls. This isn’t simply a matter of complying with OSHA. This is a matter of protecting employees.

After years of watching construction workers perform death-defying feats, many employers have become complacent and even nonchalant about worker safety. This is true on commercial construction sites as well as on many residential construction sites. (See figure 16-1 for an example of a residential construction site where no fall protection measures have been taken and no personal protective equipment has been issued to workers.) If the worker is doing her job at a height where a fall could injure or kill her, she must be protected from a fall. Protection can come in the form of guardrails, fall protection systems (such as safety nets), fall arrest systems (such as body harnesses and lanyards), or monitored separation from the fall hazard by distance from the edge. It is up to the employer to ensure that the appropriate steps have been taken to protect the worker regardless of the regulations.

Workers should typically be equipped with hard hats and steel-toed shoes. Other personal protective equipment (such as safety glasses and hearing protection) is also necessary in appropriate circumstances. It is up to the employer to ensure that appropriate PPE is provided.

Engineering of the jobsite is critical. Stairways or ladders are needed whenever the elevation changes by 19 inches or more. Two or more ladders are needed if there are 25 or more employees on the site. OSHA estimates there are as many as 36 fatalities per year due to falls
Figure 16-1. Lax safety practices in residential construction. Residential construction workers are entitled to the same protection as those on commercial job sites. Unfortunately, lax enforcement by OSHA results in frequent violations of common safety practices. The construction workers pictured here are not using any personal protective equipment or fall protection. How many violations of OSHA standards can you find in this photograph?
(Photograph by Mark A. Friend.)

from stairways and ladders used in construction. (See figure 16-2 for an example of a construction worker not observing OSHA standards for ladder use.)

Scaffolding is another critical area requiring special attention to protect workers from falls. There are many different types of scaffolds and each has its own requirements. Certain guidelines apply to all types. Among others, there must be:

- Firm footing or anchorage to support the intended load
- A competent person to erect, move, dismantle, or alter the scaffold. A competent person is one who is capable of identifying existing
Figure 16-2. Ladder hazard. This employee chose not to follow ladder standards, which require a 1:4 ratio for the distance between the bottom of the ladder and the wall compared to the distance between the bottom of the wall and where the ladder rests against it.
(Photograph by Mark A. Friend.)
and predictable hazards in the surroundings, or working conditions which are unsanitary, hazardous, or dangerous to employees, and who has authorization to eliminate them.

- Standard guardrail systems or personal fall arrest systems (harnesses) are required at a working height of more than 10 feet above a lower working level
- Capability of supporting four times the intended load
- Planking of scaffold grade (it will have markings to show it is appropriate)
- “Where platforms are overlapped to create a long platform, the overlap shall occur only over supports, and shall not be less than 12 inches unless the platforms are nailed together or otherwise restrained to prevent movement.” Each platform unit (e.g., scaffold plank, fabricated plank, fabricated deck, or fabricated platform) shall be installed so that the space between adjacent units and the space between the platform and the uprights is no more than 1 inch wide, except where the employer can demonstrate that a wider space is necessary (for example, to fit around uprights when side brackets are used to extend the width of the platform).
- Unsecured scaffold planking extends a minimum of 6 inches beyond the ends of the scaffold support.
- Flat planking extends no more than 12 inches past the scaffold support if the planking is 10 feet or less in length, and no more than 18 inches if the planking is over 10 feet in length (both with exceptions).
- Overhead protection provided
- No slippery conditions
- No work during high winds or storms

These and other conditions are found in 1926 Subpart L—Scaffolds.

**Struck by and Caught In-between**

A construction site is loaded with moving objects. It is up to the employer to ensure that the moving objects don’t strike the employee
in such a way as to cause injury or death. This can be accomplished in a number of ways. Safety professionals should not automatically resort to PPE to solve construction worksite problems. Behavior modification and engineering are better approaches for protecting workers from fast-moving objects than a reliance on PPE.

There are many recorded cases of workers being struck by moving equipment or machinery on a construction site. The employer should implement procedures to ensure that equipment is never moved without someone watching where the equipment is moving and making certain that everyone is out of the way. Backup alarms on heavy trucks can be useful, but on a major construction site, alarms are sounding all the time. Employees soon tune them out. Some companies rely on spotters to make certain the way is clear and to physically keep people out of their paths. The same should be done for any moving equipment where people might be in the path and the operator cannot see where the equipment is moving.

Unsecured or improperly secured loads can also be a problem. Improper instruction of workers regarding movement about the worksite and around machinery can also cause accidents. Workers can be caught between moving equipment or a shifting load and stationary objects. Proper training on worksite procedures can help prevent caught-between incidents. Supervisors should be trained to watch out for the welfare of employees and correct them when they see unsafe behaviors. A worker getting caught between the superstructure of equipment and a wall, a worker being mashed when a piece of hydraulically operated equipment unexpectedly fell, and a worker caught under a shifting load are only a few of the incidents reported on the OSHA website.

As already mentioned, hard hats and steel-toed shoes are essential. Bureau of Labor Statistics data shows that only 16 percent of those workers who sustained head injuries wore hard hats, although 40 percent were required to wear them for at least some of the tasks they were performing. Only 23 percent of workers with foot injuries wore steel toes and about 40 percent of workers with eye injuries wore eye protection. Protective equipment works, but only if employees use it. The same is true for ear, respiratory, and other forms of protection.
Electrical Shock

According to a NIOSH alert, it has been estimated that at least 700 occupational electrocutions occur each year. One of the primary goals of any safety program should be to prevent workers from contacting electrical energy. A secondary goal must be to provide appropriate medical care to workers who come in contact with electrical energy. The National Electrical Code (NEC) divides voltage into two categories: 600 volts or less (low voltage) and greater than 600 volts (high voltage). Live parts of 50 volts or more must be guarded against accidental contact. Brief contact with low voltage may not cause a burn, but it could cause ventricular fibrillation (a rapid, ineffective heartbeat). High-voltage contacts can cause the heart to stop completely. When the circuit breaks, the heart may resume beating normally. But if there are also extensive burns, death may result anyway.

There is an old saying that says, “It ain’t the volts but it’s the amps that kill you.” One milliampere is 1/1000 of an amp. It provides just a faint tingle. Muscular control can be lost from 6 to 30 milliamperes. Somewhere between 50 and 150 milliamperes, extreme pain, respiratory arrest, and severe muscular contractions will occur. If you are holding on to something when you get the shock, you can’t let go. Death is possible at this point. Between 1 and 4 amps, death is likely. A 100-watt light bulb operates at 833 milliamperes of current. Environmental conditions can greatly affect how severely the body is shocked. An individual performing heavy labor on a hot, humid day could come into contact with the same level of electrical energy he or she came into contact with six months before and have completely different results. On a cold, dry day with little moisture on the skin, the energy may result in a relatively mild shock. On a hot, humid day, injury or death may result.

For obvious reasons, someone on the construction site should have a working knowledge of basic electricity. All work is to be done in compliance with the NEC, unless the regulations provide otherwise. Electrical energy needs to be kept separate from all employees. This means that any electrical equipment or tools not double insulated must be grounded and all cords should be of the three-wire type with grounding attached. It is a good idea to replace worn, frayed, or
cut cords. Avoid the use of splices on the construction site. Replace cords; don’t patch them. Keep all electrical boxes closed and make certain there is no way accidental contact with a bare wire could occur. All openings to electrical panels must be kept covered.

Electricity and water don’t mix. Use ground-fault circuit interrupters (GFCIs) for tools and for any equipment or appliances that might be used in a damp location. The GFCI is a fast-acting circuit breaker that senses small imbalances in the circuit caused by current leakage to ground and, in a fraction of a second, shuts off the electricity. The GFCI continually matches the amount of current going to an electrical device against the amount of current returning. Whenever the amount going differs from the amount returning by about 5 milliamps, the GFCI interrupts the power within as little as 1/40 of a second. All temporary power branch circuits used for construction-related activities are required to be GFCI protected (unless an assured equipment grounding conductor program is in place, where then only 120-volt, single phase, 15- and 20-amp branch circuits are required to be GFCI protected).

Ensure that each disconnecting means for motors and appliances and each service feeder or branch circuit is clearly marked to indicate its purpose. Don’t permit employees to look for a particular circuit by switching them individually. A shutoff and restart could seriously injure someone who stops to inspect an electric tool to determine the problem. Every disconnect switch and breaker must be clearly marked to indicate its purpose.

Any temporary electrical systems should be inspected regularly (at least weekly) for proper connections, polarity, etc. A polarity tester should be used to insure that all ground wires are properly connected.

Follow all regulations regarding lockout/tagout. This is particularly true regarding electricity.

Other Hazards

Construction sites often have many of the same hazards that are present in manufacturing operations and other nonconstruction workplaces. Some of the other hazards that are commonly found on
construction worksites include confined space, trenching, and equipment hazards. (See figures 16-3, 16-4, and 16-5 for examples of these hazards at construction sites.)

**Case Study**

An employee was installing a small-diameter pipe in a trench 3 feet wide, 12–15 feet deep, and 90 feet long. The trench was not shored or sloped, nor was there a box or shield to protect the employee. Further, there was evidence of a previous cave-in. The worker may not have been aware of that. The employee apparently entered the trench and a second cave-in occurred, burying him. He was found face down in the bottom of the trench.

**Trenching**

*Trenching* is a particularly hazardous area on construction sites. Even relatively shallow trenches are capable of trapping and suffocating a worker within their walls. Many accidents occur because no one took into account the specific conditions associated with the site of the trench. Traffic, heavy structures nearby, a high water table, weather, and soil type are among factors contributing to weakened trenches. Before beginning the job, a thorough analysis of the area to be trench by a competent person must be performed.

The trench also requires ongoing inspection by a competent person inspecting on at least a daily basis or whenever conditions change (such as rain). Large or complex trenching operations may require more. Employees must be protected from cave-ins. A small person in a shallow trench might be bending to perform work when the trench collapses. Even though the worker’s head is above the ground, the weight of the earth can prevent breathing and suffocate the worker before he is rescued. OSHA requires protection via sloping or shoring/trench box at a depth of 5 feet. However, the competent person may determine unstable conditions mandate stabilization/protection prior to reaching that depth.

OSHA requires adequate means of egress from any trench 4 or more feet deep in the form of ladders, steps, ramps, or the like. These need to be placed within 25 feet of travel for any worker.
Figure 16-3. Confined space hazard. Confined space hazards are often encountered on construction sites. In this case, an employee is standing by while another is in the manhole. Notice the equipment used to provide fresh air in the confined space. How would the worker in the manhole be rescued if he lost consciousness inside?
(Photograph by Mark A. Friend.)
Many companies are able to take care of their own construction safety problems, but they run into problems when interfacing with other companies. They may have employees who work with employees of other companies. They may hire companies who bring employees onto their site.

**Multiemployer Worksite Policy**

Employers around the country are finding that hiring an expert, independent contractor is riskier than anyone (except, perhaps, government regulators) ever imagined. OSHA is citing host employers for safety violations committed by independent contractors and their employees. Why? Host employers have an obligation to provide safe working conditions to any person working at their facilities, even the employees of some other employer.
This *multiemployer worksite doctrine* first arose in the construction industry, where the presence of numerous contractors and subcontractors on one site sometimes made it difficult to determine who was responsible for safety violations. In response to this problem, OSHA established a rule that basically imposed responsibility on any employer who had control of the site. The rule has been and continues to be challenged in courts across the country, and recent rulings tend to support individual employer-employee responsibility.

Safety professionals need to analyze and define contractor safety requirements. Contractors are being used extensively on- and off-site by a large number of companies. There are some facilities that provide safety direction and supervision to contractors, while others provide little or no safety direction and/or supervision. Actions of contractors
that work for any organization can have a profound impact on the
hiring company’s loss experience (dollar and injury). Contractors can
cause injury to host employees, other contractors, the general public,
and property owned by others.

The legal relationships presented by associations of these groups
remain complex. Questions continually arise over responsibility and
process ownership. If an employee creates a hazard while working
with others, who is responsible? What if the other employers ignore
the hazard and continue to work or even contribute to the situation?
How does a compliance safety and health officer (CSHO) handle the
audit and/or citation? Multiemployer worksites can be complicated
by these differing work relationships.

Early in the development of the multiemployer doctrine, OSHA’s
attempt to clarify issues was the usual one-standard-fits-all approach.
The Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970
requires employers to provide their employees a safe workplace. In
fact, the original multiemployer doctrine is entirely based on the fol-
lowing standard quotation:

(a) Each employer (1) shall furnish to each of his employees
employment and a place of employment which are free from recog-
nized hazards that are causing or are likely to cause death or serious
physical harm to his employees; (2) shall comply with occupational
safety and health standards promulgated under this Act. (OSHA
ACT 1970, Section 5, Duties [29 USC 654(a)])

OSHA sees these two statements as imposing two distinct duties.
First, (a)(1) requires employers to protect their own employees from
hazards in the workplace. The employer’s duty under (a)(1) owes
only to its employees, as indicated by the language requiring a hazard-free workplace for “his employees.” Second, (a)(2) requires
employers to comply with the Act’s safety standards. Unlike (a)(1), it
does not limit its compliance directives to the employer’s own
employees, but requires employers to implement the Act’s safety stan-
dards for the benefit of all employees in a given workplace, even
employees of another employer. OSHA issues citations based on the
multiemployer doctrine under (a)(2) (Briscoe; *Universal Construction Co., Inc. v. OSHRC*).

**Doctrine History**

The multiemployer doctrine is one of many processes outlined in OSHA’s *Field Inspection Reference Manual* (FIRM). The FIRM is a manual that provides guidance regarding some of OSHA’s operational processes. Position responsibilities within OSHA are also outlined here, along with inspection procedures. In 1974, OSHA’s enforcement policy on multiemployer worksites was first stated in the Field Operations Manual (FOM). The policy provided for the citing of employers who exposed their employees to hazards. Over the years, this policy was changed to provide for the citing of controlling employers. In 1981, OSHA issued directive CPL 2.49, Multiemployer Citation Policy, which was incorporated into the FOM. The actual manual revision was eventually published in 1983.

An employer who has general supervisory authority over the worksite, including the power to correct safety and health violations itself or require others to correct them, can be said to have *control*. Control can be established by contract, or in the absence of explicit contractual provisions, by the exercise of control in practice. The courts accepted this interpretation in one form or another. (See *Marshall v. Knutson Construction Co.* [8th Cir., 1977], or *Brennan v. OSHRC*, [2nd Cir., 1975] for more information on this.) Eventually, OSHA’s policy evolved to also provide for the citing of *correcting* and *creating* employers as well.

Currently the doctrine is not only intended for construction sites, but is equally applicable to temporary employees and professional contractors within the workplace. That is not to say that the policy has not had its legal bumps and bruises along the way. The changing workplace and legal opinions have prompted yet another change in the doctrine.

The multiemployer directive, CPL 2-0.124 (11/99), is a result of court opinions recognizing individual company and employee behavior on multiemployer worksites (e.g., Silberman, *IBP, Inc. v. Herman* [DC Cir., 1998]). This revision continues OSHA’s existing policy for
issuing citations on multiemployer worksites. Court opinion holds that the following can be held liable:

- An employer who is engaged in a common undertaking, on the same worksite, as the exposing employer and is responsible for correcting a hazard. This usually occurs where an employer is given the responsibility of installing and/or maintaining particular safety/health equipment or devices.

- The employer that caused a hazardous condition that violates an OSHA standard.

A subcontractor whose employees are threatened by a hazard created and controlled by another subcontractor has only two options: request the offending subcontractor to abate the hazard or request the general contractor to correct or direct correction of the condition. As a practical matter, the general contractor may be the only party on-site with authority to compel compliance with OSHA safety standards (decision, Briscoe). (Also see Anning-Johnson Co. v. OSHRC [7th Cir., 1975].)

The multiemployer doctrine is particularly applicable to multiemployer construction worksites. The nature of construction requires that subcontractors work in close proximity with one another and with the general contractor at the same worksite. In these situations, a hazard created by one employer could be seen as reasonably affecting the safety of other employers (Bratton Corp. v. OSHRC [8th Cir., 1979]). Specific areas of expertise or job area responsibility may limit a subcontractor’s ability to abate hazards posed to its own employees that may be created by another subcontractor, general contractor, or host employer (IBP, Inc. v. Herman [DC Cir., 1998]).

J. Anthony Penry, an attorney in Raleigh, North Carolina, states, “Expect OSHA to expand citations for failure to inspect. Every serious accident and even some not-so-serious accidents, will result in a failure-to-inspect citation, because it is now very easy to prove. Expect an increase in third-party claims. Because courts often confuse the OSHA standard for liability and liability for negligence, and because the cases are less than clear on this issue, expect injured sub-
contractor employees to assert more claims against general contractors” (Risky Business, Summer 2005, p. 1).

If outside contractors are working at a facility, the agreement should clearly set forth the contractor’s safety responsibilities. It’s also a good idea to adopt a specific outside contractor safety program.

**Contractor Qualifications and Programs**

Contractor programs are administered in one of two ways: hands on or hands off. Both methods incorporate varying degrees of the following recommendations. Whichever approach is chosen, consistent application and thorough documentation are necessary. Each of these approaches requires an equal amount of initial paperwork. The first things that must be reviewed are the contract and the safety qualifications of the prospective contractor, otherwise known as contractor qualifications. Three sources of information provide ways for an employer to evaluate the probable safety performance of a prospective contractor. Review

- Experience modification rates for workers’ compensation insurance
- OSHA incident rates for recordable injuries and illnesses
- Contractor safety practices and written procedures

The insurance industry has developed experience-rating systems as an equitable means of determining premiums for workers’ compensation insurance (see chapter 3 on workers’ compensation). These rating systems consider the average worker’s compensation losses for a given firm’s type of work and payroll and predict the dollar amount of expected losses to be paid by that employer in a designated rating period (usually three years). The rating is based on comparison of firms doing similar types of work and in each work classification. Losses incurred by the employer for the rating period are then compared to the expected losses to develop the ratio factor.

The second suggested analysis should take the contractors’ OSHA incident rates into consideration. OSHA requires employers to report and record accident information on occupational injuries and illnesses on the annual OSHA 300 Log. The employer must
retain completed forms for five years and the following information can be obtained from them:

- Number of fatalities and injuries
- Number of days involving lost or restricted time
- Incident rates based on the employer’s annual hours-worked calculation

The third performance measurement is very important in determining a company’s safety record and attitude. Companies that hold their project management accountable for accidents along with productivity, schedules, and quality, etc., are usually the ones that carry the best safety records. As with any safety program, a few of the things to be considered are

- Management commitment
- Written safety program
- Hazard assessments
- Training programs and employee qualifications
- Emergency plans and procedures
- Accident reporting protocol
- Regular safety meetings and inspections

Once the prospective contractor qualifies, an agreement, a written contract, must be prepared. This contract, at a minimum, should state the host company’s safety beliefs and expectations and a statement extending these to the contractor. Thus, the contract would include statements similar to the following:

- Contractor employees are required to adhere to all applicable federal and state occupational safety and health laws as they apply to this contract.
- The contractor shall enforce the host company’s safety rules and practices as they apply to the contractor’s employees, in addition to the contractor’s own safety rules and procedures.
• The contractor shall provide all of their subcontractors with copies of all safe working procedures and shall ensure their enforcement.

Without relieving the contractor of full responsibility to comply with all appropriate safety requirements, the host employer should ensure that a project manager is assigned and advised to keep management apprised of all activity and work progress. With regard to construction managers, host employers should be aware of the following OSHA interpretation:

To the extent that a construction manager has a role in directing the manner or timing of the work, it may be cited as a “creating” employer if a violation occurs as a result of its direction. Depending on the circumstances, including contractual responsibility or the assumption of a safety-monitoring role, a construction manager may also be a controlling employer. A controlling employer is one having the responsibility or authority to have violative conditions corrected. General (or prime) contractors are controlling employers for many types of violations that occur on construction sites, but they may choose to carry out their safety role in whole or in part through a construction manager. (OSHA 8/5/93)

Contractor safety orientation is another critical step in ensuring that contractor employees understand hazards related to the job, know site safety practices and rules, and are familiar with local emergency practices related to the site. Contractor safety orientation methods involving classroom sessions or video presentations can be very effective in preventing needless losses. The duty of a contractor in a host employer’s workplace should be, at a minimum, to maintain the same level of safety and compliance that the host employer practices. These requests and requirements should be outlined in the scope of work and contract documentation. The host employer should also remember that the contractor’s responsibility (liability) only applies to the extent to which the contractor has control of or can reasonably be expected to have control of the site. Those actions by the host employer that may create or expose employees to hazards remain the responsibility of that employer.

In summary, OSHA has determined that employers at a multiem-
ployer worksite fall into four basic categories: controlling, creating, correcting, or exposing. The **controlling employer** is the employer who, by contract or actual practice, has the responsibility and authority for ensuring that hazardous work conditions are corrected. This employer is usually the general contractor, or GC. When a company acts as the general contractor for a construction project, it is considered the controlling employer and would be responsible for the safety and health of all workers at the site.

The **creating employer** is the employer whose activities actually create a hazardous condition, while the **correcting employer** is the employer that has the responsibility for correcting the hazardous condition. An **exposing employer** is any employer whose workers are exposed to the hazardous condition. Depending upon the situation, any employer at a construction site could fall into one or more of these classifications and could be issued a citation by OSHA.

Employers should consider the multiemployer worksite rules whenever their workers are working with other employers, or whenever they are acting as the project manager for such an activity. In situations where the host company is acting as the general contractor, the burden of providing a safe worksite rests with the project manager and each of its supervisors involved with the project. However, even on those projects where an outside contractor is acting as the general contractor, subcontractors or departments are still responsible for their own workers’ safety. Any hazardous condition should be brought to the attention of the general contractor and/or host employer. If the condition is so hazardous as to be imminently dangerous, supervisors should remove their workers from the worksite and contact their assigned safety representative and/or management.

**Conclusion**

Even companies operating under general industry standards find themselves dealing with construction practices and standards. While OSHA standards provide a basis for practices, safety practitioners not dealing with construction on a regular basis may not be familiar with even basic construction safety principles. This lack of knowledge can create a triple-barreled shock to the employer. An injured or killed
worker who may not be employed directly by the host company can create problems in terms of dealing with the tragedy, paying costs associated with the tragedy directly and subsequently in litigation and increased insurance premiums, and paying OSHA fines. Safety practitioners need to familiarize themselves with basic construction safety practices and with the multiemployer worksite doctrine when dealing with outside contractors.

Questions

1. Why is it important for the safety professional to be familiar with construction safety practices?
2. What are the major construction safety problems the safety practitioner is likely to encounter on the job?
3. Interview a safety professional in general industry. Ask about this person’s familiarity with construction safety practices. Ask if the professional’s employer hires construction contractors and what the company policies are regarding these contractors. Are both the company and the safety professional prepared to deal with the multiemployer workplace problem?
4. How has the multiemployer worksite doctrine changed since this chapter was written? Review the OSHA website at www.osha.gov and determine what changes have been made.
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Chapter 17

REQUIRED WRITTEN PROGRAMS

Chapter Objectives
After completing this chapter, you will be able to

• Understand the content of the major written programs for general industry
• Explain when each of the major written programs is required
• Know where to find an explanation of each of the major written programs

Case Study

Jimmy Sanders manages a small motor machining shop. Much of his work involves reworking high-horsepower engines for competitive applications. Like many small business owners, Jimmy started in his garage, but he now owns a small building west of town with seven employees. Jimmy is aware he does not have to maintain an OSHA log, but when an employee complains he does not know what to do in the event of exposure to certain chemicals, Jimmy soon learns he doesn’t know about the HAZCOM standard. Although the law exempts him from maintaining certain accident records, he finds he is not exempt from maintaining other required programs. After exploring the Hazard Communication requirements, Jimmy is surprised to learn he is required by OSHA to maintain a HAZCOM program with only one employee. He soon comes to believe it is in everyone’s best interests to maintain written programs regardless of legal requirements. Jimmy carefully reviews regulations for each written program and learns what he must do to have a top-notch program everyplace needed.

Most of the OSHA-required written programs are referenced in other portions of this text. As a review or summary, most are pre-
Safety and Health Program

Although OSHA does not require a written safety and health program, they strongly encourage it. In fact, they demonstrate a model program that emphasizes management commitment and employee involvement.

Management commitment provides the motivating force and the resources for organizing and controlling activities within an organization. In an effective program, management regards workers’ safety and health as a fundamental value of the organization and applies its commitment to safety and health protection with as much vigor as to other organizational purposes.

- **The first parts of any comprehensive safety and health program should be a written policy from top management and visible support for the complete safety and health program.** The written policy is the cornerstone of the program. Responsibility is assigned and communicated for all aspects of the program and managers are held accountable for safety performance. Management makes itself visible and supportive in each stage of the program from the time of the first announcement and enlistment of employee support to the unveiling and ongoing operation of the program. Management sets the example in terms of obeying all safety rules and regulations. It also has a representative present at safety meetings, awards ceremonies, and selected safety activities. Management puts the teeth and backbone into any program, so managers are compelled to be present during all phases of its development and operation.

- **Employee involvement provides the means through which workers develop and/or express their own commitment to safety and health protection, for themselves and for their fellow workers.** They are included from the beginning in the development and the implemen-
tation of the safety and health program and share responsibility for it. Their responsibilities are outlined in writing. Employee involvement includes employee input in the writing of the initial program. Employees have ideas and suggestions on how the program is shaped and on its components. Since they are closer to the job and the hazards of the job than management, they can provide insight that may never occur to someone who hasn’t actually performed the job. By including employees in the process, management is more likely to have “buy-in” and active participation by them.

• **The program should also include a section on worksite analysis.** Worksite analysis involves a variety of worksite examinations, to identify not only existing hazards but also conditions and operations in which changes might occur to create hazards. Lack of awareness of a hazard, stemming from failure to examine the worksite, is a sign that safety and health policies and/or practices are ineffective. Effective management actively analyzes the work and worksite, to anticipate and prevent harmful occurrences. This requires periodic examination of the workplace through inspections, audits, and the application of other safety tools such as the job safety analysis.

• **Next, hazard prevention and controls are triggered by a determination that a hazard or potential hazard exists.** Where feasible, hazards are prevented by effective design of the jobsite or job. Where it is not feasible to eliminate them, they are controlled to prevent unsafe and unhealthful exposure. Once a hazard or potential hazard is recognized, elimination or controls are accomplished in a timely manner. Techniques for identifying and controlling hazards should be discussed in the program.

• **Lastly, training addresses the safety and health responsibilities of all personnel concerned with the site, whether salaried or hourly.** Incorporate this training into sessions concerning performance requirements and job practices. The intricacy of training depends on the size and complexity of the worksite and the nature of the hazards and potential hazards at the site.

A complete description and outline for this program are available online at www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p
Hazard Communication Program

The most common required program is the Hazard Communication Program (CFR 1910.1200). Nearly every company has hazardous materials on their premises and, therefore, needs a HAZCOM program. The question arises as to what is a hazardous material. If you can’t safely wash your eyes with it, consider it hazardous. The standard is obviously more technical, giving the employer exceptions. The most common exception is that if the material used is only maintained in household quantities, it does not have to meet all the requirements of the standard. For most hazardous materials, which usually come in the form of chemicals, a few basic requirements exist. The Hazard Communication program is more thoroughly discussed in chapter 15 dealing with hazardous materials.

Emergency Action Plan

Employers should always develop a written emergency action plan as outlined in CFR 1910.38. Historical records of the company, the area, and similar companies should be reviewed to determine likely emergencies the employer might face. Address each emergency by developing standard operating procedures for employees in the event of fire, weather emergency, terrorist attack, civil insurrection, or other likely adverse situations. Plans include at least the following elements:

- Whom the employee notifies in the event of an emergency. That individual (typically a receptionist or dispatcher) will then notify local emergency response authorities, appropriate management, and in-plant response teams. Each individual notified has standard operating procedures for each anticipated emergency situation.

- Emergency escape routes and procedures for different types of emergencies for all employees. For example, with a tornado warning, employees may be instructed to assemble in an interior hallway,
whereas a fire would require escape to a certain location via a particular route.

- Standard operating procedures for employees who remain in the facility for critical operations
- Standard operating procedures for reporting fires and other emergencies
- Standard operating procedures to account for all employees following evacuation or emergency notification
- Standard operating procedures for in-house emergency responders
- List of managers or plant personnel to be contacted for additional information in an emergency
- The alarm system and how employees will be notified for different types of emergencies
- Training

Employers with fewer than ten employees need not maintain a written plan, but they must communicate to each employee responsibilities in the event of an emergency.

**Fire Prevention Plan**

Closely related to the emergency evacuation plan, but under a different section and for a different purpose, is the fire prevention plan found in 1910.38. This is simply a written plan of what fire prevention procedures your company follows and what you will do to protect your workplace from fire. *The essential elements of the plan include:*

- A list of the major fire hazards in your workplace
- Proper storage and handling procedures for those hazards
- List of ignition sources
- Fire protection equipment to protect your workplace from the fire hazards
- List of personnel responsible for maintaining fire control equipment and systems
• List of personnel responsible for control of fuel source hazards

Employers with fewer than ten employees need not maintain a written plan, but they communicate to each employee responsibilities in the event of a fire.

**Emergency Response Plan**

Companies involved in emergency response operations to the release of or substantial threat of release of hazardous substances must develop an emergency response plan (1910.120). In other words, if workers respond to chemical spills or releases, this plan is required. The only other option in the event of an emergency is to evacuate all employees and arrange for an outside agency to respond to anything more than an incidental spill or release. *The plan is detailed, requiring at least the following elements:*

• Pre-emergency planning and coordination with outside parties
• Personnel roles, lines of authority, training, and communication
• Emergency recognition and prevention
• Safe distances and places of refuge
• Site security and control
• Evacuation routes and procedures
• Decontamination procedures not covered by the site safety and health plan
• Emergency medical treatment and first aid
• Emergency alerting and response procedures
• Critique of response and follow-up
• Personal protection equipment (PPE) and emergency equipment
• Emergency response plans must also include site topography, layout, and prevailing weather conditions as well as procedures for reporting incidents. Training requirements for responding personnel are extensive. For liability and expense reasons many companies choose to simply depend on outside agencies for response help.
Employers deciding not to implement an emergency response team under this regulation should contact local authorities to learn of their options and know exactly what to do before the incident occurs.

**Permit-Required Confined Space Plan**

Under this section companies are required to identify confined spaces throughout their facilities as detailed in 1910.146. Although the standard specifically exempts the construction industry, OSHA can cite under the general duty clause. *A confined space*

- Is large enough and so configured that an employee can bodily enter and perform assigned work
- Has limited or restricted means for entry or exit (For example, tanks, vessels, silos, storage bins, hoppers, vaults, and pits are spaces that may have limited means of entry.)
- Is not designed for continuous employee occupancy

*In order for the confined space to be considered permit-required, it*

- Contains or has a potential to contain a hazardous atmosphere
- Contains a material that has the potential for engulfing an entrant
- Has an internal configuration such that an entrant could be trapped or asphyxiated by inwardly converging walls or by a floor which slopes downward and tapers to a smaller cross section
- Contains any other recognized serious safety or health hazard.

If an employer decides employees will not enter permit spaces, he posts an appropriate danger sign and prevents employees from entering the spaces. If he decides employees will enter permit spaces, he must develop and implement a written program. There is a major exception to this. If the employer can demonstrate the only hazard is atmospheric and is alleviated through continuous forced air ventilation, all procedures do not have to be followed.
Major components of the written program typically include the following:

- Policy regarding permit-required confined space entry
- Potential hazards and the hazard evaluation process
- Safe entry procedures
- Surveillance procedures
- Evaluation procedures prior to entry
- Certification verifying that spaces are safe to enter and that appropriate procedures have been taken for all spaces
- Duties for entrants, attendants, and supervisors
- Training program
- Rescue and emergency services
- Review procedures of the program and how often this occurs
- Enforcement of the procedures

Examples of permit-required confined space programs appear in the Appendixes of 1910.146.

Lockout Tagout

Companies are required to comply with the Lockout Tagout standard under 1910.147 if:

- Servicing and/or maintenance takes place during normal production operations in which
  —An employee is required to remove or bypass a guard or other safety device, or
  —An employee is required to place any part of his or her body into an area on a machine or piece of equipment where work is actually performed upon the material being processed (point of operation) or where an associated danger zone exists during a machine operating cycle.
Exceptions to the standard include minor tool changes and adjustments, and other minor servicing activities, which take place during normal production operations. These are not included if they are routine, repetitive, and integral to the use of the equipment for production, provided that the work is performed, using alternative measures which provide effective protection.

A typical, minimal lockout procedure is included in the appendix to 1910.147. The program consists of

- Energy control procedures
- Employee training
- Periodic inspections to ensure that before any employee performs any servicing or maintenance on a machine or equipment where the unexpected energizing, start-up, or release of stored energy could occur and cause injury, the machine or equipment shall be isolated from the energy source, and rendered inoperative.

**Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**

Your company is required to have a written PPE program if your employees use PPE in conjunction with their duties. Of course PPE is only to be used when engineering controls and administrative controls cannot be used to protect the employee. Under 1910.132, “PPE” refers to equipment to protect eyes, face, head, and extremities; protective clothing; respiratory devices; and protective shields and barriers. If employees provide their own protective equipment, the employer is also responsible for it. The employer responsibilities include

- Hazard assessment and equipment selection. Once engineering and administrative controls have been exhausted, it is the employer’s responsibility to determine if hazards are present necessitating the use of PPE. The employer must select and have each affected employee use the types of PPE necessary for protection.
- The employer shall verify the hazard assessment has been performed through a written certification which
—Identifies the workplace evaluated
—The person certifying the evaluation has been performed
—The dates of the hazard assessment
—Identification of the given document as certification of a hazard assessment

• Training for each affected employee on
  —When PPE is necessary
  —What PPE is necessary
  —How to properly don, doff, adjust, and wear PPE
  —Limitations of the PPE
  —Proper care, maintenance, useful life, and disposal of the PPE

• Each employee shall demonstrate an understanding of the training specified before being permitted to use the PPE

Respiratory Protection

If you have any of the following hazards and you cannot prevent atmospheric contamination, you are required under 1910.134 to have a written respiratory program that accounts for:

• Presence of harmful dust
• Fogs
• Fumes
• Mists
• Gases
• Smokes
• Sprays
• Vapors

Of course, lack of oxygen or the necessity of respirator use to protect the health of the employee will mean the company must maintain a worksite-specific program consisting of the following:
• Procedures for selecting respirators for use in the workplace
• Medical evaluations of employees required to use respirators
• Fit-testing procedures for tight-fitting respirators
• Procedures for proper use of respirators in routine and reasonably foreseeable emergency situations
• Procedures and schedules for cleaning, disinfecting, storing, inspecting, repairing, discarding, and otherwise maintaining respirators
• Procedures to ensure adequate air quality, quantity, and flow of breathing air for atmosphere-supplying respirators
• Training of employees in the respiratory hazards to which they are potentially exposed during routine and emergency situations
• Training of employees in the proper use of respirators, including putting on and removing them, any limitations on their use, and their maintenance, and procedures for regularly evaluating the effectiveness of the program

A suitably trained program administrator must administer the respiratory program. Employers are not required to include in the written program employees whose only use of respirators involves the voluntary use of dust masks. The employer’s responsibilities include providing respirators, training, and medical evaluations at no cost to the employee.

**Process Safety Management**

Companies involved in processing certain hazardous chemicals are required to take additional precautions to protect the employees. The purpose is to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable, or explosive chemicals that may result in toxicity, fire, or explosion hazards. *They are required to document what the company has done in regard to process safety if*

• A process involves a chemical at or above the specified threshold quantities listed in Appendix A of 1910.119; or
• A process involves a flammable liquid or gas (as defined in 1910.1200[c]) on-site in one location, in a quantity of 10,000 pounds or more at one time, except for hydrocarbon fuels (such as oil, gasoline, heating oil, or liquid propane) used solely for workplace consumption as a fuel (if they are not part of a process containing another highly hazardous chemical covered by this standard), and flammable liquids stored or transferred in atmospheric tanks which are kept below their boiling point without chilling or refrigeration; and
• The company is not a retail operation, a normally unoccupied remote facility, or an oil or gas drilling operation.

Requirements

The employer must compile written process safety information before conducting any process hazard analysis to enable the employer and the employees to identify and understand hazards posed by processes involving highly hazardous chemicals. *This shall include information pertaining to*

• Hazards of the highly hazardous chemicals used or produced by the process
• Technology of the process
• Equipment in the process

The employer shall perform an initial hazard evaluation on processes covered by the standard to identify, evaluate, and control the hazards involved in the process.

Written Procedures

The employer shall develop and implement written operating procedures that provide clear instructions for safely conducting activities involved in each covered process consistent with the process safety information. Address at least the following elements:

A) Steps for each operating phase:
• Initial start-up
• Normal operations
• Temporary operations
• Emergency shutdown including:
  — conditions under which emergency shutdown is required
  — assignment of shutdown responsibility to qualified operators to ensure that emergency shutdown is executed in a safe and timely manner
• Emergency operations
• Normal shutdown
• Start-up following a turnaround, or after an emergency shutdown.

B) Operating limits
C) Safety and health considerations
D) Safety systems and their functions

Written procedures must be established to maintain the ongoing integrity of process equipment. Procedures should address the mechanical integrity of

• Pressure vessels and storage tanks
• Piping systems (including piping components such as valves)
• Relief and vent systems and devices
• Emergency shutdown systems
• Controls (including monitoring devices and sensors, alarms, and interlocks) and
• Pumps

The employer shall establish and implement written procedures to manage changes (except for “replacements in kind”) to process chemicals, technology, equipment, and procedures and changes to facilities that affect a covered process.

Procedures shall ensure that the following considerations are addressed prior to any change:
• The technical basis for the proposed change;
• Impact of change on safety and health;
• Modifications to operating procedures;
• Necessary time period for the change; and
• Authorization requirements for the proposed change

Employees involved in operating a process and maintenance and contract employees whose job tasks will be affected by a change in the process shall be informed of, and trained in, the change prior to start-up of the process or affected part of the process.

Incident Investigation

Any incident which resulted in, or could reasonably have resulted in, a catastrophic release of a highly hazardous chemical in the workplace must be investigated as promptly as possible, but not later than 48 hours following the incident. A report shall be prepared at the conclusion of the investigation which includes at a minimum

• The date of the incident
• The date the investigation began
• A description of the incident
• The factors that contributed to the incident
• Any recommendations resulting from the investigation

Emergency Action Plan

The employer shall establish and implement an emergency action plan for the entire plant in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR 1910.38 (as outlined above). In addition, the emergency action plan shall include procedures for handling small releases. Employers covered under this standard may also be subject to the hazardous waste and emergency response provisions contained in 29 CFR 1910.120(a), (p), and (q).
Compliance Audits

Employers shall certify that they have evaluated compliance with the provisions of this section at least every three years to verify that the procedures and practices developed under the standard are adequate and are being followed. A report of the findings of the audit shall be developed. The employer shall promptly determine and document an appropriate response to each of the findings of the compliance audit and document that deficiencies have been corrected.

Conclusion

Nearly every company needs some written programs. The above are the most common ones required by OSHA for general industry. In addition companies must post the OSHA poster, and those with 11 or more employees are also required to maintain accident records as outlined in chapter 3 under recordkeeping.

Questions

1. Why are small companies exempt from maintaining some of the programs in writing?
2. What programs are required of all companies regardless of size? What company activities cause companies to fall under provisions requiring written programs?
3. Talk to an owner of a small business of fewer than 11 employees. Ask what safety programs he or she has to protect the employees. Ask the same question of the owner of a small business of 11 to 25 employees. Compare their responses and comment on the similarities and differences.
The following is a noncomprehensive list of resources available to the safety professional seeking assistance in selected areas of occupational safety and health. There are numerous resources available that have not been included in this list because of space limitations. Inclusion in this list is not intended to be an endorsement of individuals, organizations, products, or services. Resources are listed under the broad topic areas that comprise the area of occupational safety and health. Additional electronic resources can be found by using search engines (examples: Google, Dogpile, Yahoo!, AltaVista, MSN) to locate Internet resources using relevant keywords (examples: “industrial safety” and “hazardous waste”).

**Agencies and Associations**

Organized alphabetically by acronym. (See also listings for Associations and Organizations under other topics.)

**ABIH (American Board of Industrial Hygiene)**
6015 West St. Joseph, Suite 102
Lansing, MI 48917-3980
(517) 321-2638
Fax: (517) 321-4624
Website: www.abih.org
The board is responsible for the certification of industrial hygienists. It oversees the examination process and credentialing of industrial hygiene practitioners.

**ABT (American Board of Toxicology)**
PO Box 30054
Raleigh, NC 27622
(919) 572-5525
www.kumc.edu/ABT/reach.htm
This association certifies toxicologists and administers annual certification exams.

**ACGIH (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists)**
1330 Kemper Meadow Drive, Suite 600
Cincinnati, OH 45240
Professional society of persons employed by official governmental units responsible for full-time programs in industrial hygiene. Devoted to the development of administrative and technical aspects of worker health protection.

**AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association)**
2700 Prosperity Avenue, Suite 250
FairFax, VA 22031
(703) 849-8888
Fax: (703) 207-3561
Website: www.aiha.org
E-mail: infonet@aiha.org

Professional society for industrial hygienists. Promotes the study and control of environmental factors affecting the health and well-being of industrial workers. Their website carries a listing of jobs in the safety and health profession.

**ANSI (American National Standards Institute)**
1819 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 293-8020
Fax: (202) 293-9287
Website: www.ansi.org
E-mail: info@ansi.org

Serves as a clearinghouse for nationally coordinated voluntary safety, engineering, and industrial standards.

**ASME (American Society of Mechanical Engineers)**
ASME International
22 Law Drive
Fairfield, NJ 07007-2900
(800) 843-2763
Fax: (973) 882-1717
Website: www.asme.org
E-mail: infocentral@asme.org

Conducts research and develops boiler, pressure vessel, and power test codes. Sponsors American National Standards Institute in developing safety codes and standards for equipment.

**ASSE (American Society for Safety Engineers)**
1800 East Oakton Street
Des Plaines, IL 60018
(847) 699-2929
Fax: (847) 768-3434
Website: www.asse.org
E-mail: customerservice@asse.org

Professional society of safety engineers, safety directors, safety professionals, and others concerned with accident prevention and safety programs. ASSE provides guidance and support to local chapters, legislative bodies, and institutions of higher education regarding safety concerns.

**ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials)**
100 Barr Harbor Drive
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959
(610) 832-9585
Fax: (610) 832-9555
Website: www.astm.org
E-mail: service@astm.org

Establishes voluntary consensus standards for materials, products, systems, and services.

**AWS (American Welding Society)**
PO Box 351040
550 NW LeJeune Road
Miami, FL 33126
(305) 443-9353 or (800) 443-9353
Fax: (305) 443-7559
Website: www.aws.org
E-mail: info@aws.org

Professional engineering society in the field of welding. Sponsors seminars and conferences on welding.

**BCPE (Board of Certification in Professional Ergonomics)**
PO Box 2811
Bellingham, WA 98227-2811
(888) 856-4685
Fax: (866) 266-8003
The BCPE was established to provide a formal organization and procedures for examining and certifying qualified practitioners of ergonomics.

**BCSP (Board of Certified Safety Professionals)**
208 Burwash Avenue
Savoy, IL 61874
(217) 359-9263
Fax: (217) 359-0055
Website: www.bcsp.org
E-mail: bcsp@bcsp.org
The board is responsible for the certification of safety professionals. It oversees the examination process and credentialing of practitioners in the safety field.

**BLS (Bureau of Labor Statistics)**
Division of Information Services
2 Massachusetts Avenue NE,
Room 2860
Washington, DC 20212
(202) 691-5200
Fax: (202) 691-6325
Website: www.bls.gov
E-mail: blsstaff@bls.gov
This federal agency gathers workplace-related statistical information.

**CDC (Centers for Disease Control)**
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
1600 Clifton Road
Atlanta, GA 30333
(404) 639-3534 or (800) 311-3435
Website: www.cdc.gov
The CDC surveys national disease trends and epidemics and environmental health problems. It promotes national health education programs. It administers block grants to states for preventive medicine and health services programs.

**CGA (Compressed Gas Association)**
4221 Walney Road, 5th Floor
Chantilly, VA 20151
(703) 788-2700
Fax: (703) 961-1931
Website: www.cganet.com
The CGA submits recommendations to appropriate government agencies to improve safety standards and methods of handling, transporting, and storing gases. It acts as an advisor to regulatory authorities and other agencies concerned with safe handling of compressed gases.

**DOT (U.S. Department of Transportation)**
400 7th Street SW
Washington, DC 20590
(202) 366-4000
Website: www.dot.gov
E-mail: dot.comments@ost.dot.gov
The Department of Transportation is a federal agency responsible for establishing regulations for the transportation of hazardous materials via air, land, and sea. It also sets policy for the nation’s transportation system.

**EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency)**
Ariel Rios Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 272-0167
Website: www.epa.gov
The EPA administers federal environmental policies, research, and regulations. It provides information on many environmental subjects, including water pollution, hazardous and solid waste disposal, air and noise pollution, pesticides, and radiation.

**FBI (Federal Bureau of Investigation)**
U.S. Department of Justice
J. Edgar Hoover Building
935 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20535
(800) 324-3000
Website: www.fbi.gov
Federal agency that participates in accident investigations, terrorist attacks, etc.

**FCC (Federal Communications Commission)**
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
The FCC is the federal agency responsible for the Emergency Broadcast System.

FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency)
500 C Street SW
Washington, DC 20472
(800) 621-3362
Website: www.fema.gov
E-mail: opa@fema.gov
FEMA is the federal agency responsible for coordinating emergency response to natural and manmade disasters. It provides information on preparing for and surviving fires, floods, hurricanes, earthquakes, terrorism, and other hazards.

GPO (U.S. Government Printing Office)
Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
732 North Capital St. NW
Washington, DC
(202) 512-0000
Website: www.access.gpo.gov
E-mail: gpoaccess@gpo.gov
The GPO prints, distributes, and sells selected publications of the U.S. Congress, government agencies, and executive departments.

HFES (Human Factors and Ergonomics Society)
PO Box 1369
Santa Monica, CA 90406-1369
(310) 394-1811
Fax: (310) 394-2410
Website: www.hfes.org
E-mail: info@hfes.org
The HFES is a professional association concerned with the use of human factors and ergonomics in the development of systems and devices.

MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration)
1100 Wilson Boulevard, 21st Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
(202) 693-9400
Fax: (202) 693-9401
Website: www.msha.gov
E-mail: The website offers e-mail addresses for the appropriate contact.
The MSHA administers and enforces the health and safety provisions of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. Its training facility is located in Beckley, West Virginia.

NFPA (National Fire Protection Association)
1 Batterymarch Park
Quincy, MA 02269-9101
(617) 770-3000
Fax: (617) 770-0700
Website: www.nfpa.org
The NFPA develops, publishes, and disseminates standards prepared by technical committees, intended to minimize the possibility and effects of fire and explosion.

NIH (National Institutes of Health)
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20892
A telephone directory and e-mail address list are available on the website.
Website: www.nih.gov
Supports and conducts biomedical research into the causes and prevention of diseases and furnishes information to health professionals and the public.

NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health)
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Publications Dissemination
4676 Columbia Parkway, Mail Stop C-13
Cincinnati, OH 45226-1998
(513) 533-8328 or (800) 356-4674
Website: www.cdc.gov/niosh
E-mail: eidtechinfo@cdc.gov
NIOSH, within the Centers for Disease Control, supports and conducts research on occupational safety and health issues. It provides technical assistance and train-
ing and develops recommendations for OSHA.

**NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology)**
U.S. Department of Commerce
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 1070
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-3460
(310) 975-6478
Website: www.nist.gov
E-mail inquires@nist.gov

The NIST develops engineering measurements, data, and test methods. It produces the technical base for proposed engineering standards and code change and generates new engineering practices. It also aids the international competitiveness of small- and medium-size companies and consortia through technology development and transfer programs.

**NSC (National Safety Council)**
1121 Spring Lake Drive
Itasca, IL 60143-3201
(630) 285-1121
Fax: (630) 285-1315
Website: www.nsc.org
E-mail: customerservice@nsc.org

The NSC is a voluntary, nongovernmental organization that promotes accident reduction by providing a forum for the exchange of safety and health ideas, techniques, experiences, and accident-prevention methods.

**NTIS (National Technical Information Services) (ALSO see NAC)**
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
(703) 605-6000
Website: www.ntis.gov
E-mail: helpdesk@fedworld.gov

The NTIS is a distribution center selling to the public government-funded research and development reports prepared by the federal agencies, their contractors, or grantees. It offers microfiche and computerized bibliography search services.

**NTSB (National Transportation Safety Board)**
U.S. Department of Transportation
490 L’Enfant Plaza SW
Washington, DC 20594
(202) 314-6000
Website: www.ntsb.gov

NTSB is a federal agency responsible for conducting accident investigations into major incidents involving trains, planes, buses, etc.

**OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, National Office)**
U.S. Department of Labor
490 L’Enfant Plaza SW
Washington, DC 20594
(202) 314-6000
Website: www.osha.gov

OSHA sets policy, develops programs, and implements the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.

**OSHRC (Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission)**
One Lafayette Center
1120 20th Street NW, 9th Floor
Washington, DC 20036-3419
(202) 606-5400
Fax: (202) 606-5050
Website: www.oshrc.gov
E-mail: lwgpo@oshrc.gov

The OSHRC is an independent executive agency that adjudicates disputes between private employers and OSHA, arising from citations of occupational safety and health standards.

**SOT (Society of Toxicology)**
1821 Michael Faraday Drive, Suite 300,
Reston, VA 20190
(703) 438-3115
Fax (703) 438-3113
Website: www.toxicology.org
E-mail: sothg@toxicology.org

The SOT is a resource for advancing the science and applications of toxicology.
Ergonomics

Biomechanics

Associations and Organizations

MTM Association for Standards and Research (MTM)
1111 East Touhy Avenue
Des Plaines, IL 60018
(847) 299-1111
Fax: (847) 299-3509
Website: www.mtm.org

This group provides business and industry with methodologies designed to improve productivity, quality, and profitability.

Publications

Books


Journals

*Journal of Human Movement Studies.* (Journal) Edinburgh, Scotland: Teviot Scientific Publications

Ergonomic Engineering: Hand and Power Tools

Manufacturers and Suppliers

Orr Safety Corporation
PO Box 198029
Louisville, KY 40256
Orr Safety is a supplier of high-friction thermoplastic material applied to tool handles and then molded to the shape of the user’s hand to provide an ergonomic grip.

**Broner Glove and Safety**

1750 Hamon Road  
Auburn Hills, MI 48326  
(800) 521-1318  
Fax: (800) 276-6372  
Website: www.broner.com

Broner makes custom, ergonomically designed work stations for assembly line workers.

**Ergonomics: Behavioral and Psychological Aspects**

**Associations and Organizations**

**Buffalo Organization for Social and Technological Innovation (BOSTI)**

1479 Hertel Avenue  
Buffalo, NY 14216  
(716) 837-7120  
Fax: (905) 871-6989  
Website: www.bosti.com

BOSTI is involved in design, research, and consulting associated with the behavior, performance, and satisfaction of people.

**Consultants**

**Behavioral Science Technology, Inc.**

417 Bryant Circle  
Ojai, CA 93023  
(800) 548-5781  
Website: www.bstsolutions.com

BST provides training in behavioral-based safety programs.

**Ergonomics: General**

**Publications**

**Books**

Employment Safety & Health Guide

Commerce Clearing House, Inc.
4025 West Peterson Avenue
Chicago, IL 60646
(312) 583-8500
Website: www.cch.com


Newsletters
Ergotalk. (Newsletter). Raleigh, NC: North Carolina Resource Center. (See State and Federal Agencies for contact information.)

State and Federal Agencies
(See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)*

North Carolina Ergonomics Resource Center
3701 Neil Street
Raleigh, NC 27607
(800) 664-3746
http://www.ncerc.com
U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*?

Ergonomics Management
Associations and Organizations

International Association for Time Use Research
Saint Mary’s University
HaliFax, NS
Canada B3H 3C3
(902) 496-8728
Fax: (902) 420-5129
Website: www.stmarys.ca/partners/iatur
IATUR facilitates communication and exchange of information in time and motion studies, ergonomics, and industrial efficiency.

International MTM Directorate
1111 Touhy Avenue
Des Plaines, IL 60018
The MTM Association for Standards and Research has provided business and industry with innovative methodologies designed to help them improve profitability, productivity, and quality.

**Manufacturers and Suppliers**

**Marcom Group, Ltd.**  
20 Creek Parkway  
Boothwyn, PA 19061  
(800) 654-2448  
Website: www.marcomltd.com  
Marcom’s audiovisual, computer programs, and literature detail ways the workplace might be altered to alleviate fatigue-related pain for workers.

**Publications**

**Best’s Safety Directory**  
A. M. Best Co.  
Ambest Road  
Oldwick, NJ 08858  
(908) 439-2200  
Website: www.ambest.com  
This is likely the ultimate reference manual for safety products and services.

**工業 Hygiene**

**Air Pollution and Hazardous Waste**

**Associations and Organizations**

**Center for Health, Environment, and Justice**  
150 South Washington Street, Suite 300  
PO Box 6806  
Falls Church, VA 22040  
703-237-2249  
Website: www.chej.org  
E-mail: chej@chej.org  
This organization is concerned with the physical effects of toxic chemicals that come into contact with people. It also provides information and guidance in dealing with hazardous problems.

**Consultants**

**Applied Health Physics**  
2986 Industrial Boulevard  
Bethel Park, PA 15102
This group provides assistance in the development of hazardous waste disposal. The personnel are also capable in the handling, packaging, etc., of dangerous materials.

**Tetra Tech NUS**
Foster Plaza 7
661 Anderson Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15220
(412) 921-7090
Fax: (412) 921-4040
Website: www.ttnus.com

This firm’s services are used to provide assistance in the development of hazardous waste disposal. They have trained personnel capable in the handling, packaging, etc., of dangerous materials.

**Manufacturers and Suppliers**

**American Health and Safety, Inc.**
6250 Nesbitt Road
Madison, WI 53719
(800) 522-7554
Website: www.ahsafety.com

This group manufactures a disposable mask that filters out unpleasant or nontoxic odors. This mask does not restrict breathing or hold in heat.

**New Pig**
One Pork Avenue
Tipton, PA 16684-0304
(800) 468-4647 or (814) 684-0133
Website: www.newpig.com

New Pig manufactures hazardous material spill cleanup equipment.

**SKC, Inc.**
863 Valley View Road
Eighty Four, PA 15330
(800) 752-8472
Fax: 724-941-9701
Website: www.skcinc.com

SKC manufactures a wide range of air supplies and equipment.

**Sensidyne, Inc.**
16333 Bay Vista Drive
Clearwater, FL 33760
(727) 530-3602 or (800) 451-9444
Fax: (727) 539-0550
Website: www.sensidyne.com

Sensidyne, Inc. manufactures of a wide range of air monitoring equipment.


**Health Physics and Radiation**

**Associations and Organizations**

Association for Radiation Research  
Mount Vernon Hospital  
PO Box 100  
Northwood  
Middlesex, Greater London HA6 2JR  
United Kingdom  
44-1923 828 611  
Website: www.graylab.ac.uk/arr/title.html  
This is a group of scientific, medical, etc., professionals who are interested in ionizing radiation. It organizes research and grants money to students who wish to attend the AAR conference.

**International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements**  
7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 400  
Bethesda, MD 20814-3095  
(301) 657-2652  
Website: http://www.icru.org/  
It develops recommendations on the dosages needed and allowed during radioactive procedures on or near the human body.

**Noise Control**

**Associations and Organizations**

Institute of Noise Control Engineering  
PO Box 220  
Saddle River, NJ 07458  
(201) 760-1101  
Website: http://www.inceusa.org  
Members consist of noise-control professionals who develop engineering solutions to environmental noise problems.

National Organization to Insure a Sound-Controlled Environment  
601 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Suite 900 South  
Washington, DC 20001  
(202) 777-2641  
Website: www.aviationnoise.org  
They seek to eliminate jet noise from the environment by confronting legislatures to ensure that rules are being followed.

**Manufacturers and Suppliers**

Bruel and Kjaer Instruments  
721 North Eckhoff Street
This company manufactures a device that measures the various energies of sounds on several levels.

**CEL Instruments, Ltd.**  
17 Old Nashua Road  
Amherst, NH 03031  
(800) 366-2966  
Website: www.casellausa.com  
CEL manufactures noise dosimeters that can be worn by personnel to obtain individual exposure samples.

**Elvex Corporation**  
7 Trowbridge Drive  
Bethel, CT 06801-0850  
(203) 743-2488  
Website: www.elvex.com  
Elvex manufactures a wide range of personal protective equipment, including vision and hearing protection.

**Quest Technologies**  
510 South Worthington  
Oconomowoc, WI 53066  
(800) 245-0779  
Website: www.quest-technologies.com  
Quest manufactures noise-monitoring equipment, including octave band analyzers, dosimeters, and sound-level meters.

**Stress**

**Associations and Organizations**

**International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies**  
60 Revere Drive  
Northbrook, IL 60062  
(847) 480-9028  
Website: www.istss.org  
The ISTSS is comprised of professionals who treat individuals suffering from stress and conduct research.

**International Stress Management Association (ISMA)**  
Health Assessment Program, Inc.  
638 St. Lawrence Avenue  
Reno, NV 89509  
Website: www.stress-management-isma.org  
They conduct research on tension control and incorporating relaxation into everyday life.
Toxicology

Associations and Organizations
(See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
American Board of Toxicology (ABT)*
Society of Toxicology (SOT)*

Consultants

Chemical Safety Associates, Inc. (See Industrial Hygiene/Air Pollution and Hazardous Waste/Consultants for contact information.)
CSA assists public safety directors in determining the extent of the hazard. These specialists will help determine whether any of the substances are toxic.

Manufacturers and Suppliers

Broner Glove and Safety (See Ergonomic Engineering: Workstation Layout and Design/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)
Broner offers reference manual sheets that are useful in providing background information on toxic substances and for compiling documentation relating to toxic information.

Lab Safety Supply, Inc.
401 South Wright Road
Janesville, WI 53546
(800) 356-0783
Website: www.labsafety.com
Lab Safety offers reference manual sheets that provide useful background information on toxic substances that can be used to compile documentation related to toxic substances. Their catalog is a must-read for safety students. It shows many types of safety equipment and explains each.

Occupational Health and Safety

Accident Investigation

Associations and Organizations
(See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)*

Manufacturers and Suppliers

J. J. Keller & Associates, Inc.
3003 West Breezewood Lane
Neenah, WI 54957
Keller offers compliance audits. They offer an extensive list of training and operating aids for the safety professional.

**Publications**

**Periodicals and Journals**

*Business Insurance*
*National Underwriter*
*Occupational Hazards*
*Occupational Health & Safety*
*Professional Safety*
*Journal of Property Management*

**Government and Other Publications**

*Construction Industry Digest* (Government Publication)
*NFPA 921 Fire and Explosion Investigations*

**State and Federal Agencies**

(“See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

*Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)*
*U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*
*U.S. Department of Transportation, National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB)*

**Electrical Safety**

**Associations and Organizations**

(“See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

*American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE)*
*National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)*
*National Safety Council (NSC)*

**Electronic Resources**

*National Fire Protection Association (NFPA).* (“See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

Offers Electronic NEC.

**Electrical Safety**

Bureau of Business Practice, Inc.
24 Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, CT 06386
1-800-243-1660
Website: www.aspenpublishers.com

The National Fire Protection Association. (See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
Offers NEC Code books.

Publications

Periodicals

Electrical World
Engineers Digest
Power Engineering

National Safety Council (NSC). (See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
Offers publications and periodicals.

State and Federal Agencies

(See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Emergency Response

Associations and Organizations

American Chemistry Council (ACC)
1300 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 741-5000
Website: www.americanchemistry.com
Formerly known as the Chemical Manufacturers Association (CMA), this group provides information on chemical industry environmental health and safety issues.

American National Red Cross
431 18th Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 639-3520
Website: www.redcross.org
This is a humanitarian agency dedicated to helping people prevent, prepare for, and cope with emergencies, primarily natural disasters.

Association of Physical Plant Administrators (APPA)
1643 Prince Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-2818
(703) 684-1446
Website: www.appa.org
Also known as the Association of Higher Education Facilities Officers, this organization provides information on environmental, workplace safety, and emergency management topics.
Manufacturers and Suppliers

All manufacturers must supply MSDS Sheets for their products.

J. J. Keller & Associates, Inc. (See Occupational Health and Safety/Accident Investigation/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)

Keller offers compliance audits.

Publications

Emergency Preparedness Manual (APPA)
Emergency Response Guidebook (U.S. DOT)
Fire Protection Handbook, 7th ed. (NFPA)
NFPA 101 Life Safety Code (NFPA)

State and Federal Agencies

(*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

Federal Communications Commission (FCC)*
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)*
U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*
U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT)*
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)*

Fire Prevention and Protection

Associations and Organizations

(*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

Industrial Risk Insurers
85 Woodland Street
Hartford, CT 06102-5010
(860) 520-7300
Website: www.industrialrisk.com

National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)

Manufacturers and Suppliers

ANSUL Incorporated
One Stanton Street
Marinette, WI 54143-2542
(715) 735-7411
Website: www.ansul.com

ANSUL manufactures wheeled and handheld portable fire extinguishers.

Bureau of Business Practice, Inc. (See Manufacturers and Suppliers/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)

J. J. Keller & Associates, Inc. (See Occupational Health and Safety/Accident Investigation/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)

Keller offers compliance audits.
Justrite Manufacturing Co.
2454 Dempster Street
Des Plaines, IL 60016-5344
(708) 298-9250
Fax: (847) 298-3429
Website: www.justritemfg.com

Justrite manufactures flammable-liquid storage cabinets, safety cans, and flammable waste disposal containers.

Publications

Bureau of Business Practice, Inc. (See Manufacturers and Suppliers/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)
Offers books, reports, and forms.

National Safety Council (NSC). (*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)

Health and Safety Education and Training

Associations and Organizations

(*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA)*
American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE)*
National Safety Council (NSC)*

Publications

Hazardous Chemicals “Right to Know Act” (Government Publication)
Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Management (APPA)
Keller’s Official OSHA Safety Handbook (J. J. Keller & Associates)
The Locomotive. Hartford, CT: Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection and Insurance Company
Regulatory Compliance for Facility Managers (APPA)

State and Federal Agencies

(*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*

Inspection and Audits

Manufacturers and Suppliers

J. J. Keller & Associates, Inc. (See Occupational Health and Safety/Accident Investigation/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)
Publications

Periodicals
Quality and Participation
Quality Progress

Newsletter
Total Quality

State and Federal Agencies

(*See Agencies and Associations for contact information.)
U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)*

Regulatory Compliance

Consultants
Refer to the American Society of Safety Engineers’ National Directory of Safety Consultants

Manufacturers and Suppliers

J. J. Keller & Associates, Inc. (See Occupational Health and Safety/Accident Investigation/Manufacturers and Suppliers for contact information.)
Offers compliance audits.

Federal and State Agency Offices

States marked with an asterisk (*) have a state safety and health plan under section 18(b) of the OSHAct. Those states’ agencies may be contacted directly for specific information regarding regulations in their jurisdictions.

Federal Regional Offices

OSHA Region I
(CT*, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT*)
JFK Federal Building, Room E340
Boston, MA 02203
(617) 565-9860

OSHA Region II
(NJ, NY*, PR*, VI*)
201 Varick Street
Room 670
New York, NY 10014
(212) 337-2378

OSHA Region III
(DC, DE, MD*, PA, VA*, WV)
The Curtis Center-Suite 740 West
170 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106-3309
(215) 861-4900

OSHA Region IV
(AL, FL, GA, KY*, MS, NC*, SC*, TN*)
61 Forsyth Street, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 562-2300
OSSHA Region V  
(IL, IN*, MI*, MN*, OH, WI)  
230 South Dearborn, Room 3244  
Chicago, IL 60604  
(312) 353-2220  

OSSHA Region VI  
(AK, LA, NM*, OK, TX)  
525 Griffin Street  
Room 602  
Dallas, TX 75202  
(214) 767-4731  

OSSHA Region VII  
(IA*, KS, MO, NE)  
City Center Square  
1100 Main Street, Suite 800  
Kansas City, MO 64105  
(816) 426-5861  

OSSHA Region VIII  
(CO, MT, ND, SD, UT*, WY)  
1999 Broadway, Suite 1690  
Denver, Colorado 80202-5716  
(303) 844-1600  

OSSHA Region IX  
(AZ*, CA*, HI*, NV*)  
71 Stevenson Street  
Room 420  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 975-4310  

OSSHA Region X  
(AK*, ID, OR*, WA*)  
1111 Third Avenue  
Suite 715  
Seattle, WA 98101-3212  
(206) 553-5930  

State Compliance Offices  

Alaska Department of Labor  
PO Box 21149  
Juneau, AK 99801-1149  
(907) 465-2700  

Industrial Commission of Arizona  
800 West Washington  
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2922  
(602) 255-4411  

California Department of Industrial Relations  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 703-5050  

Connecticut Department of Labor  
200 Folly Brook Boulevard  
Wethersfield, CT 06109  
(860) 566-5123 (860) 566-5123  

State of Hawaii  
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations  
830 Punchbowl Street  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
(808) 586-8844  

Indiana Department of Labor  
State Office Building  

Iowa Division of Labor Services  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, IA 50319-0209  
(515) 281-3447  

Kentucky Labor Cabinet  
Occupational Safety and Health Program  
1047 US 127 South, Suite 4  
Frankfort, KY 40601  
(502) 564-3070  

Maryland Department of Licensing and Regulation  
Maryland Occupational Safety and Health  
1100 North Eutaw Street, Room 613  
Baltimore, MD 21201-2206  
(410) 767-2215  

Michigan Department of Consumer and Industry Services  
Bureau of Safety and Regulation  
PO Box 30643
**Wyoming Department of Employment**  
Workers’ Safety and Compensation  
Division  
Herschler Building, 2nd Floor East  
122 West 25th Street  
Cheyenne, WY 82002  
(307) 777-7786

**References**
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Title 29 CFR 1910—OSHA General Industry Standards

Introduction
In 1970, the United States Government passed the Occupational Health and Safety Act PL-91593. This act was designed to ensure safe and healthy working conditions for men and women in the United States and any other areas falling into the jurisdiction of the United States. The Secretary of Labor is in charge of OSHA. OSHA enacts and enforces laws that pertain to safety in industry. OSHA also has the power to inspect places of business and to levy fines for violations of the codes or laws. The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) is long and arduous and often confusing to the average person. Therefore, this is an overview of Title 29 CFR 1910, Occupational Safety and Health Standards for General Industry.

This document is not intended to be a replacement for 29 CFR 1910, but it can be used as a quick reference guide to 29 CFR 1910. Contractors or business persons look up a subpart in this appendix, get a brief overview of what it is about, and answer quick and easy questions. They can first go to this manual and decide which sections of the code to interpret. If they answer yes to a question in a subpart, then they know that they need to look that subpart up in 29 CFR 1910 and make sure that their company complies with that standard.

Purpose
This document is to be used as an aid for compliance with federal safety and health regulations. The main purpose is to assist employers in determining the applicability of standards for specific industries. This is to be used only as a supplement to 29 CFR 1910 and cannot, in any way, provide specific information independently on compliance or regulatory law.

How to Use This Document
Part 1910 is broken down into subparts and each subpart into sections. Under each section there is a question or series of questions to determine applicability. If the answer is yes to any question under the section, then the section applies and the individual needs to be referred to the appropriate subpart and section for clarification in 29 CFR 1910. If there is doubt about whether a company is in compliance on any portion of 29 CFR 1910, it is important to confirm that fact by referring to the standard.
Subpart A—General
This subpart explains the purpose and scope, definitions, petitions, amendments, and applicability of all the standards in 29 CFR 1910. Standards will be adopted as necessary by the Secretary to make a healthier and safer workplace. It explains each individual's role from the Secretary of Labor to that of the employee, as well as other terms used throughout 29 CFR 1910. Any interested person may petition in writing to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of a standard. It places the Assistant of the Secretary of Labor at the same level of authority as the Secretary of Labor. It lists all the territories that the standards apply to but excludes governmental agencies.

Subpart B—Adoption and Extension of Established Federal Standards
This subpart adopts and extends the applicability of established Federal standards to every employer, employee, and place of employment covered in the Act. Only standards relating to safety or health are adopted into this Act. This also pertains to any facility engaging in construction, alterations, or repair, including painting and decorating. Additionally, it pertains to facilities with employees engaged in ship repair, ship breaking, or that use craft as a means of transportation on water or a related employment. Companies employing people aboard a vessel on navigable waters of the United States and employees engaged in longshoring operations, i.e., the moving or handling of ship's cargo, stores into, on, in, or out of any vessel are also covered by the Act. Facilities which expose any employee to asbestos, tremolite, anthophyllite actinolite dust, vinyl chloride, inorganic arsenic, lead, ethylene oxide, acrylonitrile, formaldehyde, and cadmium are subject to the Act, as well.

Subpart C—General Safety and Health Provisions
The purpose of this subpart is to provide employees and their designated representatives a right of access to relevant exposure and medical records. It also provides the representatives of the Assistant Secretary a right of access to these records in order to fulfill responsibilities under the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

Subpart D—Walking-Working Surfaces
The purpose of this subpart addresses the requirements for maintaining walking and working surfaces. Subpart D applies to all permanent places
of employment, except where domestic, mining, or agricultural work only is performed. It contains regulations pertaining to housekeeping, aisles and passageways, ladders, scaffolding, railing, and working surfaces, including mobile surfaces. The section also contains information on guarding floor and wall openings and holes, fixed industrial stairs, portable wood ladders, portable metal ladders, and fixed ladders. Safety requirements for scaffolding, manually propelled mobile ladder stands and scaffolds (towers), and other working surfaces such as dockboards (bridge plates), forging machine areas, wooden platforms, veneer machinery space requirements, and walkways adjacent to large steam vats are presented in this subpart.

Subpart E—Means of Egress

This subpart deals specifically with Means of Egress, defined by the standard as “...a continuous and unobstructed way of exit travel from any point in a building or structure to a public way and consists of three separate and distinct parts: the way of exit access, the exit, and the way of exit discharge.” The standard addresses each of these items to include general requirements, the various items that make up an exit, specific physical requirements for an exit, and the number of exits required. This subpart also contains the general fundamental requirements essential to providing a safe means of egress from fire and like emergencies. The section has information on definitions, general requirements, means of egress, and employee emergency plans and fire prevention plans. It discusses the minimum requirements for the plans, alarm systems to be used, training requirements, and maintenance of equipment used under the plans.

Subpart F—Powered Platforms, Manlifts, and Vehicle-Mounted Work Platforms

This section covers powered platform installations permanently dedicated to interior or exterior building maintenance of a specific structure or group of structures. It does not apply to suspended scaffolds (swinging scaffolds) used to service buildings on a temporary basis and covered under subpart D of this part, nor suspended scaffolds used for construction work and covered under subpart L of 29 CFR Part 1926. This section applies to all permanent installations completed after July 23, 1990, and contains information on powered platforms for building maintenance. Building maintenance includes, but is not limited to, such tasks as window cleaning, caulking, metal polishing, and reglazing. This section goes on to address such items as building owner and employer responsibilities associated with this equipment; specific make-up of the equipment, engineering, and the
design of the equipment; and its inspection and maintenance requirements. It also addresses employee training, personal protective equipment requirements, and general housekeeping items.

In addition, this section specifically addresses the requirements for vehicle-mounted elevating and rotating work platforms. It specifically states: “Unless otherwise provided in this section, aerial devices (aerial lifts) acquired on or after July 1, 1975, shall be designed and constructed in conformance with the applicable requirements of the American National Standard for Vehicle-Mounted Elevating and Rotating Work Platforms, ANSI A92.2-1969, including appendix.” The employee training, personal protective equipment required, boom operation around electrical hazards, and inspection and maintenance of equipment are also discussed.

Subpart G—Occupational Health and Environmental Control
The standards in subpart G deal with air quality, noise exposure exceeding eighty-five decibels, and radiation exposure in the workplace. This includes facilities that use abrasive blasting; facilities that have spray booths, spray rooms, or open surface tanks used for cleaning; facilities with grinding, polishing, and buffing operations; and facilities that have ionizing radiation such as alpha rays, beta rays, gamma rays, x-rays, and other atomic particles, or radioactive materials such as nonionizing radiation that may be present in the facility.

Subpart H—Hazardous Materials
Subpart H contains information on compressed gases, acetylene, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrous oxide, flammable and combustible liquids, spray finishing using flammable and combustible materials, dip tanks using flammable or combustible liquids, explosive and blasting agents, storage and handling of liquid petroleum gases, and storage and handling of anhydrous ammonia. This section also covers process-safety management requirements of highly hazardous chemicals and hazardous-waste operations and emergency response.

Subpart I—Personal Protective Equipment
This subpart provides the general requirements for the minimum personal protective equipment to be used by the employees in the performance of their tasks for protection against recognized workplace hazards. The section specifically states: “Protective equipment including personal protective equipment for eyes, face, head, and extremities; protective clothing; respiratory devices; and protective shields and barriers; shall be provided, used, and maintained in a sanitary and reliable condition.
wherever it is necessary by reason of hazards of processes or environment, chemical hazards, radiological hazards, or mechanical irritants encountered in a manner capable of causing injury or impairment in the function of any part of the body through absorption, inhalation, or physical contact” (Personal Protective Equipment, 1993, p. 205). It goes on to address employee-owned equipment; design, hazard assessment, and equipment selection; employee training; and inspection and maintenance of personal protection, foot protection, and hand protection.

Subpart J—General Environmental Control
This section specifically applies to permanent places of employment. It addresses such items as toilet facilities, including numbers and type; washing facilities; sanitary food storage; and food handling. It addresses temporary labor camps, safety color-coding for marking physical hazards, and specifications for accident prevention signs and tags. Two additional items specifically addressed by this section and of considerable importance are permit-required confined spaces and the control of hazardous energy (lockout/tagout).

The permit-required confined spaces standard defines a “confined space;” lists specific employee training requirements, equipment, and personal protective equipment required prior to entry; and communications and emergency procedures. Appendix A to the 1910 standard also includes an informative Permit-Required Confined Space Decision Flow Chart, as well as an example of a Confined Space Entry Permit.

The standard for the control of hazardous energy (lockout/tagout) addresses “the servicing and maintenance of machines and equipment in which the unexpected energization or start-up of the machines or equipment or release of stored energy could cause injury to employees. This standard establishes minimum performance requirements for the control of such hazardous energy” (Control of Hazardous Energy, 1993, p. 225). It further spells out the requirements of the standard including all aspects of training and personal protective equipment, as well as methods to meet the standards.

Subpart K—Medical and First Aid
The purpose of subpart K—Medical and First Aid is to provide the employee with readily available medical consultation on matters related to on-plant health. This service may be provided by on- or off-site personnel. First aid supplies shall also be readily available.
Subpart L—Fire Protection
This subpart contains requirements for fire brigades, all portable- and fixed-fire suppression equipment, fire detection systems, and fire or employee alarm systems installed to meet the fire protection requirements of 29 CFR 1910. It contains requirements for the organization, training, and personal protective equipment of fire brigades whenever they are established by an employer. These requirements apply to fire brigades, industrial fire departments, and private or contractual fire departments. Personal protective equipment requirements apply only to members of fire brigades, performing interior structural fire fighting. The requirements of this section do not apply to airport crash rescue or forest fire fighting operations. In addition, this subpart establishes the requirements for the placement, use, maintenance, and testing of portable fire extinguishers provided for use by employees, as well as the requirements for all automatic sprinkler systems installed to meet a particular OSHA standard.

Subpart M—Compressed-Gas and Compressed-Air Equipment
This section applies to compressed-air receivers and other equipment used in providing and utilizing compressed air for performing operations such as cleaning, drilling, hoisting, and chipping. However, this section does not deal with the special problems created by using compressed air to convey materials, nor the problems created when work is performed in compressed-air environments such as in tunnels and caissons. This section is not intended to apply to compressed-air machinery and equipment used or transportation vehicles such as steam railroad cars, electric railway cars, and automotive equipment.

Subpart N—Materials Handling and Storage
This section is a general overview that covers the handling and storage of materials. The main concern of this subpart is the movement of materials on-site. Its four sections are devoted to material handling devices. These devices consist of industrial trucks, overhead and gantry cranes, crawler and truck cranes, derricks, and slings used to connect the loads. The use of helicopters to lift materials is covered, but more stringent FAA regulations exist that cover this in detail. The servicing of single and multi-piece rims are also covered in this subpart.

The industrial trucks section covers the classifications of trucks and designated areas where a truck can be used. It also describes the required inspections and maintenance actions for those vehicles. Safe operation procedures are also covered in this section.
The same material is covered for cranes and derricks. Loads and proper lifting procedures are also covered. Required inspections are outlined and procedures to ensure that a non-functioning machine is marked and safe.

Procedures for keeping and using slings is also covered in this section. It describes the proper sizes for loads as well as safe hook-up procedures. Inspection requirements are stated and required markings are discussed.

The servicing of single-piece and multi-piece rim wheels is covered for those pieces of equipment that are not covered by the Construction Safety Standards, the Agriculture Standards, the Shipyard Standards, or the Longshoring Standards.

Subpart O—Machinery and Machine Guarding
Subpart O covers the machine guarding for any equipment that exposes the employee to a hazard during use due to exposed moving or rotating parts, generally speaking, this covers any device that has an exposed point of operation. This subpart covers guards for woodworking machinery, abrasive-wheel machinery, mills and calendars in the plastics industries, mechanical presses, forging machines, and mechanical power-transmission apparatus.

The woodworking section covers the parts that must be guarded and the type of guards that must be used, while the abrasive-wheel section describes the amount of wheel which can be exposed for the various types of abrasive-grinding equipment. Proper mounting is described for the various wheels. Charts of the minimum dimensions for abrasive wheels and their safety guards are included.

Mechanical-power presses are required to have switches and brakes that are operator proof. The size of some presses makes it imperative that they are switch-guarded as well as mechanically guarded. This section describes what actions must be taken to produce the most failsafe device possible. Minimum braking action and the proper brakes that must be installed on presses of differing specifications are outlined. Criteria for the use of presence-sensing devices and their proper utilization is described.

The safety criteria for a forging operation is the next section. Operation, inspection, and maintenance requirements are covered. The requirements for venting special processes and equipment that needs to be guarded are included.
The mechanical-power-transmission apparatus section covers all belts, pulleys, and conveyors that are used in industry. It describes which ones need to be guarded. For specific applications, guidance is given for preferred actions.

**Subpart P—Hand- and Portable-Powered Tools and Other Handheld Equipment**

This section describes the required guarding, inspections, and maintenance requirements for hand and portable tools. It includes tools employees may own and use on the job. Lawn mowers and other internal-combustion-engine-powered machines are included in this section. The proper procedures for using portable jacks are also covered in this section.

**Subpart Q—Welding, Cutting, and Brazing**

Subpart Q covers the use and installation of electric or gas welding, cutting, and brazing equipment. It covers the different types of welding and the specific safety needs of each. This subpart also regulates the use of oxygen-fuel gas welding and cutting, arc welding and cutting, and resistance welding. Basic fire precautions are included in this subpart, not detailed regulations, which are covered in NFPA standard 51B, 1962. Maintenance and safety requirements are included in this subpart, including welding in confined spaces and proper ventilation.

**Subpart R—Special Industries**

This section applies to special industries as defined by OSHA. These special industries include paper, pulp and paperboard mills, textile mills, bakeries, laundries, and sawmills. Other industries covered are pulpwood logging; agricultural operations; telecommunications; electric-power generation, transmission, and distribution; and finally, grain-handling facilities. These special industries are trades that perform specific tasks that are very distinct and, in turn, set standards for their own industries.

**Subpart S—Electrical**

This subpart addresses the electrical safety requirements for the safeguarding of employees in their workplace. It is divided into sections dealing with the general requirements of wiring design, protection of specific-purpose equipment, and installations. In addition, this subpart covers locations, wiring methods, wiring components, and training and equipment used.
Subpart T—Commercial Diving Operations
This subpart applies to every place of employment within the territory of the United States, or within any state, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, the trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Wake Island, Johnston Island, the Canal Zone, or within the Outer Continental Shelf lands as defined in the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, where diving and related support operations are performed.

This standard applies to diving and related support operations conducted in connection with all types of work and employments including general industry, construction, ship repairing, shipbuilding, ship breaking, and longshoring. It covers the personnel requirements, general operations procedures, specific operations procedures, equipment procedures and requirements, and recordkeeping for diving operations.

Subparts U–Y (Reserved)

Subpart Z—Toxic and Hazardous Substances
The purpose of this subpart is to protect employees from exposure to toxic and hazardous substances in the workplace. It covers the Permissible Exposure Limits (PEL) for all air contaminants including all gases, vapors, and dusts. Some of the contaminants covered under this subpart include asbestos, coal tar pitch volatiles, vinyl chloride, inorganic arsenic, lead, cadmium, benzene, coke-oven emissions, bloodborne pathogens, cotton dust, ethylene oxide, and formaldehyde.

In addition, this subpart is Hazard Communication and Occupational Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals in the Laboratory. These regulations attempt to ensure worker awareness and knowledge of hazardous materials. Material Safety Data Sheets, container labeling, and employee-training requirements are specified in this subpart of the standards.

Subpart Z tables for the Permissible Exposure Limits of all air contaminants are published in this portion of the standards. Compliance requirements for hazardous materials found in these tables are presented in terms of eight-hour time weighted averages (TWA), maximum short-term exposure limits (STELs) and ceiling limits (C).
Checklist for Subparts A through Z

Subpart A—General
   Purpose, scope, and definitions only in this subpart.

Subpart B—Adoption and Extension of Established Federal Standards
   • Does this facility engage in construction, alterations, and/or repair, including painting and decorating?
   • Does this facility have employees engaged in ship repair, ship breaking, shipbuilding, or use craft as a means of transportation on water or a related employment?
   • Does the company employ people aboard a vessel on the navigable waters of the United States?
   • Does the company have employees engaged in longshoring operations, i.e., the moving or handling of ship’s cargo, stores or gear into, on, in, or out of any vessel?
   • Is the facility a marine terminal such as a pier, dock, or wharf?
   • Does the facility expose any employee to asbestos, vinyl chloride, inorganic arsenic, lead, ethylene oxide, acrylonitrile, formaldehyde, or cadmium?

Subpart C—General Safety and Health Provisions
   • Does the facility compile and retain employee medical records?

Subpart D—Walking-Working Surfaces
   • Does the facility have passageways, storerooms, or service rooms?
   • Does the facility have mechanical handling equipment which must be operated along aisles and passageways?
   • Does the facility have open tanks, pits, vats, ditches, etc., which need covers and guardrails to protect employees?
   • Is this facility a permanent place of employment?
   • Does the facility have stairway, ladderway, hatchway, and chute floor openings?
   • Does the facility have any wall openings, manhole floor openings, or temporary floor openings?
• Does the facility have fixed stairways inside or out; around machinery, tanks, and other equipment; and stairs leading to or from floors, platforms, or pits?
• Does the facility have ladders?
• Is fixed or portable scaffolding used at the facility?
• Are dockboards or bridge plates used at the facility?
• Does the facility have forging machines?
• Are steam vats used at the place of employment?

Subpart E—Means of Egress
• Does the facility have emergency exits?
• Does the facility have the required written emergency action plan and fire-prevention plan?
• Has the facility properly trained a sufficient number of persons to assist in an orderly emergency evacuation?

Subpart F—Powered Platforms, Manlifts, and Vehicle-Mounted Work Platforms
• Are powered platforms of any type in use at the facility?
• Is training of employees in the operation and inspection of working platforms performed by a competent person?

Subpart G—Occupational Health and Environmental Control
• Does the facility use abrasive blasting—a solid substance used in blasting operations?
• Does the facility have spray booths, spray rooms, or open surface tanks used for cleaning?
• Does the facility have grinding, polishing, and buffing operations?
• Does the facility have noise levels exceeding eighty-five decibels?
• Is ionizing radiation such as alpha rays, beta rays, gamma rays, x-rays, and other atomic particles present in the facility?
• Are radioactive materials present in the facility?
• Is nonionizing radiation such as electromagnetic radiation which includes both the radio and microwave frequency regions present in the facility?
Subpart H—Hazardous Materials

- Does the facility or work area have compressed-gas cylinders at the location?
- Is acetylene transferred through a piped system?
- Does the facility generate or fill cylinders with acetylene?
- Is hydrogen delivered, stored, or discharged at the facility?
- Does the facility have a gaseous hydrogen system in place?
- Does the facility have a liquefied hydrogen system in place?
- Does the facility have hydrogen containers?
- Does the facility have a bulk oxygen system?
- Is nitrous oxide used in the facility?
- Does the facility use flammable and combustible liquids in forms such as aerosol cans and atmospheric tanks?
- Does the facility have underground storage tanks for dispensement from fixed equipment into the fuel tanks of motor vehicles such as service stations?
- Are aerated solid powders used as a coating material used at the facility?
- Are spray booths, spray areas, waterwash spray booth, or dry spray booth used at the facility?
- Does the facility have a tank, vat, or container of flammable or combustible liquid in which articles or materials are immersed for the purpose of coating, finishing, treating, or similar processes?
- Are any of the liquids in the dip tank heated?
- Are rags used or waste generated in connection with dipping operations?
- Does the facility have any vapor areas where dangerous quantities of flammable vapors can build up during operation or shutdown periods?
- Does the facility have or use class A, B, or C explosives and blasting agents?
- Are pyrotechnics, which includes fireworks, manufactured or stored at the facility?
• Does the company store, transport, or handle liquefied petroleum gasses in containers such as tanks, cylinders, or drums?

• Does the company store, transport, or handle anhydrous ammonia (excluding manufacturing and plants where ammonia is used solely as a refrigerant), in containers such as tanks, cylinders, or drums?

• Does the operation have the potential for producing a catastrophic release of toxic, reactive, flammable, or explosive chemicals?

• Does the process involve a flammable liquid or gas on-site in one location in a quantity of 10,000 lbs. or more?

• Does the company have employees who handle or may come in contact with hazardous waste or substances and chemicals?

• Do employees such as fire fighters or mutual aid groups respond to emergencies which are likely to result in an uncontrolled release of a hazardous substance?

• Are any employees designated by the employer as a Hazardous Materials Response Team (HAZMAT)?

Subpart I—Personal Protective Equipment

• Does the facility have any hazardous process, environmental hazards, chemical hazards, radiological hazards, or mechanical irritants which could cause injury to any part of the body by physical contact, breathing, or absorption?

• Does the facility require the employees to provide their own protective equipment?

• Are any employees exposed to eye or face hazards from flying particles, molten metal, liquid chemicals, acids, caustic liquids, chemical gases or vapors, or potentially injurious light radiation?

• Are employees exposed to hazards from flying objects?

• Do any employees wear prescription lenses while engaged in operations that involve eye hazards?

• Are employees exposed to any welding activities?

• Is there any exposure to occupational diseases caused by breathing contaminated air, whether in the form of dusts, fogs, fumes, mists, gases, smokes, sprays, or vapors?

• Are respirators provided when the equipment is necessary to protect the health of the employee?
• Do employees receive training in the use of respiratory protection?
• Are any employees working in areas where there is a potential for injury to the head from falling objects?
• Is there any possible exposure to electrical hazards?
• Are employees working in areas where there is a danger of foot injuries due to falling or rolling objects, objects piercing the sole, or where employee’s feet are exposed to electrical hazards?
• Are employees exposed to electrical hazards?
• Are employee’s hands exposed to hazards such as skin absorption, heat changes, or burns?

**Subpart J—General Environmental Control**

• Are there any physical hazards that will need to be marked with appropriate colors?
• Are there signs and symbols needed to indicate and define specific hazards?
• Are employees exposed to the hazards of entry into permit-required confined spaces?
• Does the facility have any machinery that could *unexpectedly* energize, start-up, or release stored energy and cause injury to employees?
• Are employees required to remove or bypass a guard or other safety device for any reason?
• Are employees required to place any part of the body into an area on a machine where work is actually performed, or where an associated danger zone exists during machine operation?

**Subpart K—Medical and First Aid**

• Does the facility provide medical equipment and personnel for advice and consultation on medical matters?

**Subpart L—Fire Protection**

• Are fire protection methods in place at the facility?
• Does the facility have a fire brigade?
• Does the facility use industrial fire departments or private or contractual fire departments?
• Are fire extinguishing systems present at the facility?
• Does the facility have any automatic fire detection systems?
• Does the facility have any emergency employee alarms?

Subpart M—Compressed-Gas and Compressed-Air Equipment
• Does the facility have a compressed-air receiver?
• Does the facility have equipment that provides compressed air?

Subpart N—Materials Handling and Storage
• Does the facility store materials or have storage areas?
• Does the facility receive materials by rail or have a railway.
• Does the facility service single- or multi-piece rims for trucks, tractors, trailers, buses, and off-road machines? This does not apply to construction, agriculture, or longshoring.
• Does the facility utilize fork trucks, motorized hand trucks, or other specialized industrial trucks that are powered by electric motor or internal-combustion engines?
• Does the facility operate cranes of any type?
• Does the facility utilize derricks?
• Does the facility operate a helicopter to lift, move, or otherwise handle materials?
• Does the facility use any rope, chain, cable, or strap for slings that connect a load to a material-handling device for the purpose of hoisting or pulling?

Subpart O—Machinery and Machine Guarding
• Does the facility operate machinery that has any of the following characteristics including but not limited to point of operation area, ingoing nip points, rotating parts, exposed, or flying chips and sparks that create hazards to employees?
• Does the facility operate woodworking machinery?
• Does the facility operate any abrasive-wheel machinery?
• Is the facility in the rubber or plastics industries?
• If the above is true, does the facility operate mills and calendars?
• Does the facility operate mechanical-power presses, excluding the following machines: press brakes, hydraulic and pneumatic-power
presses, forging presses and hammers, bulldozers, hot-bedding and hot-metal presses, riveting machines and similar types of fastener applicators?

- Does the facility have a forging or die shop that uses lead casts or other uses of lead?
- Does the facility have power-transmission belts?

**Subpart P—Hand- and Portable-Powered Tools and Other Handheld Equipment**

- Does the facility or its employees use hand tools that may pose a hazard to the employee if not maintained properly?
- Does the facility use compressed air for cleaning purposes?
- Does the facility use portable power tools that have an exposed point of operation that creates a hazard to the employee?
- Does the facility have any internal-combustion-engine-powered portable tools?
- Does the facility use portable jacks?
- Does the facility use portable blast cleaners?

**Subpart Q—Welding, Cutting, and Brazing**

- Does the work require the use of electric or gas welding and cutting equipment?
- Is the work to be done near a fire hazard?
- Is a mixture of fuel gas and air or oxygen to be used for welding or cutting?
- Are compressed-air or oxygen cylinders stored or transported?
- Are pressure generators utilized?
- Is arc welding and cutting equipment used?
- Is resistance welding utilized?

**Subpart R—Special Industries**

- Does the work involve the manufacturing and converting of pulp, paper, and paperboard?
- Are forklift trucks, hand/power trucks, cranes, ships, trucks, or railroad cars used in the moving of materials for manufacturing purposes?
• Does the work involve the design, installation, processes, operation, and maintenance of textile machinery (except synthetic fiber)?

• Is steam used in the processes?

• Does the work involve the design, installation, operation, and maintenance of machinery and equipment used within a bakery?

• Is flour-handling equipment used?

• Are steam pipes used?

• Does the laundry equipment utilize moving parts at the point of operation?

• Are chemicals or flammable liquids used in the processes throughout the facility?

• Are vehicles used to transport the material within the area?

• Are kilns utilized on the facility? Plywood, cooperage, and veneer manufacture are excluded from this standard.

• Does the work involve the normal operations included in the logging of pulpwood (excludes sawlogs)?

• Does the work involve the installation and processes performed at telecommunications centers and at telecommunications field installations?

• Is the work performed around energized power lines, batteries, or hazardous materials?

• Does the task require working in confined space or manhole worksites?

• Does the enterprise involve working with the operation and maintenance of electric-power generation, control transformation, transmission, and distribution lines and equipment?

• Does the work require the inspection of wood poles?

• Does the work require the trimming of trees around power lines?

• Does the enterprise require the operation of grain elevators, feed mills, flour mills, rice mills, dust-pelletizing plants, dry corn mills, soybean flaking operations, and dry grinding operations of soy cake?

• Is welding, cutting, or brazing to be performed?
Subpart S—Electrical

- Does the work include the installation and examination of electrical equipment used to provide electrical power and light for employee workplaces?
- Are all conductors and equipment approved?
- Are hoists or splices used during installation?
- Is there flammable or combustible hazards nearby?
- Is equipment used that requires markings?
- Are conductors utilized above ground that are near walkways, working areas, crossover roads or railways, near or over the tops of buildings, or near moving mechanisms such as cranes or booms?
- Are metal raceways, cable armor, and other metal enclosures used?
- Is temporary wiring done?
- Does wiring run through walls, floors, wood cross members, etc.?
- Does the work involve the installation or maintenance of electrical signs or directional lighting?
- Are cranes or hoists used to conduct the work?
- Are elevators, dumbwaiters, escalators, and moving parts used?
- Is the electrical work to be performed in an area that contains flammable vapors, liquids, or gases, or combustible dusts or fibers?
- Are there electrical systems over 600 volts?
- Is the electrical system for fixed installations or mobile equipment?
- Is the electrical system for emergency power supply?
- Is the employee to perform electrical work qualified or unqualified? The employee's risk of shock is not reduced by the electrical installation standards provided by the previous sections.
- Do the employees receive regular documented training?
- Is the work to be performed near energized or de-energized electrical equipment?
- Is corded portable electrical equipment used?
• Are employees working near areas where there is the potential for electrical hazard?

Subpart T—Commercial Diving Operations

• Is the diving work related to general industry, construction, shipbuilding, ship repairing, ship breaking, or longshoring?

• Are dive teams experienced and properly trained?

• Has the employer developed and maintained a safe-practices manual and made this manual available at the dive locations for all dive team members?

• Does the manual contain emergency and safety procedures, equipment procedures, etc.?

• Does the employer comply with the necessary safety and emergency requirements prior to each diving operation?

• Does the employer follow requirements that are applicable during all dives?

• Does the employer observe the required post-dive procedures?

• Are employees engaged in SCUBA diving?

• Does the employer engage surface-supplied air diving?

• Are employees engaged in mixed-gas diving?

• Are employers engaged in diving operations lifeboating?

Subparts U–Y (Reserved)

Subpart Z—Toxic and Hazardous Substances

• Does the facility have any air contaminants listed in tables Z-1, Z-2, or Z-3?

• Does the facility contain any asbestos in any form?

• Does the facility have any of the following: 4-nitro biphenyl, alpa-Napthylamine, Methyl Chloromethyl Ether, 3,3’-Dichlorobenzidine, bis-Chlormethyl Ether, beta-Naphthylamine, Benzidine, 4-Aminodiphenyl, Ethyleneimine, beta-Propiolactone, 2-Acetylaminofluorene, 4-Dimethylaminoazobenzene, N-Nitrosodimethylamine, and Vinyl Chloride?
• Are employees at risk of exposure to inorganic arsenic, lead, cadmium or cadmium compounds, benzene, coke-oven emissions, occupational exposure to blood or other potentially infectious materials, or cotton dust?

• Does the facility manufacture yarn, perform slashing or weaving, or handle waste for textile operations?

• Are employees potentially exposed to 1,2 dibromo-3-chloropropane, Acrylonitrile, Ethylene Oxide, Formaldehyde, or Methyleneedianiline?

• Does the facility manufacture or import hazardous chemicals?

• Does this facility use hazardous chemicals in a laboratory?
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29 CFR 1926—OSHA Construction Standards

Introduction

The Code of Federal Regulations Title 29 part 1926, covers compliance safety issues for construction companies. This document is a consolidation of OSHA standards which are applicable to the construction industry. In publishing this standard, every effort was made to identify all the applicable General Industry standards from 29 CFR 1910. It should be noted that, under certain circumstances, other parts of 29 CFR 1910 may also be relevant. This is a short summary of the requirements needed to establish a safe work environment and should be used as a guide for compliance for companies, facilities, and other businesses.

The appendix is arranged so that 29 CFR 1926 standards, subparts A through X appear first. This is followed by General Industry standards 29 CFR 1910 pertaining to construction, a subject index, and a listing of material approved for incorporation by reference. Note: Incorporation by reference is an established procedure whereby federal agencies meet publishing requirements by referring materials published elsewhere in the Federal Register.

Subpart A—General

The first section of Subpart A is a general-purpose statement and an explanation of related policies. Subpart A is a general overview of the purpose, variances, inspections, and rules of practice used throughout the following subparts. In addition, the right of entry is addressed. This right of entry states that any contract subject to section 107, must allow the Secretary of Labor or a representative the right of entry. It is the right of the Secretary of Labor to conduct inspections or investigations to ensure compliance. The rules of practice for administrative adjudications for the enforcement of safety and health are also mentioned.

Subpart B—General Interpretations

Subpart B contains an interpretation and the applicability of construction health and safety standards to work performed under contract to government agencies. This subpart contains the general rules of the Secretary of Labor which state that no contractor shall require any laborer to work in conditions that are unsanitary, hazardous, or dangerous to a person's safety and health. Section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act is addressed. As stated in section 107, a con-
contract must be one which is entered into under statute that is subject to Reorganization Plan Number 14 and is for construction.

Further details on the Reorganization Plan Number 14 illustrates that appropriate regulations with respect to labor standards are met. There are 58 statutes listed in the Reorganization Plan Number 14. Rental Housing, Federal-Aided Highways, and Defense Housing are some statutes listed in the Plan. The intent of the subpart is to ensure a safe working environment at any federally assisted project. In addition, there is a discussion of the definition of contractor and subcontractor and their respective responsibilities. Other issues mentioned in this subpart were basic rules followed by contractors and how to use these in relation to construction. Issues like toilets or first aid are the responsibility of the prime contractor but can be delegated to other subcontractors. However, the prime contractor is never relieved of the responsibility. In either situation, all regulations must be followed under the contract.

**Subpart C—General Safety and Health**

Subpart C covers the contractor requirements for general safety and health. It states that no contractor or subcontractor may require a worker to perform hazardous work or perform in adverse conditions. A detailed discussion of contractor/employer responsibilities is broken into 11 broad areas that includes:

- **General Safety and Health Provisions**
- **Safety Training and Education**
- **Recording and Reporting of Injuries**
- **First Aid and Medical Attention**
- **Fire Protection and Prevention**
- **Illumination**
- **Sanitation**
- **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**
- **Acceptable Certification**
- **Shipbuilding and Ship Repair**

In addition to the above referenced categories, there are other issues included in this subpart. For example, the contractor must also start and maintain accident prevention programs. Access to employee exposure and medical records is a key issue in this subpart.
Trade secrets are a big concern among employers and OSHA has allowed for them. Employers do not have to disclose secret formulas of dosages to inspectors or doctors in emergency situations if alternative information can be provided. However, under very special circumstances doctors may be authorized, following the special restraints of OSHA, to use the trade secrets in assisting them in their medical diagnoses. This procedure is governed by a confidentiality agreement of OSHA.

Further issues mentioned are means of exit. In general, all exits must be kept clear of any obstructions or impediments. Emergency escape procedures are also mandated by OSHA to insure an effective and safe evacuation route. In light of emergency actions, alarm systems and detail planning routes should be utilized by all employers.

Subpart D—Occupational Health and Environmental Controls

In Subpart D, a diverse group of issues are discussed. The following is a list of some of the highlights mentioned in Subpart B.

- Medical services is the first mentioned. It states the employer shall ensure the availability of medical personnel for advice or consultation on the issues surrounding occupational health. For example, first-aid supplies shall be made available to employees.

- The second issue discussed is the number of toilet seats in a facility. This is designated by the number of employees at the site. Twenty or fewer people require at least one seat, twenty or more people have one seat and one urinal per forty employees, and two-hundred or more people have one seat and one urinal for every fifty employees. Proper washing facilities must also be present for those employees working with harmful substances.

- In the area of noise exposure, a chart lists the many combinations of time and noise levels. Ionizing and non-ionizing radiation requirements are stated, as well as all the different precautions needed.

- Approximately 500 different substances are listed in a Threshold Limit Value table showing the acceptable limits of airborne particles. There are many examples on the proper installation of exhaust fans on grinders and belt sanders. These exhaust fans help to disperse and filter the particles for compliance.

- Asbestos and its removal is another topic discussed in Subpart D. This section applies to nearly any work with or in close proximity to asbestos. An extensive program must be maintained
while working with asbestos. Airborne particles have to be stringently monitored. Even the laundering of clothes used while working with asbestos must be controlled.

• Another main point illustrated in this subpart is Process Safety Management. This is a program used by those who frequently utilize very dangerous chemicals and other harmful substances. Process Safety Management is a system that helps to ensure a safe working environment for employees by using proper procedure and handling techniques with these materials.

• The final portion of this subpart deals with hazard communications. “This occupational safety and health standard is intended to address comprehensively the issue of evaluating the potential hazards of chemicals and communicating information concerning hazards and appropriate protective measures to employees . . .” (1926.59 (a) (2)).

Subpart E—Personal Protective and Lifesaving Equipment
This subpart deals with all the protective equipment that employers must supply to their employees for protection in the workplace. This includes personal protective equipment for the eyes, face, head, and extremities. In addition, protective clothing, respiratory devices, protective shields, and barriers shall be provided, used, and maintained in a sanitary and reliable condition wherever it is necessary because of hazards.

The employer is responsible for providing the employees with all the protective equipment that is needed for a particular job. They must also train the employees on how to properly use the equipment. Subpart E tells the employer what equipment is to be used for specific emergencies and where the equipment should be placed to ensure that all employees have knowledge of its location.

Hard hats are to be worn in areas where there is any possible danger of head injury from an impact of falling objects. Eye and face protection is to be used when machines or operations have the possibility of causing eye or face injury to the employee. This part also deals with the proper breathing apparatus needed in areas that are hazardous to the employees’ health.

Subpart F—Fire Protection
Subpart F is concerned with fire protection, fire prevention, flammable and combustible liquids, liquefied petroleum, and temporary heating devices. Employers must have fire extinguishers in areas where fire is likely to
occur. They must have the proper fire extinguisher for the hazard and the extinguishers must be approved by a nationally recognized testing laboratory. The facility must have either a temporary or permanent water supply that has enough pressure to put out a fire. A training course in proper fire extinguisher operation should be given to the employees to show how to operate fire extinguishers properly.

Flammable and combustible liquids should be stored in a container away from any activity which might cause an explosion. Most liquids must be stored in an approved room, and if they are stored outside of that room, it should be in quantities less than 25 gallons. In addition, this subpart informs the employer how close the employee must have the container for refilling operations and on moving containers from one area to another.

Tank storage is broken down into different areas such as welding tank storage and the different types of tanks. Specifications are also given in this subpart on how the tanks should be built. Each tank is required to have certain values that regulate the pressure in the tanks. Tanks are to be stamped or marked with the material the tank is holding. Finally, inspection of these tanks is required in order to prevent an accident from happening.

Fire detection systems are another way of preventing a fire from happening. The employer must inspect this equipment on a timely basis to make sure that it is working properly and is in compliance with the OSHA standard. The detection system must be located in the specified area and should be labeled to note its location.

Subpart G—Signs, Signals, and Barricades
This subpart details the signs required when hazards are present and the notifications required when an employee is near that hazard. There are six main signs: danger, caution, exit, safety instruction, directional, and traffic signs, that are used on a construction site. The subpart also states what color each sign must be and the size requirements for every sign.

Accident prevention tags are used to warn the employee of an existing hazard such as defective tools or equipment. Flagmen should be used in areas such as streets and highways to provide direction for vehicles to travel.

Subpart H—Materials Handling, Storage, Use, and Disposal
This subpart deals with the proper handling of materials. Stored materials must be stacked, racked, and secured to prevent sliding or falling. All materials must be stored away from aisles and passageways so employees can walk through these areas safely.
Rigging equipment, used for moving materials, is another factor associated with material handling. Every time material is moved, the equipment should be inspected prior to moving. This subpart breaks down the weight of materials that can be moved using a wire rope, which must also be inspected before use. There are several types of ropes that can be used for moving materials.

Proper disposal is another issue discussed in subpart H. When disposing of materials like scrap wood, such materials should be removed from the work area immediately to prevent employee injuries. Other materials such as oily rags and flammable liquids should be kept in covered containers until they can be removed from the worksite.

**Subpart I—Hand and Power Tools**

Subpart I of construction standard 29 CFR 1926, concentrates on the proper use and condition of both hand and power tools. Tool regulations on the jobsite apply to both employee-owned and employer-provided tools. This is important to note because so many times contractors and subcontractors are hired to work on the same jobsite.

Subpart I requires all power-operated tools that are designed with guards be equipped with those guards. Additionally, external protrusions such as wheels, pulleys, and shafts should be guarded according to the requirements presented by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Employees working near hazards or under hazardous conditions such as falling objects, dust, or harmful fumes will wear the appropriate personal protective equipment at all times.

Special hand tools shall be provided for the employee to prevent body parts from entering a danger zone of any machine. These tools should not be provided in lieu of using the appropriate guards. Large tools designed to operate in a fixed position must be securely anchored to the ground so that there is no potential for walking or tipping. According to Subpart I, hand tools should not be used if they are in any way damaged or unsafe. For example, chisels with mushroomed heads and wrenches with sprung jaws are unacceptable.

Proper use and handling of fuel-powered, pneumatic, and powder-actuated tools is also covered in Subpart I. Only employees who have been trained should operate powder-actuated tools. In addition, both power and hand tools should be maintained and serviced on a regular basis. Jacks are the last item covered under Subpart I. Proper blocking and securing of the lifted part is covered along with maintenance requirements. The standards also require the tagging of faulty or broken jacks so they will not be used by mistake.
Subpart J—Welding and Cutting

Subpart J of 29 CFR 1926 covers the methods and precautions associated with welding and cutting, arc welding, preventing fires, proper ventilation, and welding of materials. Subpart J covers the standards for most methods of welding and cutting and provides the guidelines for minimum worker safety when carrying out those tasks.

This subpart begins with gas welding and cutting. It addresses the proper storage and joist transportation of gas cylinders. In addition, Subpart J notes that damaged or deteriorated cylinders should not be used. Gas cylinders being used should be marked clearly with their names, using letters of at least one inch in height. Hoses used must be clearly distinguishable, and regulators and gauges should be in good working condition. All components should be inspected before being used.

Next, arc welding and cutting is covered. Proper grounding along with suitable cables and electrodes must be used when arc welding or cutting. Operators must be properly instructed on how to safely use the welding equipment and wear the appropriate personal protective equipment.

Fire protection and prevention is covered in the standards regulating welding and cutting. No welding is to be performed near flammable vapors and fumes, paints, or heavy dust. Fire extinguishing equipment must be available and in good working order at all times.

Finally, the subpart addresses acceptable methods of ventilation. The requirements for mechanical ventilation systems are listed in this subpart and it includes notes on confined spaces and toxic substance welding.

Subpart K—Electrical

Subpart K deals with the means of providing electrical power, both permanent and temporary, to a jobsite. Subpart K also states that the electrical standards do not apply to existing permanent installations that were on the site before construction began. There are four major divisions of this subpart including installation safety requirements, safety-related work practices, maintenance and environmental considerations, and requirements for special equipment.

Installation Safety Requirements. Regulations require that all electrical parts be inspected for quality, durability, and appropriateness. Systems must be properly installed and grounded before use. Supports, holders, and equipment must also be grounded with only a few exceptions.

Safety-Related Work Practices. Subpart K describes acceptable and non-acceptable wiring methods for both temporary and permanent electrical
installations. Temporary installations must be removed immediately when the work is finished. When applicable, the standards from the *National Electrical Code* (NEC), should be adhered to when performing any electrical wiring.

**Maintenance and Environmental Conditions.** Receptacles must be designed for their locations. For example, when one installs a receptacle in a damp or wet location, special grounding methods must be used to prevent accidental contact with water. Proper equipment should be used for those receptacles with special voltages such as air conditioners and clothes dryers.

**Special Equipment.** Power supplies for equipment such as elevators, escalators and moving walks are addressed under Subpart K. There are also requirements for electrical installations in hazardous environments such as where dust, fumes, and flammable vapor exist. Only equipment designed for the specific location should be used. Batteries and battery charging are regulated under this subpart. Proper handling of battery acids and charging equipment is addressed.

**Subpart L—Scaffolding**

Subpart L begins by noting that scaffolding will not be used unless it is constructed according to regulations. Footings and anchors must be sound and capable of carrying the intended load. No make-shift footings like bricks and concrete blocks can be used. Scaffolding should always be erected under the supervision of a competent person, which is defined in the subpart. Guardrail and toeboard regulations apply to all the open sides of scaffolding. Different types of scaffolding such as wood pole, tube, and outrigger scaffolds; proper construction and bracing; and anchoring requirements for scaffolding are covered in Subpart L.

After erection, all scaffolding must be capable of supporting its intended loads. This is also applicable to mobile scaffolding—scaffolding on wheels—which is addressed using 1910 standards. Employees should not work on scaffolding when high winds or stormy conditions pose a hazard. Scaffold work areas must be kept clean and free from the accumulation of tools and materials.

Scaffolds that exceed heights of 50 feet may only be erected by or under the supervision of the scaffold’s manufacturer or designated agent unless the structure is approved by a licensed professional engineer. Ladders on scaffolding must be properly constructed and have slip-resistant treads. Scaffolding should never be unstable or of shoddy construction.
Subpart M—Floor and Wall Openings

This subpart applies to both temporary and emergency conditions where an employee may be susceptible to the danger of materials falling through roof, floor, or wall openings, or from runways or stairwells. In general, all floor openings will be guarded by a standard railing on all sides except at entrances to the stairway, and by toeboards or a cover. The height or placement of the wall opening shall be guarded by a standard or immediate rail. A standard toeboard or an enclosing screen should be used to protect a bottom-wall opening.

Types of floor holes and openings include skylights, ladderways, and temporary openings, and floor holes should be guarded by standard railings on all exposed sides and by standard toeboards. A ladderway opening should have an entrance that is blocked by a swinging gate or some other mechanism to prevent a person from falling into the entrance. Floor holes may use a cover in place of the standard railings. However, if the cover is not in use, the floor hole must be guarded by these standard railings.

Pits, trap-door openings, and manholes will be guarded by standard covers of normal construction strength. When the cover is not in place for pits or trap doors, the opening must be protected on all exposed sides by removable standard railings. If the manhole cover is not in place, then the opening will be protected by standard railings. Hatchways and chute floors must have standard railings with only one exposed side or removable railings with toe boards on two sides and fixed railings on the other side.

Standard railings consists of a top rail, intermediate rail, posts, and toeboards. The standard for toeboards includes a 4-inch minimum height from the top edge to the length of the floor, ramp, platform, or runway. Handrails shall have the same construction as a standard railing with the exception that the handrail is mounted onto some surface and there is no immediate rail involved. Floor openings such as manholes or trenches shall be capable of supporting the maximum intended load. Wall openings must withstand at least 200 pounds applied from any direction.

Subpart N—Cranes, Derricks, Hoists, Elevators, and Conveyors

Subpart N deals specifically with the operation of cranes, derricks, hoists, elevators, and conveyors in the construction industry. In this subpart, employees shall comply with the applicable manufacturer’s standards for cranes and derricks. However, when there are no manufacturer’s standards,
the limits are assigned based on the determination of a qualified engineer. Warnings, special hazards, speed limits, load capacities, and additional instructions shall be posted on all equipment. Annual inspections shall be performed on the equipment by a competent person, government official, or by the U.S. Department of Labor.

This standard applies to such items as crawler, locomotive, truck, overhead, gantry, and tower cranes; helicopter operations; material and personnel hoists, elevators; and conveyors. Loads on the overhead cranes must be labeled and legible from the ground floor. The proper clearance must be maintained between moving and rotating structures on the crane and fixed objects. Employees on these cranes shall be protected from falling by guardrails or safety belts.

Mobile cranes may be mounted on barges but shall not exceed the original capacity set by the manufacturer. The use of a crane or derrick to hoist employees on a personnel platform is prohibited, except when the use or dismantling of a worksite would provide more danger because of the worksite’s structural design. Load lines shall be capable of supporting seven times the maximum-intended load. Brakes on these cranes should only be used when the personnel platform is in a stationary position. Personnel platforms will be equipped with guardrails, toeboards, access gates, and headroom for employees to stand upright on the platform.

A trial lift must be performed with an unoccupied personnel platform at least once before the lift of the platform is taken from the ground floor. This trial run must be performed immediately before placing personnel on the platform and must be repeated whenever the cranes or derricks are moved or adjusted. A visual inspection of the crane, derricks, rigging, and personnel platform must be performed by a competent person immediately following the trial lift to determine if any defects or adverse effects have occurred.

Helicopter cranes must comply with the regulations set by the Federal Aviation Administration. The operator of the helicopter is responsible for determining if the load’s weight, size, and manner that it is attached to the helicopter can be done safely. Static charge must be eliminated with a grounding device before personnel touch the load being suspended by the helicopter. Visibility is essential for the helicopter operator so he can keep in contact with the ground crew who is sending signals to the operator. When approaching or leaving a helicopter, all personnel must remain in full view of the pilot. Constant communication is essential between the pilot, the ground crew, and other employees to prevent accidents.
All hoists must comply with the manufacturer’s specifications, but when they are not available, the limitations of the equipment shall be based on the determination of a professional engineer. Operating procedures should include a signal system, allowable line speeds, and a sign labeling “No Riders Allowed.” Hoist cars shall be used in lifting personnel and will be permanently enclosed on all sides and at the top. Safety mechanisms should be capable of stopping or starting the car at any time. Before placing them into service, hoists must be tested, inspected, and constantly maintained on a weekly basis. Employees using conveyors will sound an alarm before they start operation and must be capable of stopping it at any time from the operator’s station. In a place where employees come into contact with the conveyor, those individuals should be specially protected.

Subpart 0—Motor Vehicles and Mechanized Equipment

All equipment not being used at night or near a highway or construction site must have either the appropriate lights, reflectors, or barricades to identify the location of the equipment. All controls on machinery must be in the neutral position unless work is being done with the machines. The neutral position involves the motor being off and the brakes being set, as if the machine were in a parked position. Safety glass will be used in all cabs and protection will be used when removing tires.

Motor vehicles include those vehicles that do not operate in public traffic, but within an off-highway jobsite. These vehicles will have a service, emergency, and parking brake system and shall be in operable condition. The vehicles will have two headlights and taillights, brake lights, a reverse-signal alarm system, and an audible warning system. Those vehicles with cabs will include windshields, power wipers, and a defogging system. Vehicles used to transport employees will have seats firmly secured in the cab with seat belts that meet Department of Transportation Standards.

Industrial trucks shall meet the following requirements: The capacity will be posted on the vehicle so it is clearly visible to the operator. No modifications should be made to the equipment without the consent of the manufacturer. Protection such as overhead guards or safety platforms should be secured to the lifting mechanism. Finally, all industrial trucks must meet all the ANSI standards for design, construction, stability, inspection, testing, maintenance, and operation.

All boilers and piping systems, either part of or on pile-driving equipment, will have overhead protection, guards, and stop blocks. A blocking device
must support the weight of the hammer and will be provided for placement in the leads under the hammer while employees work. All employees shall follow the signals given by the designated signalmen and will be kept clear when piling is being hoisted into the leads. When piles are being placed into a pit, the pit shall be angled.

Marine operations include all loading, unloading, moving, handling, etc., on or out of any vessel from a fixed structure onto a shore vessel. Ramps for the access vehicles between barges must be of adequate strength, protected by side boards, and properly secured. Unless employees can step safely across each barge, a safe walkway such as a “Jacob’s Ladder” must be provided. This ladder will be of a flat-tread type, hang without slacking, and be maintained and properly secured.

**Subpart P—Excavations**

This subpart applies to all those open excavations, cuts, cavities, or trenches made in the earth’s surface. Details concerning employee exiting and hazardous atmospheres are of primary importance. In addition, instructions are given regarding underground utilities, necessary protective systems, and soil classifications for trenching, cutting, and excavating.

All underground utilities such as water, sewer, and gas must be identified prior to excavating. Any utility companies shall be contacted in order to advise the excavation crew on the location of these utilities and the proper means for encountering the utility lines. When the excavation is made, the utilities shall be protected or removed in order to safeguard employees while they are working. Structural ramps should be designed by a competent person and in accordance with the design of access and egress from the excavations. A means of egress must be located in trench excavations that are 4 feet deep, and no more than 25 feet deep in order for employees to exit and enter the excavation.

Employees must monitor for hazardous atmospheres to prevent the possible exposure to harmful levels and atmospheric contaminants. If oxygen levels are less than 19.5 percent, the atmosphere must be tested before employees can enter. Oxygen-deficiency exposure precautions should include proper ventilation, respiratory protection, and mandatory testing. If hazards arise while employees are present in the excavation, emergency rescue equipment such as a breathing apparatus, safety harnesses, and stretchers should be readily accessible.

Employees will not work in excavations where water has accumulated, unless measures have been taken to remove the water from the
excavation. If excavation operations endanger the structure of buildings, support systems such as shoring, bracing, or underpinning shall be used to ensure the building’s stability. Excavation should not be conducted under the base of any foundation because it can put employees in danger. Employees shall also be protected from loose soil or equipment that may fall into the excavation pit. Daily inspections of the excavation, its adjacent areas, and the protective systems shall be made by a competent person prior to the start of work as needed throughout the shift. Appendices A, C, and D cover excavation wall-shoring procedures.

Subpart Q—Concrete and Masonry Construction

This subpart sets forth the requirements that protect all construction employees from the hazards associated with concrete and masonry construction. In addition, this subpart includes the relevant general industry standards as they apply to this standard. Requirements for bullfloat, formwork, lift slab, precast concrete, reshoring, shoring, vertical slip forms, and jacking operations are also discussed in this subpart.

In relation to structural integrity, all drawings and plans should be available at the worksite. Shoring and reshoring is discussed to ensure that maximum strength is achieved. Any design of the shoring should be done by an engineer or a qualified individual. All reinforcing steel should be adequately supported to make it stable. No framework should be removed until the employer determines the concrete is strong enough to support all loads. Plans, specifications, and tests are used to ensure the concrete has gained sufficient strength.

All precast wall units should be adequately braced to prevent overturning until other permanent structures are in place to support them. Any lifting points attached to the precast lift-up walls should be able to support two times the maximum-expected load. In addition, lifting points or attachments on pieces other than lift-up walls must be able to support four times the maximum-expected load. Hardware should be capable of lifting five times the maximum-expected load to be lifted.

All lift slab operations should be designed by an engineer, and plans should be included with the instructions on proper methods of construction. All jacks should be labeled with their rated capacities, and should be capable of lifting at least two-and-one-half times the load being lifted. Jacks should have a safety device that allows them to stop and support the weight if the load becomes too great to lift or if the jack malfunctions.
If any jacking point goes farther than one-half inch from level, jacking operations should stop and the problem fixed. There should be an adequate number of jacks present as specified in this section but the number should never exceed 14 jacks at one time.

**Subpart R—Steel Erection**

The requirements for flooring, structural steel assembly, bolting, riveting, fitting-up, and plumbing-up are discussed in this subpart. The standard also addresses the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) standards for steel erection.

Permanent floors should be installed as progress on the building continues. Information is given on the correct process for laying a temporary floor. These floors must be at least two inches thick or thick enough to support the load. They must also be bolted or otherwise tightly connected to the floor. When any beam or structure is being lifted, the hoisting line should not be loosened until there are at least two bolts at each joint that have been tightened with a wrench. Braces are needed on trusses spanning greater than 40 feet before the hoisting line is loosened. Guide lines should be attached to long beams being lifted in order to control them.

All materials, tools, rivets, bolts, etc., should be contained so they do not fall. All pneumatic tools should be disconnected from the power source before any adjustments are made to them. Turnbuckles used for plumbing-up should be properly secured and should not unwind under load. Connection points should also be easily accessible. The use of a safety harness is also stressed in this section.

**Subpart S—Underground Construction, Caissons, Cofferdams, and Compressed Air**

Subpart S applies to the construction of underground tunnels, shafts, chambers, and passageways. This includes the following conditions:

- Entrances and exits to underground/enclosed areas
- Air quality of underground/enclosed area
- Equipment used in these areas
- Flood controls used in these areas
- Environmental conditions including pressurization and flammability
Items such as check-in/check-out, safety instructions, communication requirements, rescue teams, and hazardous classifications for potentially gassy operations are covered.

There should be safe entrances and exits to tunnels and any unused tunnels or chutes are to be sealed. A check-in/check-out procedure should be used to keep a record of the number of people below ground.

Monitoring should be conducted to determine if there is a possibility of a “gassy” situation below, that could cause an explosion. If the person who monitored for gassy situations determines that a hazardous situation exists, the employer is responsible for taking the proper action. If an individual determines that 20 percent of the lower explosive limit for a gas has been detected, then all personnel should be removed from the area except those necessary to resolve the problem.

All electrical devices should be turned off except for the necessary ventilation pumps. Local gas tests should be performed before and during any welding or other hot work. Exposure to toxic substances should be recorded and the proper fresh air should be supplied to all underground areas.

Open flames are prohibited below ground except for welding or other hot operations. All flammable materials that are permitted underground must be moved carefully and properly. Any leaks or spills should be cleaned up immediately. Proper fire extinguishers should be located below ground. Fire-retardant materials may be necessary around or within tunnels.

Proper bracing should be erected to support underground tunnels. When replacing damaged supports, the new ones should be in place before the damaged ones are removed. Any shaft deeper than 5 feet that workers enter must be encased by steel, concrete, or timber supports, unless the shaft is cut through rock. After any blasting operation, the shaft must be inspected by a competent person to make certain that it is safe.

When a hoist is in use to lift materials through a shaft, the materials should be secured so they will not fall. In some cases, a person may be needed at the bottom of the shaft to keep people clear while a load is being lowered to the bottom. Personnel should not ride the hoist unless it is specifically for human use or the person is inspecting the shaft. Wire rope used in the hoist must be capable of lifting five times the expected load, or the factor recommended by the rope manufacturer, whichever is greater. A record must be kept of the periodic inspections of lifts. Cages for transporting people must be specifically designed for this purpose and must not exceed certain speeds.
Subpart T—Demolition

A survey should be done by a qualified individual of any structure that is to be demolished. The survey is designed to check the integrity of the framework in order to prevent unplanned collapses. In a building damaged by fire, flood, or explosion, supports should be erected for walls and floors. All gas, sewer, steam, and electrical lines should be cut off prior to demolition. If hazards exist from glass or any other material, then the material should be removed. When material is dropped through holes without a chute, there should be a wall surrounding the landing area that meets all specifications. Any holes not used for dropping debris should be substantially covered, and employee entrances to the building should be protected from falling debris.

Only stairs, passageways, and ladders approved for use in the demolition process are to be used in these buildings. These stairs or ladders are to be periodically inspected. Any stairwell being used in a multilevel building must be properly illuminated and have protective roof coverings where necessary.

A chute with an angle steeper than 45 degrees must be completely covered. The bottom of chutes should be closed off when work is not in progress. Top chute openings should be protected with guard rails and any space between the edge of the chute and the side of the building must be covered. Chutes must be strong enough to handle the falling debris.

In addition, all holes cut in the floor must not exceed a certain size and should not compromise the structural integrity of the rest of the floor. In steel and masonry constructions, loose masonry must be removed before continuing down to the next level. Walkways or ladders must be available for access to scaffolds or walls. Walls which serve as retaining walls for debris must be capable of retaining the debris. Once floors have been removed, walkways at least 18 inches wide must be installed. These walkways must be substantial and planks should overlap at least one foot over solid beams.

Floors must be strong enough to support the equipment being used. Barriers should be erected to keep equipment from falling over the side or through holes. The stored material should not exceed the capacity of the floor. Storage space in which material is to be dumped or dropped is to be concealed.

Except for designated people, no one is to be near the area where mechanical demolition is taking place. Any crane and ball must meet certain requirements. All structural steel beams should be cut before using the demolition ball. Continued monitoring should be conducted.
throughout the demolition process to check structural integrity of other parts of the building.

**Subpart U — Blasting and the Use of Explosives**

Only authorized and qualified persons can handle and use explosives. No person handling explosives should be under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Explosives should be accounted for at all times and never be abandoned. Fires should not be fought if there is an imminent danger of contact with explosives. Above-ground blasting should be done between sunrise and sunset. Precautions should be taken to prevent accidental discharge of electric blasting caps from other sources of current. Operators or owners should be notified when blasting will be done near power lines. Loading and firing should be done only by competent individuals. Blasters should be competent, in good physical condition, qualified, and be required to provide evidence of their credentials. They should also be knowledgeable in the use of each type of blasting method. No smoking or flame-producing devices are allowed in the area.

Transportation of explosives shall meet the provisions of the Department of Transportation. The electrical system of trucks should be checked weekly. Auxiliary lights are prohibited. Explosive equipment and supplies should be conveyed singly. They should not be transported on locomotives and the materials should be carried in separate containers. The powder car or conveyance should have a reflectorized sign with the word “Explosives.”

Explosives and blasting agents should be stored in approved IRS. Materials should be stored separately from explosives and blasting agents. In addition, smoking is not allowed within 50 feet of explosives. Two modes of exit must be identified if explosives are stored underground.

A warning signal should be given prior to the blast. Flagmen should be stationed on highways, and the blaster fixes the time of blasting. Blasting signals should be posted in a place for employees to study.

Detonators and explosives should not be stored in tunnels, shafts, or caissons. Detonators and explosives are carried separately into the working chambers. The blaster or powderman is responsible for the management aspects.

**Subpart V — Power Transmission and Distribution**

General requirements relating to power transmission and distribution should identify the proper procedures and actions for initial inspections, tests, and determinations. Gloves or gloves with sleeves should be worn
for insulation from the energized parts. Employees should maintain the minimum working distances for various voltage ranges. Lines or equipment should be deenergized before repairing, and guards or barriers should be erected as necessary. Employers should provide training for workers so they all are familiar with emergency procedures and first aid.

Rubber equipment should be visually inspected and gloves should have an air test done before use. Protective hats and climbing equipment should be worn. Safety lines should be used in emergency rescue situations. Metal or conductive ladders should not be used near energized lines or other electrical equipment.

Mechanical equipment should be visually inspected daily. Aerial lift trucks should be grounded or barricaded when working near energized lines or they should be insulated for the work being performed. For material handling, materials should be examined before unloading can begin. When hauling poles, the load should be secure and have a red flag attached on the back. Materials should not be stored near energized equipment if it can be stored elsewhere. Tag lines should be used to control loads handled by hoisting equipment. Grounding for protection of employees is also very important. New construction cannot begin unless the equipment is grounded or other means have been implemented to prevent contact with energized lines.

Guarding and ventilating street openings to underground lines should be done. Oxygen levels should be monitored for the presence of fumes or gases. Underground facilities such as gas or telephone lines should be protected from damage when exposed to energized parts. Energized facilities should be identified and the protective equipment needed should be specified. Deenergizing of equipment or barricades should be used for the particular situation. It is important for body belts, safety straps, and lanyards to be used by all personnel. The hardware should be forged or pressed steel and tensile tests should be performed to prove reliability. Testing of safety straps, body belts, and lanyards should be performed regularly.

Subpart W—Rollover Protective Structures and Overhead Protection

Rollover protective structures as well as overhead protection are included in this section’s regulations. This includes not only heavy construction equipment, but also farming equipment, mostly tractors, used by the general public. There are rollover protective structures (ROPS) for material handling equipment. If a ROPS is removed, it should be remounted with one of equal or better quality. Labeling should include the manufacturer’s name, the model number, and the machine make or model. Machines
should meet the requirements of the State of California, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, or the Bureau of Reclamation of the U.S. Department of the Interior.

There are minimum performance criteria for ROPS on designated scrapers, loaders, dozers, graders, and crawler tractors. There should be material, equipment, and tiedown means adequate for the vehicle frame to absorb the applied energy. A testing procedure that includes the energy absorbing capabilities of ROPS, the support capability, and the low-temperature impact strength of the material used in the ROPS should be performed.

There are protective-frame (ROPS) test procedures and performance requirements for wheel-type agricultural and industrial tractors used in construction. The protective frame is a structure mounted to the tractor that extends above the operator’s seat. It is also used to minimize the chance of injury resulting from normal operations. A field upset test, either a static, or dynamic test, is done to determine ROPS performance. The frame, overhead weather shield, fenders, or other parts may be deformed but should not shatter or leave sharp edges.

Overhead protection for operators of agricultural and industrial tractors is also required under Subpart W. The purpose of overhead protection is to minimize the possibility of operator injury from falling objects or from upset of the cover itself. Overhead protection may be constructed of a solid material and should not be installed in a way that causes a hazard. A drop test should be performed following either the static or dynamic test. The same frame should then be subjected to a crush test.

Subpart X—Stairways and Ladders

This subpart concerns stairways and ladders used in construction, alteration, repair, and demolition of workplaces. This section deals with the stairways and ladders used during one of the following events:

- Demolition of buildings and site
- Repair of building and site
- Construction of worksites
- Alteration of buildings and site

It also is applied when ladders and stairways are required to be provided for certain circumstances. A stairway or a ladder is required when there is a break in elevation of 19 inches or more and no ramp, runway, sloped embankment, or personnel hoist has been provided. Employers are required
to provide and install stairwells, ladders, and fall-protection systems before employees can begin work.

Stairs should be installed between 30 degrees and 50 degrees from the horizontal. Variations in riser height and tread depth should not be over one-fourth inch in any stairway system. All stairways must be free of hazardous projections and slip-resistant. Stairways having four or more risers or a rising of more than 30 inches, whichever is less, are required to have at least one handrail and one stairrail system along each unprotected edge. Handrails and the top rails of stairwell systems should be capable of withstanding at least 200 pounds at any point along the top edge. Unprotected sides and edges of stairway landings should be provided with guardrail systems.

Ladders should be capable of supporting specified loads without failure. Ladder rungs, cleats, and steps should be parallel, level, and uniformly spaced. The rungs of individual-rung step ladders should be shaped so that employees’ feet can’t slide off. They should be coated with a skid-resistant material or treated to minimize slipping. Ladders should not be tied together to provide longer sections unless they are designed for that purpose, and ladders should be surfaced in a way to prevent injury caused by punctures or lacerations.

When the total length of a climb equals or exceeds 24 feet, fixed ladders must have one of the following: a ladder safety device, a self-retracting life line and rest platform or a cage or well, and multiple ladder sections. Cages and wells should conform to various specifications. When using a ladder to gain access to an upper-landing service, the ladder side rails must extend at least 3 feet above the upper-landing service or the ladder should be secured at its top to a rigid support that will not deflect. Ladders should be maintained free of oil, grease, and other slipping hazards and should not be loaded beyond their maximum-designed load. They should be used on stable and level surfaces unless secured to prevent an accident. When an employee uses a ladder, she should always be facing it. The area around the top and bottom of a ladder should be kept clear and ladders should never be moved or extended when occupied. The top or top step of a stepladder should never be used as a step. Ladders should be inspected for defects on a periodic basis and after any accident. Repairs should restore the ladder to its original condition before it is returned to use. Single-rail ladders are prohibited for use.

If necessary, employers should provide a training program on the use of ladders and stairways. The employer should ensure that each employee is trained by a competent individual. Employees should be able to understand
the nature of fall hazards, the correct procedures for constructing and maintaining fall-protection systems, the correct use of stairways and ladders, and the maximum-intended carrying capacities of ladders. Retraining should be provided for each employee if necessary.

CHECKLIST—FOR SUBPARTS A–X

Subpart A—General
• Does your company have to comply with the construction standards?
• Does your company need to be granted a variance from the safety and health standards?
• Does your company make special accommodations for inspectors?
• Is your company aware of the Secretary’s right of entry procedure?

Subpart B—General Interpretations
• Is your contract one of the 58 statutes listed in the Reorganization Plan No. 14?
• Does your company perform work under federal contracts?
• Does your contract entail the use of subcontractors?
• Does your company delegate responsibility to subcontractors in contracts?

Subpart C—General Safety and Health
• Does your company have accident-prevention programs in place?
• Does your company promote education for prevention of unsafe working conditions?
• Does your company have an elaborate fire protection and prevention program?
• Does your company keep detailed medical records of employees; if so, how long?
• Does your process incorporate any trade secrets that should not be disclosed to doctors?
• Are all of your exits unlocked and free from impediments?
Subpart D—Occupational Health and Environmental Controls
- Does your company have a written HAZCOM program?
- Does your company offer medical assistance or advice?
- Does your company have a sufficient potable water system?
- Do you have any areas of high noise exposure? How loud?
- Does your facility contain any Asbestos or MDA?
- Does your facility have the proper illumination devices?
- Have you incorporated a Process Safety Management System?
- Do you have the proper Personal Safety Equipment on hand?

Subpart E—Personal Protective and Lifesaving Equipment
- Do the employees at your facility use PPE or lifesaving equipment?
- Are your employees trained on the proper use of PPE?
- Does the facility have the protective equipment for its employees?
- Does the facility have the proper safety equipment needed?
- Does the facility provide safety classes for its employees?
- Does the facility provide the proper respirator protective equipment?

Subpart F—Fire Protection
- Does your company have a fire protection and prevention program in place on-site?
- Does the facility have the proper fire extinguisher in areas needed?
- Does the facility provide training to the employees on extinguishers?
- Does the facility have a sufficient water supply to put out a fire?
- Does the facility have storage area for flammable liquids?
- Does the facility detection system meet OSHA standards?

Subpart G—Signs, Signals, and Barricades
- Does the facility have safety signs in place?
- Does the facility have the proper coloring on the signs?
- Do the employees have knowledge of the signs?
• Does the facility have the signs in the proper areas?

Subpart H—Materials Handling, Storage, Use, and Disposal
• Do the employees of your facility use proper techniques and equipment when handling materials on-site?
• Does the facility have materials stored in proper areas not blocking aisles?
• Does the facility have proper ropes and wires when moving materials?
• Does the facility dispose of the materials correctly?

Subpart I—Hand and Power Tools
• Is there on a permanent basis, any power tools or hand tools for use by the company on-site?
• Is there machinery that must be in a fixed position requiring anchors?
• Are there fans with blades less than 7 feet above the floor?
• Is there equipment requiring a special hand tool or tools to keep fingers, hands, or any other body part from being harmed?

Subpart J—Welding and Cutting
• Do you employ any full- or part-time welders to weld or cut materials on the site?
• Do you store or use gases commonly used in welding operations?
• Is there any welding required on the job for more than just minor repairs?
• Do you have repairs requiring major welding or cutting?

Subpart K—Electrical
• Does the establishment accommodate elevators, escalators, or powered walks?
• Is there any construction on the site using power tools or any temporary power installation?
• Are there circuit breakers on the premises?
• Does the environment consist of hazardous surroundings such as extremely wet, dusty, or vapor-rich conditions?
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Subpart L—Scaffolding

- Is there any construction on the grounds requiring scaffolding?
- Is mobile scaffolding used in the operation?
- Are you at any times required to construct any sort of scaffolding to perform certain tasks?
- Are there any renovations or remodeling that would require scaffolding to be erected on the premises?

Subpart M—Floor and Wall Openings

- Does the facility have floor openings or floor holes?
- If there are wall openings in a facility, do they consist of more than a drop of 4 feet?
- Have you checked the guidelines pertaining to the width of your roof in Appendix A of Subpart M of the Construction Standards in 29 CFR 1926?

Subpart N—Cranes, Derricks, Hoists, Elevators, and Conveyors

- Are cranes of any type being used in your facility?
- Does your facility use hoists for materials, personnel, or overhead objects that are hard to reach?
- Are there conveyor systems located anywhere in your facility and, if so, do they send a warning signal before starting their operation?

Subpart O—Motor Vehicles and Mechanized Equipment

- Are there any motorized vehicles being used at your facility?
- Is any material-handling, earth-moving, or pile-driving equipment used at your company?
- Are operations occurring between moored vessels and your company’s personnel or equipment?
- If motorized vehicles are present on-site, are they equipped with seat belts and adequate safety protection?
- Is your facility near a body of water, and, if so, are there ongoing operations between the land vessel and the marine vessel?
Subpart P—Excavations
- Will there be any forms of excavations at your facility?
- If excavations are present at your place of business, have you considered the location of underground utilities, means of access and egress, and the possibility of hazardous atmospheres prior to excavating on-site?
- What type of support and protective systems are going to be utilized when dealing with the excavations, safety mechanisms, and protection from cave-ins?
- Has the soil at the desired location for the excavation been classified?

Subpart Q—Concrete and Masonry Construction
- Does your company ever perform work such as lift slab, precast concrete, shoring, jacking, or similar operations?

Subpart R—Steel Erection
- Does your company erect steel buildings or perform other steel erection operations?

Subpart S—Underground Construction, Caisson, Cofferdams, and Compressed Air
- Does your company construct underground tunnels, shafts, chambers, or passageways?

Subpart T—Demolition
- Does your company demolish existing structures via mechanical or explosive means?

Subpart U—Blasting and the Use of Explosives
- Does your company use explosives for blasting or other purposes?
- Is the blaster qualified by training, knowledge, or experience in the field of explosives?
- In transporting explosives, does the vehicle have placards on all four sides?
• Are explosives and blasting agents being stored separately?
• Are safety fuses being used in areas where extraneous electricity is present?
• When blasting underwater, are vessels at least 1,500 feet away?

Subpart V—Power Transmission and Distribution
• Does your company erect or improve electrical transmission lines and equipment?
• Are gloves being worn for insulation and are employees maintaining minimum working distances for different voltages?
• Has the equipment been grounded or has other means been implemented to prevent contact with energized lines?
• Have the oxygen levels and the presence of fumes or gases been tested before working on underground lines?
• Are the equipment and rigging being inspected regularly?

Subpart W—Rollover Protective Structures and Overhead Protection
• Is your company’s heavy equipment in good condition and equipped with roll cages?
• Is the equipment certified?
• Are the material, equipment, and tiedown means adequate for the vehicle to absorb the applied energy?
• Does the labeling include the manufacturer’s name, the model number, and the machine make or model?
• Have a drop test and a crush test been done?

Subpart X—Stairways and Ladders
• Are stairways and ladders used within your facility?
• Are stairways and ladders not being used in your facility when they should be in use?
• Is there a break in elevation of 19 inches or is there no ramp, runway, sloped embankment, or personnel hoist provided?
• Has the employer installed a fall-protection system?
• Is the stairway or ladder free of hazardous objects and treated to minimize slipping?
• Are the unprotected sides and edges of stairways provided with guardrail systems?
• Are ladders being used on stable, level surfaces or have they been secured?
Appendix C
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Table C1—Standing Body Dimensions (From MIL-STD-1472D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Centimeters</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Stature</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>164.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eye Height (standing)</td>
<td>151.1</td>
<td>152.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Shoulder (acromiale) Height</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>133.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chest (nipple) Height</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Elbow (radiale) Height</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>104.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Fingertip (dactylion) Height</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Waist Height</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Crotch Height</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>74.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Gluteal Furrow Height</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Kneecap Height</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Calf Height</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Functional Reach</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Functional Reach, Extended</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile Values in Inches</td>
<td>5th Percentile</td>
<td>95th Percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>122.4</td>
<td>133.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Stature</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eye Height (standing)</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Shoulder (acromial) Height</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chest (nipple) Height</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Elbow (radiale) Height</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Fingertip (dacty lion) Height</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Waist Height</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Crotch Height</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Gluteal Furrow Height</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Kneecap Height</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Calf Height</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Functional Reach</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Functional Reach, Extended</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C2—Seated Body Dimensions (From MIL-STD-1472D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Centimeters</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators Troops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Vertical Arm Reach, Sitting</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>134.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Sitting Height, Erect</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Sitting Height, Relaxed</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Eye Height, Sitting Erect</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Eye Height, Sitting Relaxed</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Mid-shoulder Height</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Shoulder Height, Sitting</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Shoulder-Elbow Length</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Elbow-Grip Length</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Elbow-Fingertip Length</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Elbow Rest Height</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Thigh Clearance Height</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Knee Height, Sitting</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Popliteal Height</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Buttock–Knee Length</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Buttock–Popliteal Length</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Buttock–Heel Length</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Functional Leg Length</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>103.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Percentile Values in Inches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th></th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
<td>Women Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Vertical Arm Reach, Sitting</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Sitting Height, Erect</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Sitting Height, Relaxed</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Eye Height, Sitting Erect</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Eye Height, Sitting Relaxed</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Mid-Shoulder Height</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Shoulder Height, Sitting</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Shoulder-Elbow Length</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Elbow-Grip Length</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Elbow-Fingertip Length</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Elbow Rest Height</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Thigh Clearance Height</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Knee Height, Sitting</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Popliteal Height</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Buttock–Knee Length</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Buttock–Popliteal Length</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Buttock–Heel Length</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Functional Leg Length</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table C3—Body Depth and Breadth Dimensions (From MIL-STD-1472D)

### Percentile Values in Centimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Centimeters</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth and Breadth Dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Chest Depth</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Buttock Depth</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Chest Breadth</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Hip Breadth, Standing</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Shoulder (bidental) Breadth</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Forearm - Forearm Breadth</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Hip Breadth, Sitting</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentile Values in Inches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Inches</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth and Breadth Dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Chest Depth</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Buttock Depth</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Chest Breadth</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Hip Breadth, Standing</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Shoulder (bidental) Breadth</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Forearm - Forearm Breadth</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Hip Breadth, Sitting</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Knee-to-Knee Breadth</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C4—Body Circumference and Surface Dimensions
(From MIL-STD-1472)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Centimeters</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Neck Circumference</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Chest Circumference</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Waist Circumference</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Hip Circumference</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Hip Circumference, Sitting</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Vertical Trunk Circumference, Standing</td>
<td>150.6</td>
<td>156.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Vertical Trunk Circumference, Sitting</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>134.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Arm Scye Circumference</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Biceps Circumference, Flexed</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Elbow Circumference, Flexed</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Forearm Circumference, Flexed</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Wrist Circumference</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Upper Thigh Circumference</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Calf Circumference</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. Ankle Circumference</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Waist Back Length</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Waist Front Length</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C4—Body Circumference and Surface Dimensions
(From MIL-STD-1472) (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile Values in Inches</th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Neck Circumference</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Chest Circumference</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Waist Circumference</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Hip Circumference</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Hip Circumference,</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Vertical Trunk</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference, Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Vertical Trunk</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference, Sitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Arm Scye Circumference</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Biceps Circumference,</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Elbow Circumference,</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Forearm Circumference,</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Wrist Circumference</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Upper Thigh Circumference</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Calf Circumference</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. Ankle Circumference</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Waist Back Length</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Waist Front Length</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table C5—Hand and Foot Dimensions (From MIL-STD-1472)

## Percentile Values in Centimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
<th></th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
<td>Women Troops</td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Hand Length</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Palm Length</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Hand Breadth</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>Hand Circumference</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>Hand Thickness</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hand Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>62. Foot Length</th>
<th>24.5</th>
<th>24.4</th>
<th>22.2</th>
<th>29.0</th>
<th>29.0</th>
<th>26.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Instep Length</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Foot Breadth</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>Foot Circumference</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>Heel-Ankle Circumference</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Foot Dimensions

## Percentile Values in Inches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
<th></th>
<th>5th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
<td>Women Troops</td>
<td>Ground Troops</td>
<td>Aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Palm Length</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Hand Breadth</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>Hand Circumference</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>9.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>Hand Thickness</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hand Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Instep Length</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Foot Breadth</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>Foot Circumference</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
abduction/adduction, biomechanics of, 137, 141
ability-performance relationship, 264–265
absorption, defined, 109
acceptance, in contracts, 70
accident causation theories: domino theories, 83–88; energy-related, 91–92; goals freedom alertness, 89–90; human factors, 90–91; introduction, 76; motivation reward satisfaction, 90; multiple factors, 88–89; psychological/behavioral, 89–91; single factor, 82; summary, 98; systems model, 89
accidents: caught in-between, 347–348; defined, chapter 5, 78, 92, 186; error factors, 90–91; investigating, 11, 77–79, 94, 209, 213; lifting guidelines, 151; preventing, 75–76, 90, 246, 248; types of, 209, 213, 344–350. See also injury achievement needs, motivation theory, 238
activity factor, motivation theory, 239
Administrative Division, EPA, 337
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affiliation needs, motivation theory, 238
Agricola, Georgius (pseud. Georg Bauer), 106
agricultural industry, OSHA involvement, 39
airborne contaminants, 6–7, 118–123
alarm systems, fire prevention, 175
Albrecht, Steve, 298
alertness theory of accident causation, 90
American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), 119–121
American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 27–28
American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE), 13–17f
antagonism in toxic interactions, 110–111
anthropometry, 132–134
Arcadian Corporation, 35–36
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at-risk behavior, defined, 256
attitudes, defined, 234–235, 256
audits, 12, 222–226, 379
Authentic Involvement (Petersen), 261
authority, motivation theory, 239–240
awards: contracts, 70; negligence, 64; not related to behavior, 258; product liability, 65; for safety, 243, 277. See also incentive programs
back injuries, 127–128, 151
badges, employee, 300
battery, defined, 62
Bauer, Georg (Georgius Agricola), 106
behavior: at-risk, 256; feedback and, 270–271; reinforcing, 270; safety culture and, 244–246; safety-related, measuring, 265–267; value systems and, 245–247. See also psychology
behavioral inventory, 265–266
The Behavior-Based Safety Process (Krause), 266–267
biomechanics: abduction/adduction, 137, 141; circumduction, 141; classification systems, 136; dynamic and static measurements in, 135; flexion/extension, 138, 141; load and stress forces, 135–136; neutral plane/deviation, 139, 141; operational categories, 142–143; physiological categories, 136–142; rotation, 141–142; supination/pronation, 140, 142. See also ergonomics
Bird, F. E., 84–86
Bird and Loftus’ domino theory, 84–86, 86
Bismarck, Otto von, 44
blame, assigning, 77, 255, 273
body measurement, 132–134
body movement See biomechanics
Brauer, Roger L., 68
building codes, 5, 167
Bureau of Mines, 23
capacity, legal, 70
carcinogens, 6, 107, 113, 331
carpal tunnel syndrome, 144
catastrophes, inspection prioritization, 32
caught in-between accidents, 347–348
Centers for Disease Control (CDC), 38–39
Chadwick, Edwin, 6
chairs, ergonomic, 153–155
Challenger space shuttle, 183–184
chemical hazards: categories of, 331–332; defined, 330–331; present day exposure to, 7; routes of entry, 330; written programs, required, 375–378. See also hazardous waste; toxins
chemical stressors, 116
Chimney Sweep Acts, 6
chimney sweeps, cancer in, 6, 107
circumduction, biomechanics of, 141
civil law, 60–61
Classical Conditioning Theory, 241
coaching, 269–271
Coal Mine Health and Safety Act, 24
coal mines, 23, 24, 146
Code of Hammurabi, 4, 22
common law, 44
compensation, specific performance, 70–71
competition with incentive programs, 247
Compliance Division, EPA, 337
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 322–323, 331
computers, ergonomic layout, 152–153, 155
confined space hazard, 352, 371–372
consideration, in contracts, 70
construction industry: building codes, 5, 167; contractor qualifications and programs, 358–361; multiemployer worksite policy, 60, 343, 353–361; OSHA and the, 38, 353–357; reporting requirements, 358–359
construction managers, 360
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Construction Safety Act, 28
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Construction Safety Act, 28
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Construction Safety Act, 28
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Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28
collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360
Construction Safety Act, 28

collection of, 342–343; personal protective equipment, 344; recommendations, 343–344; summary, 361–362; training programs, 348, 360

shock, 349–350; equipment, 354; falls from elevations, 344–347; struck by, 347–348; trenching, 351, 353; types of, 343
Consumer Product Safety Commission, 65
contaminants, airborne, 118
continuous safety improvement, 265–266, 268–269
contractor qualifications and programs, 358–361
contracts, 69–71
Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, 28
decorated with See hazards control
Cooper, M. D., 258, 264–265
Covey, Stephen, 245, 271
creativity, motivation theory, 240
criminal case, purpose of, 61
criminal law, 60
crisis management planning, 297–299
Critical Behavior Inventory, 265–267
cubital tunnel syndrome, 144
culture, defined, 256
culture of safety, 244–247, 260
cumulative trauma disorders (CTDs), 143–145, 148
cutaneous hazards, 113
danger, imminent, 32
deep pockets theory, 61
defamation, defined, 62–63
defendant, 61
Department of Transportation (DOT), Hazardous Materials regulations, 171, 174, 335–336
depositions, 61
direct observation method in Job Safety Analysis, 204
discussion method in Job Safety Analysis, 204
*The Diseases of Workers* (Ramazini), 5, 107
DO IT process, 268–269
domino theories of accident causation, 83–88
dose-response relationship, 107
drug testing, employee, 297
dusts, 118–119

Earnest, R. E., 267
electrical shock, 349–350
Ellenborg, Ulrich, 5
emergency action and response plans, 11, 180, 368–369, 370–371, 378. See also terrorism preparedness; violence in the workplace
*Employee-Driven Systems for Safe Behavior* (Krause), 261
employees: 40-hour workweek legislation, 23; aggressors, recognizing, 291–292; bottom-up approach to safety, 261–262; complaints, inspections following, 32; compliance responsibilities, 29–30; consequences for reporting injuries, 77, 255, 257–258, 273; drug testing, 297; empowering, 248–249, 262, 366–367; federal government, 26; identification cards, 300; Industrial Revolution, 6–7; malicious, 293–294; peer-to-peer coaching, 269–270; pre-employment screening, 296; protection standards, hazardous waste facilities, 326, 328–335; rational, 243–244; revenge-seeking, 293–294; right-to-know standard, 326; state and local government, 26; termination procedure, 299; terrorism preparedness controls for, 312–313; whistleblower protection, 26. See also workers’ compensation
employers: defined, 25; multiemployer worksite policy, 60, 343, 353–361; responsibilities and rights, 3–4, 25, 30–31; self-insured, 49
empowerment, 248–249, 261
energy release theory of accident causation, 91–92
Enforcement Division, EPA, 337
“Engineering for Safety” (technical paper), 186
environmental protection, 12, 338. See also hazardous waste
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 318–321, 336–337
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hazardous waste identification numbers, 321–322, 336
equipment hazards, construction safety, 354
ergonomics: cumulative trauma disorders, 143–145, 148; defined, 129; environmental variables, 148–149; introduction, 128–129; machine variables, 147–148; objective, 129–130; OSHA resources, 156; recognition, evaluation and controls in, 130–131; safety professional’s role in, 12; summary, 155–156; workplace layout and design, 149–155
ergonomics, people variables:
anthropometry, 132–134; biomechanics, 132, 135–142; introduction, 132; operator-machine systems analysis, 143–146; physiological factors, 143, 146; psychological factors, 143, 146–147; psychosocial factors, 145–147

ergonomic stressors, 117

Erickson, J., 260
evidence, preponderance of, 63

Exploring the Dangerous Trades (Hamilton), 107

explosivity, 118–119

exposure-risk relationship, 114

extinction, motivation theory, 242, 247
eye hazards, 113

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA), 199–200

falls from elevations, 344–347

Farmington (WVa) coal mine disaster, 24

fatalities in the workplace: historical examples, 23–24; homicides, 39, 282, 286; investigating, 32; reporting requirements, 56; statistics, 3

Father of Geology (Agricola), 106

Father of Medicine (Hippocrates), 4, 106

Father of Occupational Medicine (Ramazzini), 5, 107

Father of Toxicology (Paracelsus), 107

Fault Hazard Analysis (FHA), 200

Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), 200, 201–202

Federal Supply Contracts Act (Walsh Healey), 23–24, 28

feedback, 270–271

fellow-servant rule, 44

fiber density, 118–119

Field Inspection Reference Manual (FIRM), OSHA, 356

fire: categories, 163, 165; combustion, requirements for, 161–163; department store, case study, 177–179; extinguishing agents, 165; flammable (explosive) limits, 162, 163; flash points, 162–163;

Imperial Food Products, 159–161; Iroquois Theater, Chicago (IL), 23; liquids, combustible and flammable, 163, 169, 170; London (UK) fire of 1666, 5; spontaneous combustion, 175, 176; Triangle Shirtwaist Factory (NYC), 23, 161

fire codes, 5, 23

fire extinguishers, 164, 165, 181

Firenzie, R. J., 89

fire prevention, 8–9, 160, 175, 180–181

fire protection, 8–9, 12, 175, 177, 179–181

Fire Protection Handbook (NFPA), 166

fire tetrahedron components, 161–163

first aid, defined, 55–56

flexion/extension, biomechanics of, 138, 141

Florida, workplace violence protections, 284

40-hour workweek legislation, 23

fumes, defined, 118

gas, toxicity of, 118, 119
gasoline fires, 162–163
Geller, E. S., 257, 261, 268–269
general duty clause (OSHA), 30, 34–36
Germany, workers’ compensation laws, 44
Goal-Directed School of Motivation, 235
goals freedom alertness theory of accident causation, 89–90
Grandjean, E., 150
Grose, V. L., 88

Hamilton, Alice, 107
hazard analysis, 194–195
Hazard Classification Class categories, 193–194
Hazard Communication (HAZCOM), 329–335, 368
Hazardous Chemicals Standard (HCS) requirements, 332–334
hazardous materials management: recognition component, 12, 116–117; storage container marking systems, 170–171, 171, 172, 174; written program requirements, 366–367. See also chemical hazards; hazardous waste; toxins
Hazardous Materials regulations, DOT, 171, 174, 335–336
Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (HMTA), 318
hazardous waste: characteristic, 320; cleanup legislation, 322–323; corrosive, 320; defined, 319–320; disposal, 335–336; ignitable, 320; labeling, 326, 333–334; material collecting and dispensing, 324–325; reactive, 320; spill containment, 324, 326, 327; threshold quantities regulations, 324, 326; toxic characteristic, 320
hazardous waste facilities: contingency plan requirements, 335; EPA requirements, 321–322, 337; generator status determination, 321; managing, on-site, 321–322; reporting requirements, 323, 326, 336; training programs, 328–329, 335; worker protection standards, 326, 328–335
Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER), 328
hazards: assessment matrix, 194; cutaneous, 113; defined, 9–10, 30, 184; eye, 113; OSHA guidelines, 229–230; risk relationship, 114; summary, 123; types of occupational, 116–117. See also specific types of
hazards control: administrative, 81, 122–123, 131, 152; defined, 121; engineering, 81, 121, 131, 152, 328; ergonomic, 131, 152; hazardous waste workers, 328; loss exposure, 81; OSHA standards, 229–230, 328; personal protective equipment, 123, 131, 344, 348,
373–374; safety professional’s responsibilities, 15–17; types of, 121–123
Health and Human Services (HHS), 38–39
Heinrich, H. W., 83–84
Heinrich’s domino theory of accident causation, 83–84, 85
hematopoietic agents, 113
hepatotoxins, 113
Herzberg, Frederick, 239–241
Herzberg’s Motivational Hygiene Theory, 239–241
high-hazard industry inspections, 32
Hippocrates, 4, 106
Hippocratic Oath, 106
homicide in the workplace, 39, 282, 286
Hooker Chemical Company, 322–323
Hoover, Herbert, 106
Hoover, L. H., 106
human error, 88, 90–91, 198–199, 234
human factors theory of accident causation, 90–91
illness, defined, 51
Imperial Food Products, 159–161
importance, motivation theory, 240
imprisonment, false, defined, 62
incentive programs, 90, 243, 246–248, 257–258. See also awards
incident, defined, 78, 92, 186
incident investigation, 92–98, 378
industrial hygiene: the art of, 104–105; control component, 121–123; evaluation component, 118–121; history, 106–107; introduction, 103–104; recognition component, 115–117; science of, 105; summary, 123; tenants of, 103, 115; walk-through surveys, 115–117
industrial hygienists, 10, 104–105, 115–117, 119–120
industrial medicine, 6, 106–107
*Industrial Poisons in the United States* (Hamilton), 107
Industrial Revolution, 6–7
*Industrial Toxicology* (Hamilton), 107
ingestion, defined, 109
inhalation, defined, 109
injection, defined, 109
injury: defined, 51; from lifting, 151–152; pressures to not report, 246, 257–258; reducing, 254. See also accidents
inspections vs. audits, 225. See also OSHA, inspections
insurance: fire prevention and protection, 175–176; litigation protection, 71–72; loss control techniques, 81; risk transfer using, 81; terrorism, 315; workers’ compensation, 46, 49
interest, motivation theory, 240
intervention, defined, 256
Iroquois Theater, Chicago (IL) fire, 23
irritants, 112
job, defined, 205
job enrichment, 249
job rotation, 122, 131
Job Safety Analysis (JSA), 202–212, 366–367
job satisfaction, 235, 239–240, 249
Johnson, Dennis, 285
Johnson, William, 91–92
Kerr, Willard, 89–90

labeling: Hazardous Chemicals Standard, 333; Material Safety Data Sheets, 326, 333–334; storage container marking systems, 168, 170–171

law enforcement, liaising with, 300

lawsuits. See litigation

legislation: 40-hour workweek, 23; Chimney Sweep Acts, 6; Coal Mine Health and Safety Act, 24; Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 322–323; Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, 318; history, 24; machine-guarding laws, 7; Occupational Safety and Health Act, 2; Permissible Exposure Limits, 120–121; Product Safety Act, 65–66; Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 318–319; Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 323–324; Toxic Substances Control Act, 318–319; Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act, 23–24, 28; Whistleblower Protection Act, 26; Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act, 24, 355; workers’ compensation, 23, 44

liability: absolute, 68; drug testing and, 297; exculpatory clauses, 71; failure-to-inspect, 357–358; harassment and, 295; hold harmless clauses, 71; loss exposure controls, 81; pre-employment screening and, 296; risk transfer, 81; strict, 66–67, 68; types of, 61–62. See also product liability

libel, defined, 62

lifting injuries, 151–152

liquids, combustible and flammable, 163, 167, 169, 170

Lischeid, W. E., 275

litigation: catalysts for, 62–63; contracts, 69–71; insurance protection, 71–72; negligence, 63–64; product liability, 65–69, 187; purpose of, 61; safety professional’s role in, 64–65; summary, 72; terminology, 60–62; torts, 61–63

lockout tagout, written programs, 372–373

Loftus, R. G., 84–86

London, England, fire of 1666, 5

loss control and prevention, 8, 79–82, 175–176, 208–209

Love Canal, 322–323

machinery, multiple factors accident theory, 88

machine variables in ergonomics, 147–148

Malasky, S. W., 190, 191

management: commitment, importance of, 218, 228, 275–276, 366; multiple factors accident theory, 89; participation, importance of, 255, 261–262; systems implementation, 190–193

summary, 230–231; system evaluation, 227–229. See also safety professionals
Management Oversight and Risk Tree (MORT), 91–92
Mantell, Michael, 298
Manuele, F. A., 88, 260, 274
Marcum, C. E., 86–88
Marcum’s domino theory, 86–88, 87
Maslow, Abraham, 236–238
Maslow’s Needs-Hierarchy Theory, 236–238
Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs), 326, 333–334
Mathiason, Garry, 295
McClelland’s Need-Achievement Theory, 238–239
McSween, T., 254, 257–258, 263–264
mechanics, defined, 135
media, multiple factors accident theory, 88
metal workers, in history, 4, 5, 7
Mill workers, 6–7
Mine Enforcement Safety Administration (MESA), 24
On the Miners’ Sickness and Other Diseases of Miners (Paracelsus), 107
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